

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/10/2011 03:28 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject All is Well but..

Not surprisingly this trip has been extended both in time and geography. Will not be back for tomorrow and the rest of the week is doubtful.

Please see if the BC Report to Congress folks want to reschedule for Monday or go ahead and brief Jim without me. That is ok with me.

Thanks Addie.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

10/29/2009 09:33 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Cts

Hi Addie,

You can reach Yousef of CTS at 202-253-5631 to ask him about moving my computer and the monitors etc to the new office. Thanks again.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/25/2010 11:43 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject FedEx number?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/11/2009 05:17 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: GovTrip Voucher Audit

Fyi

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

----- Original Message -----

From: GovTrip.eTravel.System

Sent: 03/10/2009 10:56 PM AST

To: John Beale

Subject: GovTrip Voucher Audit

SAMPLING.LTR

03/10/09

JOHN BEALE

GEPA27OARAA-HQ

BEALE.JOHN@EPA.GOV

With the conversion from Travel Manger to GovTrip the Statistical Sampling process has continued. In order to ensure travel reimbursements are being paid in accordance with applicable laws and Federal Travel regulations, we will continue to statistically sample travel vouchers that have been paid in GovTrip. These vouchers are randomly selected by GovTrip's Statistical Sampling Tool. The GovTrip tool does not have the ability to determine if a voucher is part of the Super Fund Cost Recovery Program. If the trip mentioned below is one that falls in this category and your receipts have already been submitted to the Cost Recovery Team then all that is required is for you to do is print this email and return it to Cincinnati, hand write on the email Super Fund Submission.

Your travel voucher listed below that was processed in GovTrip has been selected for audit.

Voucher Named JBL0SANGELESC010809\_V01 Authorization Number 0P47WD

Please forward the required original receipts associated with the above travel voucher to the Cincinnati Travel Office within 10 business days from the date of this e-mail. If someone else in your organization is responsible for maintaining your receipts please coordinate this request with the individual.

Please print a copy of this e-mail and write your phone number on the front page(s). Staple the receipts for the following expenses, if applicable to your trip: common carrier expenses, lodging expenses, rental car, conference/registration fee, any expense costing over \$75 and an International Travel Plan if traveling internationally.

We appreciate your understanding in this matter. Should you have any questions please contact the Cincinnati Travel Team.

Address to send receipts        US EPA

Accounting Operations - MS -002  
26 W. Martin Luther King Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45268  
513-487-2346 (Travel Helpdesk)  
CINWD TRAVEL@EPA.GOV

You may access GovTrip @ <http://www.govtrip.com/govtrip/site/index.jsp>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/16/2010 09:23 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Lunch w/Brian

Hi Addie. See the note below. Can you change the reservation to 1245?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Tina Murphy

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Tina Murphy

**Sent:** 03/16/2010 09:20 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Lunch w/Brian

Good Morning Mr. Beale,

Sorry, but Brian needs to attend a meeting with Gina at 12-12:30. Could he possibly meet you for lunch right after his 12:30 meeting? Please let me know at your earliest convenience.

Thx, Tina

---

Tina Murphy  
Office of the Director  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Tel #: (202) 343-9081  
Fax #: (202) 343-2210

----- Forwarded by Tina Murphy/DC/USEPA/US on 03/16/2010 09:17 AM -----

### Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: **Brian Mclean/DC/USEPA/US**  
Sent By: **Tina Murphy/DC/USEPA/US**

☐ Mark Available

**Subject:** Lunch w/John Beale

#### When:

Starts:	Tue 03/16/2010	12:00 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Tue 03/16/2010	01:30 PM	Local time	1 hr 30 mins

#### Who:

Required: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
FYI: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

#### Where:

Location:	TBD
-----------	-----

--

Description
-------------

--

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**  
01/13/2009 04:52 AM

To Addie Johnson  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: MODIFICATION APPROVED FOR - , CUSTOMER:  
John Beale (Email #28)

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** eBusiness Support  
**Sent:** 01/12/2009 04:47 PM EST  
**To:** Bill Beaver  
**Cc:** Erica McKinley; AmandaA Aldridge; Tanika Davis; Eirinn Duffy; Jessica Grantham; Bill Price; Esko Robinson; James OKeefe; Dee Clark; John Beale; Joseph Simmons; eBusiness Support  
**Subject:** MODIFICATION APPROVED FOR - , CUSTOMER: John Beale (Email #28)

FROM: eBusiness\_support@epa.gov  
TO: beaver.bill@epa.gov  
CC: mckinley.eric@epa.gov, aldridge.amandaa@epa.gov, davis.tanika@epa.gov, duffy.eirinn@epa.gov, grantham.jessica@epa.gov, price.bill@epa.gov, robinson.esko@epa.gov, okeefe.james@epa.gov, clark.dee@epa.gov, beale.john@epa.gov, simmons.joseph@epa.gov, eBusiness\_support@epa.gov  
REPLY-TO: simmons.joseph@epa.gov

SUBJECT: MODIFICATION APPROVED FOR - , CUSTOMER: John Beale (Email #28)

The modifications to the following custom fields have been approved and Change Order have been created.

Field	Previous Value	Current Value	Comment	Change Order
Accessories Required Please specify the accessories that will be required for this order. Common types of accessories include Bluetooth headset, leather case, car charger, travel charger, or memory card. Links to accessory pages on each vendor's website are below. AT&T / Verizon Wireless / Sprint/Nextel	Empty	Leather Case Car Charger Travel Charger	Auto Approved	CO0000967
Blackberry, Cellular Phone, or Aircard Model Please				



review the mobile devices available from each vendor using the links below, and type your selection in the box on the right. AT&T   Verizon Wireless   Sprint/Nextel	7280	Bold	Auto Approved	CO0000968
--	------	------	------------------	-----------

If you have any questions please contact Joseph Simmons  
by phone at (202) 564-1296 or email [simmons.joseph@epa.gov](mailto:simmons.joseph@epa.gov).

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/22/2011 01:49 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Got it Addie. Thx. Will do my best to be available by cell btw 4 and 5 today. No promises.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

09/29/2010 07:45 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Hi

Hey Addie- sorry I haven't been in better touch. Been out of range most of the time. All is well. Should be back next week some time.

Hope you are not working too hard-although I am sure you are.

Take care. See you soon.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/16/2010 08:27 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Hi Addie-did you get my voice mail this morning?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/13/2009 09:58 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Lisa

Thurs at 2PM is fine. Thanks Addie.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/14/2011 11:22 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Hi Addie,

Looks like they have me doing another DCI meeting next Wed. Can you move my meeting with Jim that day to the next day? That would be Thurs the 21. Thanks Addie.

I got here ok. So far so good. See you next week

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/21/2010 08:58 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Monday

Hi Addie,

Hope you are having a great weekend. Want to let you know that I will be in Va both Mon and Thurs this week. In at EPA the rest of the week. See you on Tues.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/11/2011 08:50 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Monday

Hi Addie,

I am out here all day today. Will call you later. Please let iteam know. Thanks. See you tomorrow.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/16/2010 08:31 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Hi Addie-did you get my voice mail this morning?

Thanks Addie. See you soon

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 03/16/2010 08:29 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Hi Addie-did you get my voice mail this morning?

Yes, everything is done, lunch reservations made. We didn't clean your refrigerator, they are looking for the one we sent over.

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

John Beale

----- Sent by EPA Wireless E-...

03/16/2010 08:27:26 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/16/2010 08:27 AM  
Subject: Hi Addie-did you get my voice mail this morning?

---

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

07/06/2009 05:01 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Lunch

Thanks Addie. On a plane all day tomorrow. See you Wed. Morning.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 07/06/2009 02:51 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Lunch

Hi John

Reservations made for 2 on Wednesday June 8, at M&S Grill, 12:15

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/13/2009 07:37 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Lunch and General

Great. Thanks Addie

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 05/13/2009 04:19 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Lunch and General

Hi John,

Lisa Heinzerling general is from 10:am to 10:45 on 5/21/09 and lunch with , Beth's from 12:pm until 2:pm also on 5/21/09

Addie Y. Johnson

Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office

(202) 564-7403 voice

(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

07/09/2009 08:56 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting change

That's ok. With the change in the afternoon meeting better have CTS come by around 2:30. Thanks Addie.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 08:54 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Meeting change

Hi John,

Sorry I missed your call, cancelled the general for 9:30am tomorrow, and Gina has changed to meeting to 1:15 to 1:45, let me know is this is okay  
CTS will be here tomorrow after 1:pm. Have a great day

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/25/2009 02:16 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meetings

Thanks Addie. I got them.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 06/25/2009 11:45 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Meetings

John there are two meetings with Gina, not sure if you received them or not:

6/26 2:pm - 2:30 telephone conversation with Gina

7/10 10:00 - 10:45 General with Gina, Beth, Terry Keating

Addie Y. Johnson

Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office

(202) 564-7403 voice

(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/09/2011 09:18 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Motorpool pick-up at 12:30 American Airlines, see you soon

Thx Addie.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 02/09/2011 08:52 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Motorpool pick-up at 12:30 American Airlines, see you soon

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

11/29/2010 02:22 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Parking Application

Yes you did. Thanks Addie. See you Thurs.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 11/29/2010 11:29 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Fw: Parking Application

Hi John,

Did I give you the transit subsidy withdrawal form? I will not be in the office Tuesday or Wednesday, see you Thursday.

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

----- Forwarded by Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 11/29/2010 11:27 AM -----

From: Alice Wilkes/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/29/2010 11:15 AM  
Subject: Parking Application

---

Hi Addie,

We received the parking application for Mr. Beale. We also need the transit subsidy withdrawal form to complete the process. Thanks

Alice Wilkes, Contractor  
V-Tech Solutions, Inc.  
Lead Transportation Specialist  
Office: 202-564-1368  
Fax: 202-564-0576  
Government Project Officer-Randy Bacon

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/15/2010 02:25 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Please give Gina a call, she wants to speak with you today (Friday), her # is 564-7404

Thanks Addie. I will do it

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 01/15/2010 01:25 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Please give Gina a call, she wants to speak with you today (Friday), her # is 564-7404

Addie Y. Johnson

Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office

(202) 564-7403 voice

(202) 501-0394 fax



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

05/20/2009 03:05 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Rescheduled: General (May 21 10:00 AM EDT in 3407 ARN)

Thanks Addie. See you tomorrow morning.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 05/20/2009 02:37 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Fw: Rescheduled: General (May 21 10:00 AM EDT in 3407 ARN)

John, Lisa has rescheduled this, please note time.

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

----- Forwarded by Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 05/20/2009 02:36 PM -----

**Rescheduled: General**

**Thu 05/21/2009 10:00 AM - 10:30 AM**

Attendance is **optional** for Addie Johnson

Chair: **Lisa Heinzerling/DC/USEPA/US**

Sent By: **Georgia Bednar/DC/USEPA/US**

Location: 3407 ARN

**Lisa Heinzerling** has rescheduled this meeting. You have not added this entry to your calendar.

Required: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Optional: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Description**



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/22/2011 10:12 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Status

Thanks Addie. I will try to call you from the airport just to check in before wheels up. Thanks again.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 02/22/2011 09:23 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Status

John, ticket has been issued

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

John Beale

[As I feared when I spoke with several o...](#)

02/22/2011 04:39:21 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US, "Terry Keating" <keating.terry@epa.gov>, Sue Stendebach/DC/USEPA/US, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US, Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US, "Sarah Sowell" <sowell.sarah@epa.gov>  
Cc: "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>  
Date: 02/22/2011 04:39 AM  
Subject: Status

As I feared when I spoke with several of you yesterday I will not be able to get into EPA today. I am at work in Va now and will be stuck here until I have to go to the airport.

Addie-you can just email me when the ticket has been issued.

Cindy-I hate to ask you to reschedule my 1100 general with Gina again but-I must. Sometime Tue or Wed next week would be great. No later than Wed please.

Terry-thanks for doing the 1000 meeting and for all your work getting it ready. Please schedule the follow up for next Tue or Wed. When you have the write up for the HTAP funding you can email it to me.

Sue-see you in San Diego.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/28/2011 03:47 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Steve can't do lunch on the 2nd, so how about a general at 10-10:30

That's fine. Thx Addie.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 01/28/2011 03:45 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Steve can't do lunch on the 2nd, so how about a general at 10-10:30

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

08/31/2010 08:49 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Tours

Thanks for the great info Addie. I really appreciate it and I know how busy you are.

I will call the White House info line when I get back to town. Could you contact the Capital Visitor Center and see about getting tickets for three for next Wed any time or next Thurs morning? If you can't no problem. Let me know and I will try from here.

Thanks again Addie. See you soon.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 08/30/2010 03:03 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Tours

Hi John, its really good to know you are okay. This is the info I have, it seems to be easier if you do it on line

White House - Request must be submitted through one's member of Congress and are accepted six months in advance and no less than 30 days in advance. Self-guided tours are available from 7:30 to 11:00am. Tuesday thru Thurs 7:30am - 12:00pm Friday 7:30 - 1:00pm. Tours are on a first come first served basis. All tours are free of charge. For most current tour information, call 24hr at 202-456-7041. Non citizens of a asked to contact their embassy in Washington.

Capitol - book a tour through the office of your Representative on-line or directly through the US Capital Visitor online. A limited number of same-day passes are available at the tour kiosks on the East and West fronts of the Capital or at the information desks on the lower level of the Capitol visitor center. Capitol opens at 9:00am. If you would likes, I can do it on line, let me know.

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

John Beale

Hi Addie, Hope all is well with you. Thin...

08/30/2010 02:40:43 PM

---

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/30/2010 02:40 PM  
Subject: Tours

---

Hi Addie,

Hope all is well with you. Things are fine here although my travel remains chaotic and surprising.

Have you had a chance to find out anything about White House/Capital tours for next week for me yet?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

09/01/2010 08:36 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Tours

Addie- thank you so much! That's perfect. I will try to call you in a few hours.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 08/31/2010 09:34 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Tours

Hi John, book Capitol tour for Wednesday Sep 8, (ignore the other looking at wrong calender), for 3 at 9:30am. I will give Rob the Reservation Conf. You may get one in your epa email, but just to be sure.

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

John Beale

Thanks for the great info Addie. I really...

08/31/2010 08:49:47 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/31/2010 08:49 AM  
Subject: Re: Tours

Thanks for the great info Addie. I really appreciate it and I know how busy you are.

I will call the White House info line when I get back to town. Could you contact the Capital Visitor Center and see about getting tickets for three for next Wed any time or next Thurs morning? If you can't no problem. Let me know and I will try from here.

Thanks again Addie. See you soon.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Addie Johnson

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 08/30/2010 03:03 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Tours

Hi John, its really good to know you are okay. This is the info I have, it seems to be easier if you do it on line

White House - Request must be submitted through one's member of Congress and are accepted six

months in advance and no less than 30 days in advance. Self-guided tours are available from 7:30 to 11:00am. Tuesday thru Thurs 7:30am - 12:00pm Friday 7:30 - 1:00pm. Tours are on a first come first served basis. All tours are free of charge. For most current tour information, call 24hr at 202-456-7041. Non citizens of a asked to contact their embassy in Washington.

Capitol - book a tour through the office of your Representative on-line or directly through the US Capital Visitor online. A limited number of same-day passes are available at the tour kiosks on the East and West fronts of the Capital or at the information desks on the lower level of the Capitol visitor center. Capitol opens at 9:00am. If you would like, I can do it on line, let me know.

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

John Beale

Hi Addie, Hope all is well with you. Thin...

08/30/2010 02:40:43 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/30/2010 02:40 PM  
Subject: Tours

---

Hi Addie,

Hope all is well with you. Things are fine here although my travel remains chaotic and surprising.

Have you had a chance to find out anything about White House/Capital tours for next week for me yet?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

10/15/2009 09:34 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Travel Reservation November 01 for JOHN BEALE

Thanks Addie. This looks great. Thanks for taking such good care of me

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Addie Johnson

**Sent:** 10/14/2009 04:38 PM AST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Travel Reservation November 01 for JOHN BEALE

Addie has asked us to deliver their itinerary information to you. You can [click here to view their travel information](#) using Sabre<sup>®</sup> Virtually There<sup>®</sup>

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/09/2011 05:51 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject This Morning

Hi Addie,

I am at Langley this morning. Expect to be to EPA by 1100.

I can not do the iteam meeting because of the lunch with NWF. Is Jim planning on attending the iteam meeting? Also, will he be on the 400 ETS call?

Thanks Addie.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/29/2011 06:12 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Timing

Hi Addie,

Just a short note to let you know that I will be getting to EPA a little later than usual. I will get there between 1000 and 1100 this morning.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/02/2011 07:09 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Today

Hi Addie,

I am still out here. Will not be able to get into EPA today either. Will call around 0830 to talk about meetings. Please let i-team know. Sorry about not being able to call yesterday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/21/2011 06:13 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Today

Hi Addie,

I am stuck out here today. Will call you about 0800 about today's meetings.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

08/30/2010 02:40 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Tours

Hi Addie,

Hope all is well with you. Things are fine here although my travel remains chaotic and surprising.

Have you had a chance to find out anything about White House/Capital tours for next week for me yet?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

10/21/2009 09:08 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Travel Change

Hi Addie ,

Hope all is well with you. I should be getting back to Washington Sunday or Monday so I will see you next week for my big move.

Could you please change my flight to LA to Monday Nov 2 instead of Sunday the 1st. Thanks.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

04/25/2011 11:54 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: ACTION: Upcoming US-China S&ED meeting credentials

FYI

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 04/25/2011 11:53 AM -----

From: Marta Montoro/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/22/2011 04:32 PM  
Subject: Fw: ACTION: Upcoming US-China S&ED meeting credentials

---

Hi John,

I just wanted to let you know that I've scheduled a few minutes to discuss OAR AA/DAA participation in the US-China S&ED with you on Monday. Maurice is able to assist with the Climate Breakout Session if needed on May 9th (I'll be at CSD then and Breck has OECD obligations).

Thanks and have a nice weekend,  
Marta

Marta E. Montoro  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW (6101A)  
Washington, D.C. 20460  
Tel: (202) 564-4471  
Fax: (202) 501-0394  
Email: Montoro.Marta@epa.gov

**\*\*THIS EMAIL IS INTERNAL AND DELIBERATIVE\*\***

----- Forwarded by Marta Montoro/DC/USEPA/US on 04/22/2011 04:27 PM -----

From: Shalini Vajjhala/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov>, Teichman.Kevin@epamail.epa.gov, "Lisa Feldt" <Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov>, Matt Bogoshian/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, McCabe.Catherine@epamail.epa.gov, Shapiro.Mike@epamail.epa.gov  
Cc: Novikoff.Joshua@epamail.epa.gov, "Jane Nishida" <Nishida.Jane@epamail.epa.gov>, "Marta Montoro" <Montoro.Marta@epamail.epa.gov>, "Sasha Koo-Oshima" <Koo-Oshima.Sasha@epamail.epa.gov>, "Christopher Prins" <Prins.Christopher@epamail.epa.gov>, "Susan Bromm" <Bromm.Susan@epamail.epa.gov>, "Mr. Robert Heiss" <Heiss.Robert@epamail.epa.gov>, "Doug Steele" <Steele.Doug@epamail.epa.gov>  
Date: 04/20/2011 10:52 AM  
Subject: ACTION: Upcoming US-China S&ED meeting credentials

---

All,

EPA will participate in the third round of the U.S.-China Strategic and Economic Dialogue (S&ED) which will be held in Washington on May 9-10. The Administrator will be opening the high-level sessions, and Assistant Administrators or Deputy Assistant Administrators may be called on to participate in some of the STRATEGIC TRACK breakout sessions. These breakout sessions are still being finalized, but are currently scheduled on May 9 from 2:30 to 3:45pm. The sessions EPA is currently projected to participate in are 1) Used Electronics and 2) Fisheries and Oceans. There is a Climate Change breakout that we are not currently projected to participate in; however, I wanted to reach out to all of you on your and/or your AA's interest and availability.



The credential registration deadline for all participants is Monday, April 25 at 5pm. We would like one principal from your AAship to register in case your presence is needed as a DELEGATE-Strategic Track. Delegates must be at the AA or DAA level and cannot be delegated below. You may designate a plus one as a staff person for a STAFF badge; this will give them access to general work areas, but not to S&ED sessions.

Please let me and Joshua Novikoff on our Asia Pacific team know ASAP who is available to participate from your office. Josh is copied directly on this email and can also be reached by phone at 202-564-1032.

The registration website is: <http://guest.cvent.com/d/7dq679><<http://guest.cvent.com/d/7dq679>>. You will need to enter information including social security number and submit a 2"x2" digital photo of yourself through the site. Josh can take the photo for you if you do not have one to submit and address any questions you have about the S&ED. We can finalize who needs to attend as the event approaches. It is possible that not each AAship in this e-mail will need to attend. However, if your AAship's rep. is not credentialed by Monday afternoon, they will not be able to attend regardless, and we would like to preserve the flexibility for all of your offices to engage as your time and interests allow.

Please also feel free to call me if you have any questions, and we look forward to working with you toward a successful S&ED-

Best,  
Shalini

Shalini Vajjhala, PhD  
Deputy Assistant Administrator  
Office of International & Tribal Affairs  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Phone: 202.564.2789  
Email: [vajjhala.shalini@epa.gov](mailto:vajjhala.shalini@epa.gov)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/28/2011 08:59 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: GovTrip User Notification

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 03/28/2011 08:59 AM -----

From: GovTrip.eTravel.System@etsproext01.govtrip.com  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/28/2011 08:54 AM  
Subject: GovTrip User Notification

---

Dear GovTrip User,

This email is a confirmation that you have requested a reset of your GovTrip travel system password. If you did not initiate this password reset request, please contact the GovTrip Help Desk immediately!

Your temporary password (only valid for 5 days) is: @kChN4NK

Please follow these instructions to log into GovTrip:

Go to the GovTrip Login page  
Enter your UserName  
Leave password field blank  
Click on the Change Password Link

Old Password - Enter the temporary password above

New Password - Create a new password (Must be a minimum of eight characters and include the following; 1 uppercase letter, 2 lowercase letters, 1 number, and 1 special character). The allowable special characters are: !@#\$%^&\*

Cannot be any of your previous six passwords Retype New password Click Save  
Close the browser window Go to the GovTrip Login page Enter UserName Enter the new password you created Click Log In

If you need assistance, please contact your agency Help Desk

Thank you for using GovTrip

-----  
PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL!

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/05/2011 08:31 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Travel Payment Email

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 04/05/2011 08:31 AM -----

From: Group FMC-Customer@EPA; Do@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov; Not@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov;  
Reply@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/04/2011 05:00 AM  
Subject: Travel Payment Email

---



**Financial Data  
Warehouse**

[Etravel Email Generation](#)

### **Govtrip Travel Card Payment for JOHN BEALE**

---

A payment in the amount of \$ 8.00 on TA: 0RHA04 for trip dated 03/23/2011 - 03/23/2011 will be made to your travel card in 4 business days.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/25/2011 01:32 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Leaving

Sorry I missed you Addie. I have to head out now. Hope we can do the travel stuff Monday morning. Also, please reschedule the Monday 1000 MRV meeting for 100PM. Thanks. Have a great weekend.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/24/2011 10:16 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Another meeting

Thx for setting up the meeting with Rob Addie. I would also like to meet with Scott tomorrow for 30 minutes. Thx.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

09/30/2010 05:22 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Blackberry

Hi Addie-

want you to know that my blackberry has stopped working. i have no access to EPA voicemail, text, or email except for when I am at a computer or telephone which is not very often. I will bring it in next week to see what is wrong with it.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/31/2011 08:06 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Dropping in to introduce myself -- Intl contact, OTAQ

Please work with Karen to find a time we can meet this week. Thx.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 01/31/2011 08:05 AM -----

From: Karen Laughlin/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/28/2011 12:07 PM  
Subject: Dropping in to introduce myself -- Intl contact, OTAQ

---

John,

I wanted to drop you a line to introduce myself. I handle coordination as well as a fair amount of content of most of OTAQ's international climate work and am increasingly picking up coordination of our non-climate international work. Margo, Sarah, and I thought it would be helpful for me to come down and introduce myself next week if you have an available time slot.

Would there be a good time to come by next week?  
I look forward to meeting and working with you.

Best Regards,  
Karen Laughlin

---

Karen D. Laughlin, Ph.D.  
Transportation and Climate Division  
Office of Transportation and Air Quality  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
laughlin.karen@epa.gov  
(202) 564-0761

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/26/2011 01:49 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: eInvoice, July 29 for BEALE

I have no idea what this is about---some travel to Philly on July 29?

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 01/26/2011 01:48 PM -----

From: YOUR SABRE CONNECTED TRAVEL CONSULTANT <agency.email.unknown@sabre.com>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/26/2011 01:10 PM  
Subject: eInvoice, July 29 for BEALE

---

Your Travel Arranger is pleased to deliver your complete travel itinerary through Sabre® Virtually There®. To view your eInvoice, click on the link below. Once the itinerary is displayed, click on the eInvoice icon.

[Click here to access your reservation on the web or a mobile device.](#)

Your eInvoice is available for 90 days after its issuance.

[CLICK HERE to opt out of receiving future e-mails from Virtually There.](#)

If the above link is inactive, please paste this URL into your browser to access your reservations:

<https://www.virtuallythere.com/new/reservationsChron.html?host=1W&pnr=ABAGBFOD474A&name=BEALE&uage=0&email=2>

Please do not reply to this e-mail. Your Travel Consultant has not provided Virtually There with an e-mail address to which replies may be sent. If you have questions, please contact your Travel Consultant through other means.



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/06/2010 10:26 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: FOIA Request

we should talk about this

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 01/06/2010 10:25 AM -----

From: SarahJ Williams/CI/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Khary Nelson/CI/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/06/2010 10:20 AM  
Subject: FOIA Request

---

Mr. Beale,

We have received a FOIA request so I will need all TA's, Vouchers and receipts for the trip listed below. This is a time sensitive request so you might have already submitted the requested information to this office however the files are not easily accessible. We are required to have this information in by Friday 01/08/10. I appreciate any assistance you can provide. Once you gather the information please just fax it to my attention. Please feel free to contact me if you have questions.

TO 07277AT107	BEALE, JOHN C.	FOR	3/10/2007	3/31/2007	\$40,632.69	\$36,
---------------	----------------	-----	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------

Thank you,

Sarah J. Williams  
Financial Specialist  
513-487-2089  
513-487-2595 (Secure Fax)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/06/2010 09:57 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: GovTrip Password Reset

As you requested....

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 01/06/2010 09:57 AM -----

From: "Chewning, Tommy L. (IS) (Contr)" <Tommy.Chewning@ngc.com>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/06/2010 09:55 AM  
Subject: GovTrip Password Reset

---

PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL!

Dear GovTrip User,

This e-mail is a confirmation that you have requested a reset of your GovTrip travel system password. If you did not initiate this password reset request, please contact the GovTrip Help Desk immediately!

Your temporary password (only valid for 5 days) is: #Ru4aFe5

Please follow these instructions to log into GovTrip:

Go to the GovTrip Login page

Enter your UserName

Leave password field blank

Click on the Change Password Link

Old Password - Enter the temporary password above

New Password - Create a new password (Must be a minimum of eight characters and include the following; 1 uppercase letter, 2 lowercase letters, 1 number, and 1 special character). The allowable special characters are: !@#\$%^&\*

Cannot be any of your previous six passwords

Retype New password

Click Save

Close the browser window

Go to the GovTrip Login page

Enter UserName

Enter the new password you created

Click [Log In](#)

If you need assistance, please contact your agency Help Desk

Thank you for using GovTrip

-----

PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL!

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

04/23/2010 02:15 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: GovTrip User Notification

FYI

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 04/23/2010 02:15 PM -----

From: GovTrip.eTravel.System@etsproext01.govtrip.com  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/23/2010 02:14 PM  
Subject: GovTrip User Notification

---

Dear GovTrip User,

This email is a confirmation that you have requested a reset of your GovTrip travel system password. If you did not initiate this password reset request, please contact the GovTrip Help Desk immediately!

Your temporary password (only valid for 5 days) is: 9x7&@yGaEO

Please follow these instructions to log into GovTrip:

Go to the GovTrip Login page  
Enter your UserName  
Leave password field blank  
Click on the Change Password Link

Old Password - Enter the temporary password above

New Password - Create a new password (Must be a minimum of eight characters and include the following; 1 uppercase letter, 2 lowercase letters, 1 number, and 1 special character). The allowable special characters are: !@#\$%^&\*

Cannot be any of your previous six passwords Retype New password Click Save  
Close the browser window Go to the GovTrip Login page Enter UserName Enter the new password you created Click Log In

If you need assistance, please contact your agency Help Desk

Thank you for using GovTrip

-----  
PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL!

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/09/2011 08:50 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: GovTrip User Notification

Can you take it from here?

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 03/09/2011 08:50 AM -----

From: GovTrip.eTravel.System@etsproext01.govtrip.com  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/08/2011 05:18 PM  
Subject: GovTrip User Notification

---

Dear GovTrip User,

This email is a confirmation that you have requested a reset of your GovTrip travel system password. If you did not initiate this password reset request, please contact the GovTrip Help Desk immediately!

Your temporary password (only valid for 5 days) is: mDl6&Phd

Please follow these instructions to log into GovTrip:

Go to the GovTrip Login page  
Enter your UserName  
Leave password field blank  
Click on the Change Password Link

Old Password - Enter the temporary password above

New Password - Create a new password (Must be a minimum of eight characters and include the following; 1 uppercase letter, 2 lowercase letters, 1 number, and 1 special character). The allowable special characters are: !@#\$\$%^&\*

Cannot be any of your previous six passwords Retype New password Click Save  
Close the browser window Go to the GovTrip Login page Enter UserName Enter the new password you created Click Log In

If you need assistance, please contact your agency Help Desk

Thank you for using GovTrip

-----  
PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL!

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

09/21/2011 12:06 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Outstanding Voucher in Gov Trip

Hi Addie - Can you take care of this for me? Thx.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 09/21/2011 12:05 PM -----

From: CINWD Travel  
To:  
Date: 09/21/2011 09:33 AM  
Subject: Outstanding Voucher in Gov Trip  
Sent by: Deborah Vanselow

---

---

Our records indicate you have a voucher waiting for your signature in Gov Trip. This voucher has been sitting for days. Please log into Gov Trip and sign the voucher so payment can be made ASAP. EPA is changing finance systems and we need to have all of these old vouchers processed before we start conversion to the new system. This voucher must be routed to Cincinnati by September 27, 2011 in order for payment to be made before the Finance system goes down. The down time will be until October 24, 2011.

Thanks

Cincinnati Travel Team  
53-487-2346

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/28/2010 10:03 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Payment Confirmation/Receipt for The New Green Economy

FYI

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 01/28/2010 10:03 AM -----

From: "Caley Corsello" <conference@ncseonline.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/27/2010 12:26 PM  
Subject: Payment Confirmation/Receipt for The New Green Economy

---

Event Title: The New Green Economy  
Registration Confirmation Number: 5VNUV2N8L7Q

**Transaction Information:**

Item	Price	Quantity	Amount
Onsite Registration - Individual 3 Day	\$450.00	1	\$450.00
<b>Transaction Total</b>			<b>\$450.00</b>

If you have any questions about the transaction or this email notification, please contact the event planner for this event at [conference@ncseonline.org](mailto:conference@ncseonline.org). To view the details of this event, click the following link:

[Click here for event summary](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

Having trouble with the link? Because some email applications do not recognize html links in incoming emails, the web address above may not appear as a link. If this is the case, please copy and paste the following web address into your web browser. The web address is encrypted so it ensures that you can securely access the desired web address. Please make sure you copy the encrypted address completely.

<http://guest.cvent.com/i.aspx?5S,P1,000A5FD8-715C-4D5C-BBDE-C472F79F8EC5>

\*\*\*\*\*

powered by  
**cvent**

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/12/2010 10:06 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Please subscribe to Solutions

Hi Addie. I would like the office to subscribe to this journal for me. Thanks.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/12/2010 10:05 AM -----

From: Julie Thorpe <julie.thorpe@thesolutionsjournal.com>  
To: undisclosed-recipients;;  
Date: 02/10/2010 04:12 PM  
Subject: Please subscribe to Solutions

---

## Solutions For a Sustainable and Desirable Future

We were pleased to have you with us at the debut of *Solutions*, an online and print publication devoted exclusively to showcasing bold and innovative ideas for solving the world's environmental, ecological, and socio-economic problems.

Please visit <http://www.thesolutionsjournal.com/subscribe> to subscribe now. **We rely on your subscriptions, article contributions, partnerships and sponsorships to keep the conversation going.** For those who have already contacted us in some way to offer your support, Thank you!

If you would like to keep up to date with our Webinars and other events that *Solutions* is covering or attending, please email Julie Thorpe to be added to our email notification list – [Julie.thorpe@thesolutionsjournal.com](mailto:Julie.thorpe@thesolutionsjournal.com) and type “Add Me” in the subject line. If you wish to be removed, please type "Remove Me" in the subject line.

*Solutions* is a unique hybrid between a popular magazine and an authoritative peer-reviewed journal. The website, featuring our exclusive archive of peer-reviewed articles and online community of fellow problem solvers, can be found at: <http://www.thesolutionsjournal.com>.

Published bimonthly, and distributed internationally, *Solutions* will synthesize the best of our knowledge and creativity, driven by a vision of a sustainable and desirable future. Founded by the world-renowned scholars Robert Costanza, Paul Hawken, David Orr, and John Todd, the publication brings cutting edge ideas of academics and professionals to an audience of policy makers, business leaders, and engaged public.

To keep up-to-date with the latest solutions coming out, join us on [facebook](#), [twitter](#), or [LinkedIn](#). **Spread the word to your own networks!**

Join the conversation that experts around the world are already beginning about *Solutions*:

*“A brilliant meeting place for ideas and strategies to be born, bathed, and brought into the world.”* ~ Frances Moore Lappé, Author and President of Small Planet Institute

*“It's exactly what we need—careful and accurate accounts of the next steps that we need to take.”* ~ Bill McKibben, Author and Scholar in Residence at Middlebury College

*“Solutions will provide the rich and deep ideas we need to make a positive difference in the world.”* ~ Jeffrey



Hollender, CEO of Seventh Generation

*"Solutions provides the essential place to turn to for practical solutions."* ~ Tom Lovejoy, President of the Heinz Center

*"Solutions promises to be a spirited no-holds-barred magazine for intellectual grown-ups who think and want to do at this "all-hands-on-deck" time, humanity's most important moment ever."* ~ Wes Jackson, President of The Land Institute

*Solutions* is registered as a 501(C)(3) non-profit organization.

**Become part of the Solution.**

**Submit an article or subscribe online.**

<http://www.thesolutionsjournal.com>

---

***Solutions Editorial Board:***

Gar Alperovitz  
Ray Anderson  
Vinya Ariyaratne  
Robert Ayres  
Peter Barnes  
William Becker  
Lester Brown  
Ernest Callenbach  
Cutler Cleveland  
Raymond Cole  
Rita Colwell  
Robert Corell  
Herman Daly  
Thomas Dietz  
Joshua Farley  
Jerry Franklin  
Susan Joy Hassol  
Richard Heinberg  
Jeffrey Hollender  
Buzz Holling  
Terry Irwin  
Jon Isham  
Wes Jackson  
Patrick C. Kangas  
Tim Kasser  
Frances Moore Lappé  
Rik Leemans  
Thomas Lovejoy  
Hunter Lovins  
Manfred Max-Neef  
Peter May  
Bill McKibben  
Mohan Munasinghe  
Norman Myers  
Elinor Ostrom  
Bill Rees  
Wolfgang Sachs  
Peter Senge  
Anthony Simon  
Gus Speth  
Larry Susskind  
Mary Evelyn Tucker  
Alvaro Umaña  
Marjan van den Belt

**Sim van der Ryn**  
**Peter Victor**  
**Mike Young**

Julie Thorpe  
Managing Director of Business and Marketing  
The Solutions Journal  
[julie.thorpe@thesolutionsjournal.com](mailto:julie.thorpe@thesolutionsjournal.com)  
802-777-0077

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/14/2011 09:56 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: request TXZAWEN-8DXK67ZXT

FYI

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2011 09:56 AM -----

From: Reply OCFO-OFS-PPL-Customer-Service  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 02/14/2011 09:34 AM  
Subject: RE: request TXZAWEN-8DXK67ZXT

---

As of PPE 01/01/11, which was the end of the leave year, there was no annual leave forfeited so there was no annual leave to restore.

For any questions/comments, please respond via this ticket.

This ticket will be closed.

request Title: Restoration of Forfeited Annual Leave - Beale, John  
request Number: AWEN-8DXK67

Please process Restored Leave for John Beale.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/14/2011 09:59 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Request TXZAWEN-8DXK67ZXT

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2011 09:59 AM -----

From: Reply OCFO-OFS-PPL-Customer-Service  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
Cc: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 02/10/2011 09:39 AM  
Subject: RE: Request TXZAWEN-8DXK67ZXT

---

Your request has been assigned & someone will respond when processed.

Request Title: 'Restoration of Forfeited Annual Leave - Beale, John'  
Request Number: AWEN-8DXK67

Please process Restored Leave for John Beale.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/23/2010 08:13 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Travel Payment Email

Hi Addie,

is this for real or some type of spam. if for real, why?

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/23/2010 08:11 AM -----

From: Group FMC-Customer@EPA; Do@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov; Not@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov;  
Reply@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/23/2010 05:00 AM  
Subject: Travel Payment Email

---



**Financial Data  
Warehouse**

[Etravel Email Generation](#)

### **Govtrip Travel Card Payment for JOHN BEALE**

---

A payment in the amount of \$ 6.25 on TA: 0Q78V6 for trip dated 01/22/2010 - 01/22/2010 will be made to your travel card in 4 business days.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/14/2011 09:56 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Your Call Record Ref: AWEN-8DXK67 has been closed.

FYI

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2011 09:56 AM -----

From: Reply OCFO-OFS-PPL-Customer-Service  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 02/14/2011 09:35 AM  
Subject: Your Call Record Ref: AWEN-8DXK67 has been closed.

---

The call you raised on 2/10/2011 9:37:04 AM has now been marked as 'Closed'.

Problem Title:

Restoration of Forfeited Annual Leave - Beale, John

Problem Resolution:

As of PPE 01/01/11, which was the end of the leave year, there was no annual leave forfeited so there was no annual leave to restore.

For any questions/comments, please respond via this ticket.

This ticket will be closed.

If you have questions regarding the status of this closed issue, please respond to this email.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/14/2011 10:00 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Your Call Record Ref: AWEN-8DXK67 has been created.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2011 10:00 AM -----

From: Reply OCFO-OFS-PPL-Customer-Service  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 02/10/2011 09:39 AM  
Subject: Your Call Record Ref: AWEN-8DXK67 has been created.

---

**\*\*\* Please Do Not Reply To This Email \*\*\***

Thank you for contacting the DFAS Call Center. Request # AWEN-8DXK67 has been created for your inquiry. This request will be escalated to the Office of Financial Services Team and assigned to a Payroll Support Member. The Payroll Support Member will contact you if further information is required and describe the resolution of the reported problem.

**Problem Title:**

Restoration of Forfeited Annual Leave - Beale, John

If you require further assistance please contact DFAS via:

**Telephone: 1-800-538-9043**

If you would like to receive an email summary of **ALL** your open tickets and their current status, please press the button below.

[Get Status on All Open Tickets](#)

**\*\*\* Please Do Not Reply To This Email \*\*\***

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/24/2011 07:17 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Hi Addie,

Hope all is well. When you can would you please set up a meeting between Rob and me for an hour sometime tomorrow. Thx. I will check in later.



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/01/2011 08:11 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Not able to get into EPA today. Will be in the rest of the week.  
Please let i-team know. Will call later.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/08/2011 01:00 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Please make a lunch reservation for 2 next Thurs the 17 at noon . Thanks

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

11/28/2011 08:42 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: CWS day, Monday, see you Tuesday. Any questions/concern about Jim calendar contact Joyce,Janet's Cindy/Cynthia

Hi Addie,

Thanks for your note about your compressed day. Sorry to miss you. My plans have changed as well. As you know I was on leave last week. This week and next I will be working. In Va. today and tomorrow and on travel Wed through at least Dec 10th. As usual, I will contact you if there are any changes. Hope to see you the week of December 12. Take care.

Addie Johnson

Addie Y. Johnson Environmental Prote...

11/25/2011 11:36:39 AM

From: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US

To: Joyce Crowley/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Janet McCabe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Lala Alston/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 11/25/2011 11:36 AM

Subject: CWS day, Monday, see you Tuesday. Any questions/concern about Jim calendar contact Joyce,Janet's Cindy/Cynthia

---

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/07/2011 06:58 PM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting on Wednesday at 3-4 International Climate Change Priorities -Maurice

OK. Can we make it 3-430?

Addie Johnson

[Addie Y. Johnson Environmental Prote...](#)

02/07/2011 04:27:41 PM

From: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US

To: beale.john@epa.gov

Date: 02/07/2011 04:27 PM

Subject: Meeting on Wednesday at 3-4 International Climate Change Priorities -Maurice

---

Addie Y. Johnson  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Air and Radiation/Immediate Office  
(202) 564-7403 voice  
(202) 501-0394 fax

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

12/13/2010 09:27 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Brian McLean is Retiring -- Please join us in wishing  
Brian well!

please put on my calendar

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 12/13/2010 09:27 AM -----

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US

To:

Date: 12/13/2010 09:01 AM

Subject: Brian McLean is Retiring -- Please join us in wishing Brian well!

---

*After 41 years of service, Brian McLean is retiring from the Federal government*



1969

Brian Enters Government



2010

Brian After 41 Years

*Please join us in wishing Brian well!*

**Wednesday, January 13, 2011, 4 - 6 PM**

**Bobby Van's Grill, 1201 New York Avenue Northwest, Washington**

In order to cover the reception and gift, a contribution of \$15 is appreciated due no later than January 5, 2011.

You may contact any of the following volunteers:

Jackie Krieger	OAP/IO	202-343-9905
Rona Birnbaum	OAP/CCD	202-343-9076
Gabrielle Stevens	OAP/CAMD	202-343-9252
Beth Craig	OAP/CPD	202-343-9312
Kristinn Vázquez	OAP/SPD	202-343-9062



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/03/2011 10:19 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Re

Please put this meeting on my calendar

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 01/03/2011 10:18 AM -----

From: Teri Porterfield/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/30/2010 10:32 AM  
Subject: Re

---

Great - same to you!!

Teri Porterfield  
202-564-7683

John Beale

Happy New Year Teri, I hope you had a...

12/30/2010 04:23:20 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Teri Porterfield/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/30/2010 04:23 AM  
Subject: Re: Reference our telephone conversation...

---

Happy New Year Teri,

I hope you had a great Christmas and are getting some time off this week. That time with the OITA folks works fine. Thanks so much for setting it up. Sorry they were such a hassle to deal with.

See you Monday!

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Teri Porterfield

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Teri Porterfield  
**Sent:** 12/29/2010 11:36 AM EST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** Fw: Reference our telephone conversation...

Hi John,

See email below. Will this time work for you? I don't have access to your calendar but I responded to Lakita saying it works. If not, let me know so we can reschedule.

Teri Porterfield  
202-564-7683

----- Forwarded by Teri Porterfield/RTP/USEPA/US on 12/29/2010 11:35 AM -----

From: Lakita Stewart/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Teri Porterfield/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/29/2010 11:20 AM  
Subject: Re: Reference our telephone conversation...

---



Hi Teri, Happy New Year! Per my voice mail to ya, the first mtg. below with Bob P. and Michelle, Michelle will like to know if their first mtg. can happen mid-January. January 18th at 3-4:30pm work for Bob?

The second mtg. with John Beale, both Shalini and Michelle are available 2:30pm on Jan. 11th. They can meet in Michelle's office for 20 or 30min.

Let me know if these times work for both mtgs. Thanks!

---

Lakita L. Stewart  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of International & Tribal Affairs (OITA)  
Mailcode: 2610R  
Executive Assistant to Michelle DePass (AA/OITA)  
stewart.lakita@epa.gov  
Phone: 202-564-6458  
Fax: 202-565-2407

Teri Porterfield	Lakita, The deputy (Bob P) would like t...	12/10/2010 01:37:42 PM
------------------	--	------------------------

---

From: Teri Porterfield/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: Lakita Stewart/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/10/2010 01:37 PM  
Subject: Reference our telephone conversation...

---

Lakita,

The deputy (Bob P) would like to schedule a meeting in early January for 1.5 hours - Subj: General Overview of OITA's work. (Note: He has regular program updates with all the other AA offices and would like to also start scheduling regular updates with your office.)

John Beale, works for Gina McCarthy (he is one of her deputies) and he would like to schedule a meet and greet with Michelle and Shalini together, if possible. Gina just recently sent out a note saying that he is resuming his role as the lead for OAR's international work. Beth Craig has moved to another office in OAR.

Thanks for your assistance in helping me to get these meetings scheduled.

Teri Porterfield  
202-564-7683

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/22/2011 04:39 AM

To Addie Johnson, Cindy Huang, "Terry Keating", Sue  
Stendebach, Maurice LeFranc, Breck Milroy, "Sarah Sowell"  
cc "Rob Brenner"

bcc

Subject Status

As I feared when I spoke with several of you yesterday I will not be able to get into EPA today. I am at work in Va now and will be stuck here until I have to go to the airport.

Addie-you can just email me when the ticket has been issued.

Cindy-I hate to ask you to reschedule my 1100 general with Gina again but-I must. Sometime Tue or Wed next week would be great. No later than Wed please.

Terry-thanks for doing the 1000 meeting and for all your work getting it ready. Please schedule the follow up for next Tue or Wed. When you have the write up for the HTAP funding you can email it to me.

Sue-see you in San Diego.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/02/2011 04:09 AM

To Addie Johnson, Maurice LeFranc, Sue Stendebach, Breck  
Milroy, "Rob Brenner"

cc "Gina MCarthy"

bcc

Subject Arrival

I am out at VA. Have been here since about 0200. I will come into EPA today but will be arriving late.  
Hope to be there by 1100. Please let folks know. Thx Addie.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/16/2011 09:51 AM

To Addie Johnson, Sue Stendebach

cc

bcc

Subject Update

So...it is Monday evening here and I am still here, not on my way back to the States. The really bad news is that the way things look I will not be back until Thru/Fri at the earliest.

Addie, please cancel my flight and hotel reservations for Mexico City.

Sue, I am so sorry about this. When I left last Monday I had no idea this trip would impact Mexico City. I am sorry I cannot be there but I know you will do a great job.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/23/2011 09:11 AM

To Addie Johnson, "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Update

As you both know I thought I would be at EPA today. No such luck. I have been out here in Va since about midnight last night and will be all day and into tonight. I was already scheduled to be here tomorrow on other business so will not get to EPA until Wed. Sorry for the constantly changing plans.

Give me a call or email if you need anything.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/19/2011 05:52 AM

To Addie Johnson

cc "Rob Brenner", "Jim Jones"

bcc

Subject Update

We are on our way back to the States. Should get in late Thursday night. I plan to be in at EPA Friday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/04/2011 07:19 AM

To Addie Johnson, "Sarah Sowell", Maurice LeFranc, Sue  
Stendebach, Breck Milroy, "Marta Montoro"

cc

bcc

Subject Whereabouts

Hi guys. I am not back yet. Will return tomorrow AM.

Addie: please reschedule my meetings for tomorrow if possible. Wed if not tomorrow. Thanks. Sorry about the changes.

Maurice: we should circulate the revised version of the package for Gina's meeting with Connie. Welcome back.

See y'all tomorrow.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/08/2011 06:21 PM

To Amit Srivastava

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Materials for LRTAP

Nothing yet. May have a letter to give her tomorrow. Thanks.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Amit Srivastava

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Amit Srivastava

**Sent:** 02/08/2011 04:27 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** Cate Hight

**Subject:** Materials for LRTAP

Hi John,

Will there be any materials for the Pre-Brief for LRTAP meeting?

Thanks!

Amit Srivastava  
Special Assistant to the Assistant Administrator  
Office of Air and Radiation  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
ARN Room 5406 E  
(202) 564-2618  
Srivastava.Amit@epa.gov



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/07/2011 03:26 PM

To Amit Srivastava

cc

bcc

Subject Re: EU Commissioner Meeting

Thanks very much Amit. We will see how Maurice is feeling tomorrow.

Amit Srivastava

Hi John, Gina is OK with either you or...

04/07/2011 01:55:56 PM

From: Amit Srivastava/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Julia Miller/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/07/2011 01:55 PM  
Subject: EU Commissioner Meeting

---

Hi John,

Gina is OK with either you or Maurice attending. Its your choice on who should attend since she does not have a preference.

Let us know if you need anything else.

Amit Srivastava  
Special Assistant to the Assistant Administrator  
Office of Air and Radiation  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
ARN Room 5406 E  
(202) 564-2618  
Srivastava.Amit@epa.gov

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/19/2011 09:48 AM

To Anna Phillips

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Proposed Visit to EPA of UK's Eleanor Shawcross

Thanks for the note Anna. Addie is contacting Inga about timing but I wanted you to know that the morning of the 27th is better for me. I am on call for some travel and may have to leave on very short notice that afternoon. Thx for your help.

Anna Phillips

Shalini/John, As mentioned in previous...

01/18/2011 03:49:05 PM

From: Anna Phillips/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Shalini Vajjhala/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Inga Barnett-Owens/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Waxmonsky/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Sauls/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jane Metcalfe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Diana Gearhart/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Neilima Senjalia/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/18/2011 03:49 PM  
Subject: Fw: Proposed Visit to EPA of UK's Eleanor Shawcross

Shalini/John,

As mentioned in previous e-mails, please let me know if you would be available to meet with Eleanor Shawcross, Political Advisor to UK Chancellor George Osborne, on **Thursday, January 27, from 2:00 - 3:00 p.m.** Please note that this timing has been suggested by her schedulers but we also can propose another date/time next week, if needed. I'm also copying Inga and Addie so that they can help to confirm the timing for this meeting.

As a reminder, one of the objectives of Eleanor's visit to the U.S. is to "examine climate change, green technology and the politics of carbon reduction targets." Additional biographical details and other information is attached.

Thanks and regards,  
Anna

~~~~~

Anna Phillips  
Program Manager for Europe  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
Office of International & Tribal Affairs  
Washington, D.C. 20460

Tel: 202.564.6419 Fax: 202.565.2412  
E-mail: [phillips.anna@epa.gov](mailto:phillips.anna@epa.gov)

~~~~~



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

----- Forwarded by Anna Phillips/DC/USEPA/US on 01/18/2011 03:28 PM -----

From: "Jorge (J.D.) Nomdedeu" <[JNomdedeu@phelpsstokes.org](mailto:JNomdedeu@phelpsstokes.org)>  
To: Anna Phillips/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Luiza Menasria Afzal <[LMafzal@phelpsstokes.org](mailto:LMafzal@phelpsstokes.org)>

Date: 01/18/2011 02:51 PM  
Subject: U.S. Department of State's International Visitor Leadership Program-Economic Security and Trade(1/27/11)

---

Dear Ms. Phillips:

On behalf of the U.S. Department of State's International Visitor Leadership Program, I am currently working on a program for Ms. Eleanor Shawcross, Political Advisor to the Chancellor of the United Kingdom. The program is entitled "Economic Security and Trade". The three-week program begins in Washington, D.C. on January 24<sup>th</sup> and will include visits to Austin, TX; Chicago, IL and Seattle, WA, before it concludes on February 12<sup>th</sup> 2011.

During her program in Washington, D.C. (January 24-28), I would like to request an appointment for Ms. Shawcross at EPA for a briefing session. Based on the information we have received from the U.S. Embassy in London and from Ms. Shawcross herself, it is my understanding that you have expressed interest in meeting with Ms. Shawcross during her time in Washington, DC. Ideally, I would like for this meeting to take place on the afternoon of **Thursday, January 27<sup>th</sup> 2:00 -3:30 pm.** If this timing does not work, please let me know if there is an alternative time during that week that is more convenient.

Attached please find the biography of the participant, who will be accompanied by one escort who will facilitate the logistics of her program.

Thank you very much for your kind consideration of this request. Please let me know if you have any questions about the participant, the program, or need any other information. I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

With best wishes,  
J.D. Nomdedeu

Jorge (J.D.) Nomdedeu  
Program Associate  
International Visitor Leadership Program  
Phelps Stokes  
1400 I St., Suite 750  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 371-9544 Ext.221  
Toll free: 1 800-874-7797 Ext. 221  
[jnomdedeu@phelpsstokes.org](mailto:jnomdedeu@phelpsstokes.org)  
[www.PhelpsStokes.org](http://www.PhelpsStokes.org)



*Phelps Stokes, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization, is North America's oldest continuously operating foundation dedicated to leadership development, education, institutional capacity building and equitable development in Africa, the African Diaspora in the Americas, indigenous communities in the Americas, and other underserved and marginalized populations around the world.*

*Since 1911, Phelps Stokes has been a trusted partner to visionary leaders and organizations with big ideas dedicated to build bridges of intercultural, interracial and international harmony.*

[attachment "Final Bio - Ms. Eleanor Shawcross.docx" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/21/2011 06:09 AM

To Ben DeAngelo

cc

bcc

Subject Re: follow up on Arctic Council

Thx Ben. I will follow up with y tomorrow/Monday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Ben DeAngelo

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Ben DeAngelo

**Sent:** 04/20/2011 05:14 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** Reid Harvey; Paul Gunning; Rona Birnbaum

**Subject:** follow up on Arctic Council

Hi John,

Just a note to let you know that I haven't received any further info from State about the recent SAO meeting or issues regarding the Declaration language leading up to the May 12 Ministerial. It's possible Julie's not in the office. Neither she or her colleague have answered our emails or phone calls over the past few days.

-Ben

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/29/2010 03:46 AM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Call

Hi Beth,

Just got freed up from the "bat cage". Going home for a few hours sleep. Will come into EPA latter this morning. Will call you.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/19/2010 07:18 PM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Jacob

Beth- I spoke with Joe and he is fine, relieved even, with the prospect of Jacob as a bridge. I will check with Rob and then begin the conversation with Jacob tomorrow.

Cheers

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/19/2010 05:48 AM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Joe

Beth-i was stuck in VA thurs and friday last week. Did not get to speak with Joe or Jacob. I will talk with both of them today.

I am in Athens (Ga.) for a workshop on environmental econ at Univ. of Ga.

I will call you after I reach Joe.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/21/2010 09:02 AM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Location, location, location

Hey Beth,

Hope your weekend is great. Don't watch the NGA fun on CSPAN.

Want to let you know that I will have to be in VA on Monday and Thursday this week. In at EPA the rest of week.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/28/2010 09:38 AM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Did you get a chance to talk

Yes I did. I think it would pose a significant problem for the spin off of cook stoves. I have 4 or 5 other names I would like to discuss with you. Hope to get out of here this afternoon and come into EPA.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Beth Craig

**Sent:** 04/27/2010 03:02 PM EDT

**To:** beale.john@epa.gov

**Subject:** Did you get a chance to talk

to Jacob?

Thanks, Beth

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/29/2010 12:18 PM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Do you want to talk now or later this afternoon?

Sorry Beth. Got 3 hours sleep and then called back out here. Its a mess. Hope to finally finish this in next 24 hours and get to EPA tomorrow. Will keep you posted.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Beth Craig

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Beth Craig

**Sent:** 04/29/2010 11:38 AM EDT

**To:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Do you want to talk now or later this afternoon?

Thanks, Beth

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/30/2010 10:27 AM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Done

Hey Beth-i am here and will be the rest of the day. When you get a chance let me know what works for you for getting together either in person or by phone.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Beth Craig

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Beth Craig  
**Sent:** 04/30/2010 06:41 AM EDT  
**To:** John Beale; Addie Johnson  
**Subject:** Re: Done

John, I leave at 11 am for a mtg at OEOB, should be back to my other office at 1 or 1:30ish, Beth

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 04/30/2010 06:21 AM EDT  
**To:** Beth Craig; Addie Johnson  
**Subject:** Done

Finally done. Really...heading home for a few hours...be in this morning.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/21/2010 02:56 PM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Re: One-pager on OAR and International

Thanks Beth. Now stop it. Turn that thing off and take care of your self.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Beth Craig

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Beth Craig

**Sent:** 01/21/2010 01:54 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** Maurice LeFranc

**Subject:** One-pager on OAR and International

John, Maurice has put together the attached chart that shows the continuum of what we are doing now on international climate and how it can be changed or enhanced. Your comments are welcome!! He is out until Monday. I will be in tomorrow, Beth

[attachment "international priority setting.ppt" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/30/2010 06:21 AM

To Beth Craig, Addie Johnson

cc

bcc

Subject Done

Finally done. Really...heading home for a few hours...be in this morning.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/08/2010 01:28 PM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Coffee

I would like to attend the 1515 on PSD with Gina. Could we do "coffee" at 1415 or 1630?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

12/17/2012 06:25 PM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Great Job!

Beth,

I really enjoyed seeing you today. Thanks for making the time for lunch and great job as MC today. See you next year Beth.



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

05/17/2011 03:37 PM

To Beth Craig

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Can we chat tomorrow?

Hi Beth,

Just got your message from yesterday. I am out of country. Hope to be back by Thur/Fri. Will contact you as soon as I am back.

Beth Craig

[Dear John, can we chat tomorrow? I wi...](#)

05/16/2011 06:53:07 PM

From: Beth Craig/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>  
Date: 05/16/2011 06:53 PM  
Subject: Can we chat tomorrow?

---

Dear John, can we chat tomorrow? I will be over for senior staff in the am, but back at L street in the afternoon. Thanks, Beth  
343 9312

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/11/2013 03:53 PM

To Betsy Shaw

cc

bcc

Subject Note

Hi Betsy,

When you look at the draft note that Terry sent to you for me you will find the new language that you and I discussed last Thursday in paragraph 2(b).

Feel free to let me know if you think we should make any other changes.

Thanks.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

07/13/2009 07:29 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Call

I am sorry. Please give me the best number to call you on again.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

04/09/2011 10:27 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Weekend Travel

Fyi

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 10:15 AM EDT  
**To:** Gina McCarthy  
**Cc:** Jim Jones; "Terry Keating" <keating.terry@epa.gov>; "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>; "Bill Harnett" <harnett.bill@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Weekend Travel

Thanks Gina,

Rob  
Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Gina McCarthy  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 09:31 AM EDT  
**To:** Rob Brenner; "Steve Page" <Page.Steve@Epa.GOV>; "Margo Oge" <Oge.Margo@EPA.GOV>; Beth Craig  
**Cc:** Jim Jones@EPA.GOV; "Don Zinger" <Zinger.Don@EPA.GOV>  
**Subject:** Re: Weekend Travel

We can go ahead with travel again as long as it has gone thru appropriate approval process.  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 04:33 AM EDT  
**To:** "Gina McCarthy" <McCarthy.Gina@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Jim Jones  
**Subject:** Weekend Travel

Hi Gina,

Now that we have a CR and OMB has instructed us to resume normal operations, can I turn around my instructions to Terry Keating and let him go to the LRTAP meeting?  
Or are we still locked in to no weekend travel?

Thanks,

Rob

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/16/2009 10:04 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: FYI on AA

Thanks Bill

-----Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US

Date: 01/16/2009 09:26AM

Subject: FYI on AA

This was the name I couldnt remmeber. Doug SCott, ECO of Illinois.

## **Filling In EPA's Team Obama**

The incoming Obama administration is reportedly moving closer to filling out several key sub-cabinet level slots at EPA.

Douglass Scott, director of the Illinois EPA and a former colleague of President-elect Obama from his days in the Illinois legislature, has reportedly been selected to lead EPA's air office, according to a former high-ranking EPA official.

Scott has a long record in public service, including working as an attorney for the city of Chicago and serving as mayor of Rockford, IL, for four years before being appointed to his current position in 2005 by impeached-Gov. Rod Blagojevich (D). He is also a member of the Environmental Council of States (ECOS), which represents state environmental commissioners, chairing the group's air committee.

Additionally, the source says Cameron Davis, president of the Alliance for the Great Lakes, will be named assistant administrator for the Office of Water, and Grant Cope, who now works for Sen. Barbara Boxer (D) on the Environment & Public Works Committee, will head the Office of Solid Waste & Emergency Response.

Davis has worked as a staff attorney for EPA's Office of Regional Counsel in Region V and served the United Nations Environment Programme in Nairobi, Kenya, while Cope worked for the U.S. Public Interest Research Group before moving to Boxer's committee.

Meanwhile, the former EPA official and several other sources expect Eric Schaeffer, who runs the Environmental Integrity Project and used to head

EPA's civil enforcement office, to be named assistant administrator for the Office of Enforcement & Compliance Assurance. Names likely for deputy administrator include former Clinton-era EPA General Counsel Gary Guzy and Jonathan Cannon, who served as the agency's chief financial officer and assistant administrator for the Office of Administration & Resources Management under Clinton.

Finally, the ex-EPA source expects "fierce competition" for the general counsel's slot. Other position's still pending include the offices of Research & Development, Environmental Information and Prevention, Pesticides & Toxic Substances .

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/18/2009 09:17 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: How is noon today?

No. What about next Wed?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/18/2009 09:09 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** How is noon today?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

06/18/2009 09:34 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: How is noon today?

You are awfully hard to get along with. As soon as I know when I get back to DC I will contact you. Perhaps I will have to come to RTP.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/18/2009 09:23 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: How is noon today?

I can do Wednesday.....if you are in Kansas City then. I am in Kansas City Tuesday thru Thursday of next week bonding with the Region and its State directors.

Terry and I had a great meeting with EC folks in Brussels on Monday of this week but you will not be informed of it until you actually show up to a meeting in person.

Re: How is noon today?

**Re: How is noon today?** 

**John Beale** to: Bill Harnett

06/18/2009 09:17 AM

---

No. What about next Wed?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/18/2009 09:09 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** How is noon today?



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

06/18/2009 03:08 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: How is noon today?

I will keep you posted on all counts

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/18/2009 12:35 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: How is noon today?

I can come up the week after next on July 1 or 2. If you are coming to RTP, will you be coming incognito on your private EPA jet? Has Gina found out about your plane yet?

Re: How is noon today?

**Re: How is noon today?** 

**John Beale** to: Bill Harnett

06/18/2009 09:34 AM

---

You are awfully hard to get along with. As soon as I know when I get back to DC I will contact you. Perhaps I will have to come to RTP.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/18/2009 09:23 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: How is noon today?

I can do Wednesday.....if you are in Kansas City then. I am in Kansas City Tuesday thru Thursday of next week bonding with the Region and its State directors.

Terry and I had a great meeting with EC folks in Brussels on Monday of this week but you will not be informed of it until you actually show up to a meeting in person.

Re: How is noon today?

**Re: How is noon today?** 

**John Beale** to: Bill Harnett

06/18/2009 09:17 AM

---

No. What about next Wed?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett  
**Sent:** 06/18/2009 09:09 AM EDT  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** How is noon today?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/09/2009 03:20 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting

You bet. Would 11:00 work for you?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/09/2009 02:10 PM EDT

**To:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Meeting

I am in DC on Thursday. Have times you could meet?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/09/2009 03:32 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting

How about 2:30?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/09/2009 03:27 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting

i have a half hour then or could do it earlier or after 2:00pm


John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/09/2009 03:20 PM

To Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

Subject Re: Meeting 

You bet. Would 11:00 work for you?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/09/2009 02:10 PM EDT

**To:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Meeting

I am in DC on Thursday. Have times you could meet?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/11/2009 05:32 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting

Bill

Sorry but a change in plans takes me out of DC today. Will not be able to meet today. I will be in at EPA on monday and one other day next week. Are you in DC any days next week?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/09/2009 03:27 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting

i have a half hour then or could do it earlier or after 2:00pm


John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/09/2009 03:20 PM

To Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

Subject Re: Meeting 

You bet. Would 11:00 work for you?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/09/2009 02:10 PM EDT

**To:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Meeting

I am in DC on Thursday. Have times you could meet?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/09/2009 08:34 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting?

Too bad. Don't think I will be in town on 20th. Perhaps we should try to set a time for a call Friday or Monday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 06:45 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting?

No. i will probably be up there on Monday July 20th

John Beale

[I will be at EPA on Friday this week. An...](#)

07/09/2009 03:49:28 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Bill Harnett" <harnett.bill@epa.gov>  
Date: 07/09/2009 03:49 AM  
Subject: Meeting?

---

I will be at EPA on Friday this week. Any chance you will be in DC?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/09/2009 08:54 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting?

Are you kidding! Give me a time Friday morning or Monday morning and I will call you, also what number is best.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 08:51 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting?

is your scheduler up to date in Lotus for me to schedule some time on Friday or Monday?

John Beale

Too bad. Don't think I will be in town on...

07/09/2009 08:35:05 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/09/2009 08:35 AM  
Subject: Re: Meeting?

Too bad. Don't think I will be in town on 20th. Perhaps we should try to set a time for a call Friday or Monday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 06:45 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting?

No. i will probably be up there on Monday July 20th

John Beale

I will be at EPA on Friday this week. An...

07/09/2009 03:49:28 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Bill Harnett" <harnett.bill@epa.gov>  
Date: 07/09/2009 03:49 AM  
Subject: Meeting?

I will be at EPA on Friday this week. Any chance you will be in DC?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services





John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/09/2009 02:16 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting?

Ok. I will call you Monday morning before 10. What number is best?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 09:01 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting?

anything before 10am Monday or after 11:00am

Friday is up in the air because of a floating meeting with Gina on a Title V petition. Should we invite you to that meeting?

John Beale

[Are you kidding! Give me a time Friday...](#)

07/09/2009 08:54:59 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/09/2009 08:54 AM  
Subject: Re: Meeting?

Are you kidding! Give me a time Friday morning or Monday morning and I will call you, also what number is best.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 08:51 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting?

is your scheduler up to date in Lotus for me to schedule some time on Friday or Monday?

John Beale

[Too bad. Don't think I will be in town on...](#)

07/09/2009 08:35:05 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/09/2009 08:35 AM  
Subject: Re: Meeting?

Too bad. Don't think I will be in town on 20th. Perhaps we should try to set a time for a call Friday or Monday.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 07/09/2009 06:45 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Meeting?

No. i will probably be up there on Monday July 20th

John Beale

I will be at EPA on Friday this week. An...

07/09/2009 03:49:28 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Bill Harnett" <harnett.bill@epa.gov>  
Date: 07/09/2009 03:49 AM  
Subject: Meeting?

---

I will be at EPA on Friday this week. Any chance you will be in DC?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/11/2010 09:57 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: O Grand International Leader!!

Thx Bill. How about a sharp stick in the eye?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 12/11/2010 09:35 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** O Grand International Leader!!

Dear John,

It looks like a contingent of Environment Canada personnel are coming to visit in DC on January 18th. It will be led by Mike Beale, the Acting Assistant Associate Deputy Minister for Environmental Stewardship for EC. He is planning on bringing Louise Metivier, among others. She is the Director General for Strategic Priorities. Great titles! They are coming to meet with us on GHG permitting guidance. They will be meeting with technical staff in the morning and then with Janet McCabe from 1:00pm to 1:45pm in case you wish to attend that last session. Also, I will be checking with them about whether they would like to do a dinner on the night of Monday, January 17th. That is our holiday but I would come up for that and it would just be you and I with them if you would like. If they are getting in too late then we could do a lunch with them before the Janet meeting. I will keep you informed.

Bill

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/03/2011 04:52 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: The treaty disucssion/issue is about the Great Lakes  
Water Quality Agreement not US Canada Air Quality Accord.

Thank you

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 02/03/2011 04:29 PM EST

**To:** Terry Keating; John Beale; Gabrielle Stevens; Sue Stendebach

**Subject:** The treaty disucssion/issue is about the Great Lakes Water  
Quality Agreement not US Canada Air Quality Accord.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/22/2009 05:13 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Yep. Still plan to be at EPA on Wed. Let's talk Wed morning.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/21/2009 08:24 AM EDT

**To:** beale.john@epa.gov

**Subject:** Washington DC beats Kansas City

Dear John,

My trip to Kansas has been cancelled in favor of sending me to DC again. I will be in DC on Monday and Wednesday. On Wednesday I have meetings to attend at 9:30 am to 10:30 am; 2:15 pm to 3:00 pm; and 4:00 pm to 5:00 pm. Otherwise I am free, do you wish the dangle the possibility of a meeting with yourself in front of me again?

Bill

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/23/2009 07:31 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Not sure about Wed morning meetings. How about lunch?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/22/2009 05:26 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

9:30 am ?

---

**From:** John Beale

**Sent:** 06/22/2009 05:13 PM EDT

**To:** Bill Harnett

**Subject:** Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Yep. Still plan to be at EPA on Wed. Let's talk Wed morning.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/21/2009 08:24 AM EDT

**To:** beale.john@epa.gov

**Subject:** Washington DC beats Kansas City

Dear John,

My trip to Kansas has been cancelled in favor of sending me to DC again. I will be in DC on Monday and Wednesday. On Wednesday I have meetings to attend at 9:30 am to 10:30 am; 2:15 pm to 3:00 pm; and 4:00 pm to 5:00 pm. Otherwise I am free, do you wish to dangle the possibility of a meeting with yourself in front of me again?

Bill

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/23/2009 11:51 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Sounds like a good deal to me.....

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/23/2009 08:19 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Sure you can take me to lunch

---

**From:** John Beale

**Sent:** 06/23/2009 07:31 AM EDT

**To:** Bill Harnett

**Subject:** Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Not sure about Wed morning meetings. How about lunch?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/22/2009 05:26 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

9:30 am ?

---

**From:** John Beale

**Sent:** 06/22/2009 05:13 PM EDT

**To:** Bill Harnett

**Subject:** Re: Washington DC beats Kansas City

Yep. Still plan to be at EPA on Wed. Let's talk Wed morning.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Bill Harnett

**Sent:** 06/21/2009 08:24 AM EDT  
**To:** beale.john@epa.gov  
**Subject:** Washington DC beats Kansas City

Dear John,

My trip to Kansas has been cancelled in favor of sending me to DC again. I will be in DC on Monday and Wednesday. On Wednesday I have meetings to attend at 9:30 am to 10:30 am; 2:15 pm to 3:00 pm; and 4:00 pm to 5:00 pm. Otherwise I am free, do you wish to dangle the possibility of a meeting with yourself in front of me again?

Bill



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

09/13/2011 02:54 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Address

Any chance you have or could get an email address for Jon Thompson in Norway?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/18/2011 02:30 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Can you arrange for Beale and I to have a private conversation today or tomorrow? By phone is OK. You would be welcome to be part of it.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/24/2011 09:27 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Friday's Clips

Thanks Bill. I would like to be added to the distribution list.

Bill Harnett

----- Forwarded by Bill Harnett/RTP/US...

01/21/2011 10:24:47 AM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/21/2011 10:24 AM  
Subject: Fw: Friday's Clips

----- Forwarded by Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US on 01/21/2011 10:24 AM -----

From: Sherry Russell/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: Lydia Wegman/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Rosalina Rodriguez, Erika Sasser/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Dale Evarts/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Kay Holt/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Wayland/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Lamason/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Peter Tsirigotis/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Penny Lassiter/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Eric Ginsburg/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Gregory Green/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Blanchard/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Ling/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Scott Mathias/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Alison Davis/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Sara Terry/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Jackie Ashley/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, James Hemby/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Jan Cortelyou-Lee/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Rimer/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeffrey Clark/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Steve Page/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Teri Porterfield/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Jenny Noonan/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Peter South/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Mary Henigin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Lora Strine, David Cozzie/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Steve Fruh/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Megan Bracht/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Phil Lorang/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/21/2011 09:19 AM  
Subject: Friday's Clips

Hill veteran chosen as top policy official (01/20/2011)

## Hill veteran chosen as top policy official (01/20/2011)

Gabriel Nelson, E&E reporter

*This story was updated at 6 p.m.*

As the Obama administration prepares to roll out new climate regulations and braces for a fight with Republicans in Congress, U.S. EPA has hired a veteran Democratic congressional staffer and climate change expert to lead its policy office, a top agency official said today.

The agency chose Michael Goo, who was most recently the staff director of the now-defunct House Select Committee on Energy Independence and Global Warming, said Al McGartland, director of EPA's National Center for Environmental Economics. McGartland made the comments this morning during a public meeting of the center's scientific advisory board.

Before joining the global warming panel, Goo served as the Natural Resources Defense Council's climate legislative director and worked for two congressional panels with jurisdiction over climate bills -- the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and the House Energy and Commerce Committee.

Forced to find a new job after the incoming Republican leadership of the House disbanded the global warming panel, Goo is set to start at the end of the month, said McGartland, whose center is overseen by the policy office.

The head of the policy office is tasked with advising EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on some of the thorniest questions facing the agency, such as its emerging climate program.

Now that the agency is expected to spend the next two years playing defense against congressional efforts to stop its greenhouse gas regulations, the new hire will allow EPA to expand its knowledge of the landscape on Capitol Hill, said Eric Washburn, who was staff director of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee while Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev.) was chairman.

"If you look at the fact that Congress is not expected to pass any climate change legislation this Congress, the whole climate change battlefield now moves to EPA and the series of rules that it's going to be putting out in the next months and years," said Washburn, who is now a partner at BlueWater Strategies LLC. "They probably decided that they needed someone not only with substantive knowledge of policy but his political experience and his understanding of Congress, because the agency is now going to face months and months and months of oversight hearings."

Goo is also an expert on cap and trade, which could signal an interest in that type of market-based program.

Those sort of issues will be key as the agency prepares to finalize new performance standards for power plants and refineries under the Clean Air Act. The rules, required under a recent settlement with states and environmental groups, will set limits on greenhouse gas emissions from both new and existing power plants and refineries.

EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson officially announced the hiring in an e-mail to staff this evening. She touted Goo's experience on climate issues, noting that he "played a key role" in drafting and negotiating the climate bill that passed the House in 2009.

Goo would replace Louise Wise, a career staffer who became acting associate administrator of the policy office after the departure of Lisa Heinzerling, an environmental law professor at Georgetown University. Heinzerling returned to academia last month after two years at EPA.

News of the hire was praised by David Hawkins, director of the climate center at the Natural Resources Defense Council. A former co-worker of Goo's at NRDC, Hawkins has worked closely with him on climate legislation and other issues.

"He's very smart and very thoughtful, and I think he'll do an excellent job wherever he is," he said.

Court orders EPA to finish boiler rules by next month (01/20/2011)

## **Court orders EPA to finish boiler rules by next month (01/20/2011)**

**Gabriel Nelson, E&E reporter**

A federal judge in Washington, D.C., has given U.S. EPA one more month to finalize a set of limits on toxic air pollution from industrial boilers, saying the agency's concerns about the controversial rules don't justify its request for another 15 months to rework them.

The agency has not shown that it would have been impossible to meet the previous deadline of Jan. 16, 2011, District Court Judge Paul Friedman [ruled](#) today. He sided with environmental groups, which had challenged EPA's request for more time.

The deadline was put in place after EPA lost a court challenge to the George W. Bush administration's handling of air pollution from industrial boilers, which provide power to paper mills, factories and many other large facilities. Under the regulations, these facilities would need to install the maximum achievable control technology (MACT) to limit their emissions of mercury, dioxins and other types of toxic pollution.

When the Obama administration issued a draft "Boiler MACT" rule last summer, the proposed standards drew intense criticism from industry groups and many lawmakers in Congress, who worried that the rules would force businesses to close and drive jobs overseas.

In the months leading up to the deadline, EPA officials started raising concerns about the proposed rules. Days before the agency announced that it wanted to go back to the drawing board, air chief Gina McCarthy told reporters that EPA thought some facilities might not have been able to achieve the proposed standards ([Greenwire](#) , Dec. 2, 2010).

EPA asked Friedman for more time to overhaul the standards, but he ruled that the Clean Air Act sets clear deadlines.

"The policy arguments EPA raises have no place in a case where Congress has mandated expedition, and its statutorily-mandated deadlines have long since passed," he wrote in his opinion today. "While EPA's view on the importance of its rules and the preferable course of conduct may have merit, at this stage EPA's remedy lies with Congress, not the courts."

Barring action on Capitol Hill, the agency now has until Feb. 21 to issue a final rule.

The divisive boiler standards are seen as a crucial prelude to upcoming toxic pollution standards for power plants, which the agency is legally obligated to propose in March.

Some advocacy groups grew critical of EPA after the agency asked for more time to finish the boiler standards, along with an unrelated rule that would tighten the national limit on smog. Though the agency said the decisions were driven by science, critics said it appeared the Obama administration was bowing to political pressure after an election in which Republicans campaigned against federal regulations.

Industry groups, which had praised the agency for taking a second look, bemoaned today's decision. It will only lead to more litigation, Donna Harman, president and CEO of the American Forest & Paper Association, said in a statement.

"Regulations such as the Boiler MACT rule have far-reaching implications for communities, workers and businesses across the country," she said. "The overriding mission should be to produce a sound rule that keeps Americans healthy and employed, and today's decision by the court fails to give the agency what it said it needed."

[Click here](#) to read the decision.

GOP weighing options on how to limit EPA authority (01/20/2011)

## **GOP weighing options on how to limit EPA authority (01/20/2011)**

**Anne C. Mulkern and Jean Chemnick, E&E reporters**

Republicans who want to stop U.S. EPA from regulating greenhouse gases are counting votes and weighing whether they can go beyond a delay for a permanent block, several people who have attended meetings this week with top energy aides said.

During closed-door conversations with industry representatives and technical experts, aides from the House Energy and Commerce and Senate Environment and Public Works committees made it clear that their goal is to eliminate EPA's ability to regulate greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act.

The aides told those at the meetings that Republicans are evaluating whether to "make a political statement" and seek a vote on a permanent block of EPA, even if they lack the votes for passage.

"They seem to really want to focus on the message that all of this climate change stuff is something that Congress should be doing and not EPA," said one person at one of the meetings, who asked not to be identified in order to speak freely. "There's a concern that if all they do is talk about a two-year delay," that EPA would go forward with its plans for regulation.

To stop EPA entirely, a bill would state that greenhouse gases are not pollutants that can be regulated under the Clean Air Act or something similar to that, several people said.

The Senate likely will have at least two bills that would handcuff EPA. A bill from Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-W.Va.) would put a two-year stay on EPA authority to regulate greenhouse gases from stationary sources like power plants. A bill from Sen. John Barrasso (R-Wyo.) would seek to prevent the administration from regulating carbon under all existing environmental laws.

If Barrasso's bill passed, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service would not be able to say that polar bears are endangered by global warming in order to regulate carbon under the Endangered Species Act, for example.

Republicans are looking at how many votes they would have on each effort, people who attended the meetings said. The GOP knows it probably does not have the votes for the Barrasso approach but could bring enough Democrats over to have the 60 needed for success on Rockefeller's bill.

There is also the issue of what bill could get to the floor. Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev.) has said that he will not allow a vote on a bill to block EPA. Republicans also are looking at how to get language on a bill that President Obama would have to sign, like a measure funding a federal agency or one lifting the debt ceiling. Another possibility they discussed is having the GOP-led House vote to stop EPA entirely and the Senate vote for a delay. Then, in a conference committee, a compromise would be sought.

Reid spokesman Jon Summers reiterated that his boss would probably not bring a bill to the floor that would disarm EPA. "Our priority is to keep America competitive by investing in clean-energy technologies that create jobs here at home and move us off our dependence on oil, especially foreign oil. We do not plan on bringing up measures that don't advance those goals," he said. "The fact that Republicans want to encourage polluters and give handouts to oil companies instead of creating clean-energy jobs here at home speaks volumes about their priorities."

House Energy and Power Subcommittee Chairman Ed Whitfield (R-Ky.), whose panel will be the first stop for any legislation pertaining to EPA greenhouse gas authority, said today that he is coordinating with key senators in deciding how best to address climate change.

The congressman said his staff was in contact with staff for Rockefeller, Barrasso and Sens. Lisa Murkowski (R-Alaska) and James Inhofe (R-Okla.), all of whom have introduced legislation to stymie EPA regulation of greenhouse gases in the past or plan to do so in the future.

GOP members plan to hold hearings, and there could be individual bills where lawmakers "throw things up against the wall and see what sticks," said a person who was familiar with what was discussed at the meetings. Republicans hope to reach consensus soon on a plan of action.

A vote on both measures might give Democrats political cover to oppose the Barrasso bill and favor Rockefeller's approach, one industry lobbyist said.

"An overreach would be something actually punitive to federal agencies -- for example, stopping work on science, adaptation, energy efficiency and other topics," said Scott Segal, a lobbyist for coal-fired utilities and other energy companies at Bracewell & Giuliani, who did not speak about what was discussed at the meetings. "Barrasso leaves plenty of room for a variety of federal programs with a positive impact on reducing" greenhouse gases.

Republicans also want to look at what language could reverse some of the measures that have passed at the state level, said those at the meetings. Under pressure from EPA, one person said, a number of states have beefed up their regulation of greenhouse gases. With Rockefeller's approach, the state measures would stay in place. But Republicans also do not want to step on states' rights, said those at the meetings.

*Reporter Elana Schor contributed.*

Republicans Amend Rule Review Bill To Preserve Parties' Ability To Sue

## **Republicans Amend Rule Review Bill To Preserve Parties' Ability To Sue**

Posted: January 20, 2011

House Republicans have revised their high-priority bill requiring Congress to approve major regulations issued by EPA and other agencies, adding language in a just-introduced version that would preserve private parties' ability to sue over agency rules that lawmakers may eventually approve under the bill's framework.

The new language appears aimed at [addressing concerns](#) expressed last year by some lawyers that a version of the bill introduced in 2010 would limit litigants' ability to challenge congressionally approved agency regulations in court under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) and other statutes.

Rep. Geoff Davis (R-KY), Jan. 20 formally introduced [H.R. 10](#), a bill that Republicans are calling the Regulations from the Executive In Need of Scrutiny (REINS) Act. The bill, which GOP leaders backed as part of their 2010 campaign agenda, generally requires Congress to pass a resolution approving major agency rules. The bill has 87 cosponsors, including Rep. Lamar Smith (R-TX), chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, which one legal source says could review the bill as soon as Jan. 24.

House Speaker John Boehner (R-OH) reserved the bill number when Congress convened Jan. 3 -- an indication of its priority for GOP leaders -- but Davis only unveiled the bill language Jan. 20.

"The REINS Act would end the practice of Congress avoiding accountability through vaguely



drafted legislation by requiring a vote on the most burdensome regulations generated by those laws,” Davis said in a Jan. 20 statement. “Members of Congress should never have an option to avoid responsibility for the effects of the laws they pass.”

While the bill is a top priority for House Republicans, its prospects in the Senate are unclear, though Sen. Jim DeMint (R-SC) is expected to reintroduce a Senate companion to the House bill this session. It is also unclear whether the Obama administration is willing to back the measure, especially in the wake of the President's recent executive order [stepping up White House review of agency rules](#).

The bill would require that Congress approve a resolution via up-or-down vote any federal agency rule that would have an annual cost to the economy of \$100 million or more -- the threshold the government already uses to deem rules "economically significant." Republicans estimate the measure would result in 50 to 70 additional votes each year.

At a meeting sponsored by the American Enterprise Institute last year, several lawyers raised concerns that as drafted, an earlier version of the bill could inhibit litigants' current legal rights to challenge regulations under APA. But Davis assured them that they will still have a “judicial outlet to challenge any regulation. This is really the approval process of the Congress in the context of the economics of that rule,” he said.

### **New Legislative Language**

Now the reintroduced version of the bill includes language preserving parties' ability to sue over congressionally approved rules. The bill includes new language clarifying that “the enactment of a resolution of approval does not serve as a grant or modification of statutory authority by Congress for the promulgation of a rule, does not extinguish or affect any claim, whether substantive or procedural, against any alleged defect in a rule, and shall not form part of the record before the court in any judicial proceeding concerning a rule.”

A legal source says this provision clears up ambiguity in previous versions of the proposals by explicitly allowing judicial challenges to the rule under the APA or under the rule's underlying statute even if the regulation was approved by Congress under the act.

The proposal further says while Congress' decisions on rules would not be judicially reviewable, the failure of an agency to submit a rule under the REINS Act could be reviewed by the court. “No determination, finding, action, or omission under this [act] shall be subject to judicial review,” the proposal says. But “a court may determine whether a Federal agency has completed the necessary requirements under this chapter for a rule to take effect.”

While many in industry support some sort of congressional oversight of major rules, others questions the ability of Congress to promptly deal with major rules in a timely manner and question whether the president would sign the bill even if it was passed by the Senate.

Public health activists and other critics have also [questioned the proposal](#), saying lawmakers will not have the expertise to consider complex regulations and said it would further politicize

rulemaking, among other concerns.

“[U]nlike agencies, Congress does not have to have good policy reasons for refusing to approve a regulation,” law professor Sidney Shapiro, a scholar with the Center for Progressive Reform (CPR), a think tank that supports stronger environmental and human health regulations, wrote in a recent article. “Instead, the approval process is likely to be nakedly political, reflecting the raw political power of special interests and the large campaign donations that they give.”

House Republicans Promise Sweeping Reviews Of EPA's Regulations

## House Republicans Promise Sweeping Reviews Of EPA's Regulations

Posted: January 20, 2011

Key Republicans on the House Energy & Commerce Committee are promising sweeping oversight of a host of EPA regulations, from the upcoming greenhouse gas and clean air rules, which are likely to gain heavy attention, to the agency's Superfund, drinking water and waste management programs.

Rep. Ed Whitfield (R-KY), who will chair the Subcommittee on Energy & Power, says he is

planning a broad review of EPA's efforts to establish new standards for an array of pollutants, including greenhouse gases, criteria pollutants and mercury, although a formal agenda for the panel is still being formulated.

“We're going to really get into the Clean Air Act, because it hasn't been looked at since 1990, and there's so many rules coming out of EPA right now, new regulations, that we want to explore the greenhouse gases; we want to look at the air transport rules; we want to look at the new source review; we want to basically look at everything,” Whitfield told *Inside EPA* Jan. 20 after the full committee's first organizational meeting.

In addition to Whitfield's focus on the air programs, Rep. Fred Upton (R-MI), the committee's chairman, is outlining a broad EPA oversight agenda for the panel's Subcommittee on Environment & Economy, chaired by Rep. John Shimkus (R-IL), according to a [Jan. 18 committee backgrounder](#).

Among other things, the background document raises questions about a host of EPA-related regulatory and legislative measures, including EPA's pending waste rules for coal ash, EPA's novel enforcement action against a gas drilling company in Texas, legislative plans to provide EPA with additional authority over chemical security and industrial chemicals and whether the Superfund program is properly focused on cleanup.

Upton told reporters after the organizational meeting that he planned to meet with Whitfield and Shimkus later Jan. 20 to flesh out the committee's agenda on energy and environmental issues,

according to press reports.

Whitfield said his top priority in the subcommittee will be to establish a “snapshot of where we are on energy,” by taking into account demand and capacity for electricity and transportation fuels, before focusing on EPA with a series of hearings. He said he is planning to introduce legislation that would amend the Clean Air Act, although he said it was too early to offer details on what that would include. “I anticipate there will be legislation, but we want to have the hearings first to determine where we really think the most important needs are,” he said.

Later in the day, Whitfield told reporters that he was leaning towards a full repeal of EPA’s authority to regulate GHGs, rather than a temporary delay that has been proposed by Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-WV), among others, according to reports, but Whitfield again emphasized that he would not decide on a final approach until after the committee holds hearings.

Whitfield also said he plans to look at how EPA promulgates new regulations, not just the practical effect those regulations would have. “Not only are we talking about the substantive part of these rules, but we’re also talking about the process of the adoption of the rules,” he told *Inside EPA*. “Just like the tailoring act for example, many of us, experts in the field, feel like that totally is illegal, just blatantly is illegal. So we’re going to be talking about process as well as substance.”

Whitfield’s subcommittee also plans to target unspecified “costly new EPA regulations” that are slowing the licensing process for new nuclear power plants, according to the background document.

Like Whitfield, Upton is also seeking to stop EPA from issuing climate rules and slow the schedule for other air rules, according to the committee’s background document.

“We believe it is critical that the Obama Administration ‘stop’ imposing its new global warming regulatory regime. . . . For EPA’s other multi-billion dollar Clean Air Act rules, we believe the agency has been regulating ‘too much too fast,’ without fully analyzing the feasibility and economic and job impacts of the new rules,” the document says.

## **Beyond Air Rules**

Beyond the air rules, the background document sees Shimkus’s subcommittee tackling a host of controversial EPA priorities, including rules being written to regulate disposal of coal ash under the Resource Conservation & Recovery Act (RCRA), potential regulation of natural gas hydraulic fracturing under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), oversight of chemicals under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), potential expansion of the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards Act (CFATS), and the Superfund hazardous site cleanup program, according to the document.

The document highlights industry fears that a “hazardous waste” designation for coal ash -- one of two RCRA options EPA is considering -- would decrease beneficial reuse of coal ash in products such as concrete.

It also targets EPA's recent [use of SDWA emergency authority](#) against a fracking operation in Texas, which has provoked an [industry lawsuit](#) asking EPA to justify the move, and a [separate suit from EPA](#) seeking to force compliance with its emergency order. "We should determine whether EPA is overreaching its existing legal authority," the committee document says.

The committee also highlights the fact that CFATS, which was created in 2007 to protect from bioterrorism threats, is not fully implemented and raises concerns that the administration has supported "efforts to dramatically expand the CFATS program into non-security areas." Legislation introduced last year would have removed an exemption for water utilities in the Department of Homeland Security-run CFATS program and created a new role for EPA to regulate the facilities.

"We should highlight how the program has not yet been fully implemented and that the expansion beyond security against terrorism could kill domestic investments and jobs. . . . [W]e should only require water utilities to update and submit their vulnerability assessments and site security plans. Providing additional EPA regulatory authority could lead to a program that deviates greatly from the security mission authorized by Congress," the document says.

Efforts to reform TSCA stalled in the last Congress, and the committee document suggests that legislation is likely to be a non-starter in the near future as well. "Several public and private interests seek changes to TSCA, but they vigorously disagree on what the problems and solutions are," it says. "Robust oversight to understand existing authorities should precede major legislation."

The committee document also calls Superfund "a stereotypical government program: it spends too much, accomplishes too little, and perpetuates itself." Noting that in general "less than 50 cents of each dollar spent goes to actual remediation" and that cleanups can take decades, the document says Shimkus' subcommittee will aim to "understand why the program has become such a morass for so long, and what can be done to restore focus on environmental remediation and fiscal discipline."

During the Jan. 20 organizational meeting, the Energy & Commerce Committee adopted some rule changes and formally appointed subcommittee members. Upton has in recent weeks been focused most intensely on House Republicans' push to repeal last year's health care reform law, but a committee source says focus on EPA will intensify within the next two weeks or so, parallel to continuing criticism of health policy.

Among the rule changes adopted are a requirement that any bill requiring new federal spending be offset with parallel spending cuts, requiring spending authorizations to include specific dollar figures rather than authorizing "such sums as may be necessary" and requiring that any program authorized or reauthorized by the committee automatically sunset after seven years or less. Upton also decided to limit opening statements at committee hearings to just the chairman and ranking member, along with one other member from each party, rather than allowing every committee member to deliver an opening statement; however, every member may speak before committee markups.

## GOP to tackle Clean Air Act

GOP to tackle Clean Air Act | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Wichita Eagle - Online

**News OCR Text:** WASHINGTON ? Now that the House of Representatives has voted to repeal the health care law, Republicans say they're likely to move soon to another target ? a rewrite of the Clean Air Act so that it can't be used to fight climate change.

The Environmental Protection AgencyDecember said it would draw up performance standards that would help cut heat-trapping gases produced by refineries and coal-fired power plants. The EPA hasn't proposed the specifics yet, and existing plants wouldn't be affected until the later years of the decade, but opponents of regulation aren't waiting.

The new chairman of the House Energy and Commerce Committee said he'd have hearings about the impact of the EPA's emission reduction plan on jobs.

"Standing up for American workers and addressing EPA's rampant regulations is a top priority, Rep. Fred Upton, R-Mich., said Thursday. "We will be active and aggressive using every toolthe toolbox to protect American jobs and our economy by rolling back the job-destroying (greenhouse gas) regulations."

Like the health care repeal, though, it's largely a symbolic effort since the Senate retains its Democratic majority and President Obama wields his veto pen.

The American climate debate has been focused on economic interests ? whether actions to reduce fossil fuel use would create or eliminate jobs.

"There is an enormous failure,my view, to see the ethical and moral dimensions of this issue," said Donald Brown, a professor of environmental ethics at Penn State University. "It's very difficult for peoplethe United States to see that self- interest is an important consideration, but we also have responsibilities to peopleAfrica." Brown argues that farmers facing worsening droughtAfrican countries are the first victims of a changing climate, and that every year of delayslashing U.S. emissions makes helping them harder.

Politicians give two reasons not to act to restrict emissions ? it would cost too much, and there are uncertainties about what the exact effect of climate change will be.

Brown said that skirts a fundamental issue.


"The economic argument has been used to scare people, without reflecting on rights and responsibilities," he said. And uncertainty "requires, ethically, that if the harm is big enough, that the burden of proof should shift to the person who wants to do the dangerous behavior, particularlycases where if you wait until all the uncertainty is resolved, it's too late."

Politically, it's a tough sell. There aren't the votesCongress for a broad cap-and-trade approach to cut emissions. Also, there's not much chance that

Congress this year will vote on other measures to bring emissions down.

[Return to Top](#)

## Seeking permission to pollute

Seeking permission to pollute | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Chicago Tribune - Online

**News OCR Text:** Dust has yet to settle on plan to build coal-to-gas plant on Southeast Side

The site of closed LTV Steel Chicago coal coke plant that stopped operating nearly a decade ago on the South Side. (Zbigniew Bzdak/Tribune)

A monitor at George Washington High School on the Southeast Side shows that air in the neighborhood has the distinction of containing the state's highest levels of toxic heavy metals, chromium and cadmium, as well as sulfates, which can trigger asthma attacks and increase the risk of heart disease.

The school sits across from a long-shuttered industrial site where Leucadia National Corp. plans to build a \$3 billion coal-to-gas plant that would add even more pollution to one of the nation's most polluted areas.

Two hurdles remain for the plant to become reality. Gov. Pat Quinn only needs to sign a bill that muscled its way through the General Assembly during the recent lame-duck session. And the state Pollution Control Board must decide whether the owners of the industrial site can sell their permission to pollute to New York-based Leucadia.

With pollution in Cook County above the limits allowed by federal law, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in 2005 issued an edict: Before more pollution can be added to the air here, a new polluter must first prove that an existing polluter has reduced its emissions.

To fulfill that criteria, Leucadia wants to persuade the state to let it purchase the permission to pollute from the owners of the industrial site, a coke facility that has been idle for about a decade. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency has opposed the sale of the pollution offsets, saying the coke plant's pollution was never factored into Cook County's toxic air because it stopped operating years before the edict was issued.

Supporters of the Leucadia plant say the idea behind making synthetic gas is to turn something dirty that Illinois has in abundance (coal and petroleum coke from refinery waste) into something cleaner (synthetic natural gas) and to create jobs and stimulate the economy.

"The clean energy plant will serve as a major economic engine for Chicago's Southeast Side," State Sen. Donne Trotter, D-Chicago, said after the Leucadia bill passed. "It will create over a thousand job opportunities during construction and provide hundreds of full-time jobs for Chicago residents. This is a step in the right direction to ensure that Illinois takes the lead in clean coal technology. The major

benefits outweigh any possible costs for this project."

Opponents, though, contend that the negatives far outweigh the positives.

Consumer advocates note that under two bills passed in the previous session, Illinois utilities would be required to purchase synthetic gas from the Leucadia plant for 30 years and from a plant downstate for 10 years and that the gas will be more expensive than natural gas and help drive up heating bills for northern Illinois customers as much as \$191 a year.

"Turning coal into natural gas is a dirtier, more expensive way to heat our homes," said Jack Darin, director of the Sierra Club Illinois Chapter. "Illinois residents should not have to pay higher gas bills to subsidize these risky projects — they're unnecessary and will add millions of tons of air pollution. Illinois should be cutting gas bills and pollution by helping us save energy, not putting us all on the hook to subsidize out-of-state companies."

The gas plant site, 11400 South Burley Ave., is where LTV Corp. once manufactured coke used in making steel. The facility closed in December 2001 when LTV filed for bankruptcy. Cousins Alan, Steve and Simon Beemsterboer, who acquired the site in bankruptcy court, made plans in 2004 to restart the coke plant near Lake Calumet. But those plans never materialized.

Now the Beemsterboers, through an entity called Chicago Coke LLC, are fighting the Illinois Pollution Control Board to allow it to sell its permission to pollute, along with the property, to Leucadia.

The move follows years of meetings and letters, outlined in legal documents, between Chicago Coke and the Illinois EPA, which repeatedly denied the company's request to sell its permission to pollute, saying that the intent behind emission reductions is to clean up existing pollution, not to give previous polluters a commodity to sell on the open market.

Chicago Coke filed simultaneous appeals to the Illinois Pollution Control Board and the Chancery Division of Cook County Circuit Court, arguing that the state EPA had given the go-ahead to pollute when it was planning to restart the coke plant and that it should have the right to sell that permission. The Circuit Court dismissed the case this month, leaving it up to the Pollution Control Board to decide. A hearing date has not been set.

For the Illinois coal industry, the proposed gas plants would provide a potential lifeline. In 1990, Illinois coal production fell by half as a result of environmental regulations that deemed that the high levels of sulfur found in Illinois coal emitted dangerous pollutants when burned.

So Illinois coal has been getting shipped overseas while lower-sulfur coal is hauled in from other states to fuel Illinois electric generating plants.

Supplying the Leucadia plant, as well as a synthetic natural gas plant proposed for Jefferson County southeast of St. Louis, "creates a market where one virtually doesn't exist today," said Phillip Gonet, president of the Illinois Coal Association.


Leucadia says its coal-to-gas plant would create about one-hundredth the pollution

of traditional coal-fired power plants. That's because gasification plants don't burn coal, which send toxic byproducts into the air through a smokestack. Instead, the coal is gasified through a chemical process and byproducts are collected, traveling via a series of vessels and pipes, to be sold and reused.

"If this thing ran perfectly all the time, and we never had to stop it, there would essentially be no emissions," said Hoyt Hudson with the Leucadia project. "The times when the plant can potentially generate emissions is during stop and start times."

[Return to Top](#)

## ACTIVISTS' SUIT SEEKS TO REINSTATE GHG LIMITS IN CONTROVERSIAL KANSAS P...

ACTIVISTS' SUIT SEEKS TO REINSTATE GHG LIMITS IN CONTROVERSIAL KANSAS PERMIT | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** Environmentalists are seeking to reinstate greenhouse gas (GHG) limits in a controversial Kansas power plant permit issued just days before EPA's GHG rules took effect earlier this month, filing a permit challenge in a state appeals court that makes several arguments aimed at forcing state regulators to set GHG limits for the plant.

Sierra Club filed a Jan. 14 petition for judicial review asking the Kansas Court of Appeals to determine that the permit issued by state regulators for Sunflower Electric Power Corporation's proposed 895-megawatt coal-fired unit at its Holcomb Generating Station in Holcomb, KS, is unlawful. The group is asking the court to remand the matter back to the Kansas Department of Health & Environment (KDHE) "to redress substantive and procedural failures in the Permit and permitting process," as well as issue an injunction halting construction at Holcomb until the matter is settled.

Should the group succeed in having the permit returned to the state agency, it could again force consideration of GHG limits in a permit that has faced controversy over the issue since it was first issued in 2007.

KDHE last month finalized a permit for the new unit just days before EPA's GHG rules went effect nationwide Jan. 2. The permit provided a critical litmus test for whether EPA will follow through with prior indications that the agency will not subject permits approved before Jan. 2 to GHG limits.

Sunflower renewed its permit application in January 2010, after the company's 2007 effort received national attention when state permitting authorities became the first in the nation to reject a coal permit application over concerns about GHG emissions, a decision Sierra Club highlights in its newest case.

The company submitted a revised permit application to the KDHE, significantly scaling the project back from 1,400 to 895 megawatts but still seeking to build a traditional coal-fired facility, after a settlement was arranged between the state's outgoing governor, Mark Parkinson (D) and the then-Republican state legislature that allowed the building of a smaller plant in exchange for the passage of a



renewable electricity standard.

While Sierra Club notes in its petition that EPA's GHG rules were not in effect when the permit was issued, the group still raises concerns of public harm from GHG emissions, while also highlighting alleged procedural problems surrounding the permit approval. Relevant documents are available on [InsideEPA.com](http://InsideEPA.com).

GHGs emitted by the plant "will be 'significantly injurious' to human health and welfare," says the petition, which notes that "[t]he Secretary has already found this to be the case in his 2007 denial of Sunflower's permit application for a different project."

Moreover, "Since that time, the evidence of harm caused by greenhouse gases and global warming has only grown stronger, and the EPA has formally found that these pollutants endanger human health and the environment," it says.

Procedurally, Sierra Club argues that a settlement reached with then-Gov. Parkinson that limits KDHE's discretion to deny the permit is invalid because EPA has not yet approved a state implementation plan (SIP) codifying the changes. "Until these legislative amendments and executive agreements are approved by the EPA Administrator as appropriate amendments to the Kansas SIP, they are without effect and the Secretary retains the discretion to deny a permit on the grounds that future emissions would be injurious to the public health or welfare," the petition says.

The petition adds, "KDHE has failed to explain why the 2007 determination does not govern here and has failed to adequately respond to the substantial evidence provided during the public comment period of the harm caused by the greenhouse gases that the Holcomb Expansion will emit."

Sierra Club cites "numerous deficiencies . . . to meet the minimum requirements" of the federal Clean Air Act, the Kansas Air Quality Act and the Kansas Administrative Procedure Act, adding that "the Permit was issued by an unlawful procedure and in bad faith; the Permit is based on unsupported determinations of fact; and the Permit is otherwise unreasonable, arbitrary or capricious."

To what extent EPA Region VII will weigh in on the final permit remains to be seen. But a spokesman for EPA's Region VII says that the agency "is now independently assessing whether the final permit and permitting process for Sunflower Power protects human health and the environment as required by the federal Clean Air Act and Kansas [SIP]. Region VII will share the results of its ongoing assessment with the people of Kansas and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment when completed. The lawsuit is a state court matter being pursued by a private environmental organization."

EPA Region VII Administrator Karl Brooks had previously weighed in on the project in an November op-ed, noting that if the plant is permitted before Jan. 2, EPA will ask "three important questions" regarding the permit and permitting process: "does the Kansas permit include public health protection standards required by sound science and federal law?;" "did Kansas operate all parts of its permitting process as required by the Clean Air Act?;" and "does a Sunflower permit satisfy public confidence in the impartiality and transparency of Kansas' system of safeguarding air quality?"

It is also unclear whether EPA will require GHG limits when it reviews the permit. In its guidance issued last November for determining Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for GHGs, the agency appears to indicate that permits caught in the pipeline through legal challenges like this one would not be subject to the new GHG rules, though the Sunflower case could end up being a test case for the agency's commitment to that stance.



The BACT language, contained in a footnote in the guidance, says, it will subject permits "issued" after Jan. 2 to the requirements, defining "issued" to "describe the time when a permitting authority issues a PSD permit after public comment on a draft permit or preliminary determination to issue a PSD permit. . . . [T]he date a permit is issued is not necessarily the same as the date the permit becomes effective or final agency action for purposes of judicial review."

Moreover, EPA says in the guidance that GHG limits will not apply to permits that face ongoing challenges after the rules go into effect. "Thus, EPA does not intend to require PSD permits that are issued prior to Jan. 2, 2011, to address GHGs, even if the permit is not effective until after Jan. 2, 2011, by virtue of a delayed effective date or an appeal to the Environmental Appeals Board." The agency adds that a similar approach "may be appropriate" in permits issued by states with approved PSD programs that have analogous administrative procedures.

Sierra Club also takes issue with numerous other issues related to traditional pollutant controls addressed under the air permit, including mercury, sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides. -- Victoria Finkle

Copyright © 2011 Inside Washington Publishers. All Rights Reserved.

## Court rejects EPA delay on boiler emissions rule

Court rejects EPA delay on boiler emissions rule |  

**Outlet Full Name:** Reuters - Online

**News OCR Text:** (Reuters) - The Environmental Protection Agency said on Thursday it was disappointed with a federal court ruling giving the agency only 30 extra days to issue emission standards for boilers and solid waste and sewage sludge incinerators.

The EPA had sought one year to get out the standards for controlling mercury and lead emissions and other pollutants at the facilities.


Last April the agency issued emission-controlling proposals for boilers, process heaters and certain solid waste incinerators.

After receiving more than 4,800 comments and additional data during the public comment period, the EPA asked the court for another year -- until April 2012 -- to issue the final regulations.

Environmental groups fought the delay and the court ruled the EPA already had plenty of time to finalize the rules.

(Reporting by Tom Doggett

## EPA CALL FOR LIVESTOCK EMISSIONS DATA SPURS ACTIVISTS' FEAR OF RULE DELA'

EPA CALL FOR LIVESTOCK EMISSIONS DATA SPURS ACTIVISTS' FEAR OF RULE DELAY | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** EPA has unveiled a long-awaited study of emissions from animal feeding operations (AFOs) that will help the agency determine whether and how to regulate air pollution from the sector, but environmentalists fear EPA's simultaneous call for more emissions data could delay potential agency rules to cut agricultural air pollution.

For now, it is unclear how the data will impact regulation of the sector. Environmentalists have said in the past that draft data from the study seemed to show emissions like ammonia could exceed toxic emission reporting thresholds and could put ammonia emissions from some animal operations on par with industrial facility emissions.

The agency Jan. 13 announced the results of the National Air Emissions Monitoring Study (NAEMS), a two-year study of emissions of ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, particulates and volatile organic compounds from pig, chicken, egg-laying and dairy operations. The study was paid for by industry members that signed a 2005 consent agreement in which EPA agreed not to sue operations for their emissions if they would pay a civil fine and fund the study.

The study is significant because it will shape EPA's regulation of emissions from AFOs. The agency will use the data to develop tools to estimate emissions from AFOs, which will help the industry comply with the Clean Air Act, Superfund and the right-to-know laws, according to EPA documents. The agency will start rolling out draft methodologies, beginning with broiler chickens, in early 2011, and plans to finalize the methodologies in June 2012.

EPA is also seeking information to supplement the massive data set supplied by the study. The agency is requesting peer-reviewed monitoring data, along with details about the monitored facility, to "help EPA ensure that it has the best available information as it develops the improved emissions estimating methodologies," according to a fact sheet. Relevant documents are available on InsideEPA.com.

But the call for information is prompting outcry from some activists who fear the move will further delay regulation of the sector while EPA collects more data. "I just hope that something will happen in our lifetime. I don't know why they couldn't have put that call out two years ago," says an Environmental Integrity Project source.


EPA's final analysis of the numbers could influence how much reporting of AFO emissions the agency could require under the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, & Liability Act (CERCLA), also known as the Superfund law.

The Bush EPA issued a rule exempting all AFOs from reporting air emissions under Superfund, and exempting all but the largest AFOs from EPCRA reporting. Both industry and activists challenged the rule in court, but the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in July granted EPA's request to remand the rule back to the agency for reconsideration and possible revision.

For now, EPA is not making any conclusions about what the data means for regulation of the AFO industry, and the researchers involved with the project say they are just beginning to analyze the numbers to determine the causes of the sector's emissions.

Copyright © 2011 Inside

## EPA MAY DELAY ADDITIONAL RULEMAKINGS TO COPE WITH POSSIBLE BUDGET CUTS

EPA MAY DELAY ADDITIONAL RULEMAKINGS TO COPE WITH POSSIBLE BUDGET CUTS | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** Even as it moves to delay major rules addressing ozone standards and industrial boilers, EPA may seek to delay additional measures to cope with likely cuts to its budget from the Republican-controlled House, the agency's top air official says, and is asking for more breathing room from litigants suing to enforce deadlines for issuance of rules.

Regina McCarthy, head of EPA's Office of Air & Radiation, told the agency's Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC) at a meeting in Arlington, VA, Jan. 12 that the agency is ready to ask the courts and stakeholders for additional time to complete rulemakings, in light of possible budget cuts. Republicans, now in the majority in the House, have already threatened to cut the agency's budget to 2008 levels, and many of them oppose EPA's regulatory efforts to curb greenhouse gases (GHGs), ozone and air toxics.

McCarthy underscored that both she and EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson have already met with House appropriators and their staff, and continue to do so "because you have got to do some education" on the value of EPA programs under threat from funding shortfalls for the benefit of new Congressmen in particular. "People have got to know what they are trying to stop, or encourage," she said.

In one example of such outreach, Jackson met with House Republican appropriator Steven LaTourette (OH) Jan. 7 to discuss amendments the lawmaker introduced to a spending bill in the last Congress to limit the agency's regulatory authority on GHGs, ozone standards and other issues. The amendments failed and no spending bill for fiscal year 2011 was passed, but the continuing resolution approved by the 111th Congress expires March 4, giving the Republican appropriators an early chance to press such measures again.

EPA's air office is especially vulnerable to budget cutting, McCarthy said. Republicans are hostile to several of the office's high profile programs, including GHG regulation for stationary and mobile sources, forthcoming stricter ozone

standards and proposed air toxics rules for boilers.

McCarthy noted that in light of likely budget shortfalls and consequent delays in rulemakings, "flexibility that litigants give us is going to be very valuable."

Since EPA is already under a very large workload, extra time would be required to complete rulemakings, McCarthy said, although she did not specify which ones. The agency is already under fire from environmentalists for delaying its final 8-hour national ambient air quality standard for ozone from summer of 2010 to July this year, and for asking a federal court to delay the court-ordered deadline for its final maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standard for boilers by 15 months, from January 16 until April 13, 2012. EPA has suggested a new deadline of June 15 if the court refuses a 15-month delay.

EPA says it needs the boiler MACT delay in order to re-propose the rule to take into account new data and industry criticism. A judge on the U.S. Court Of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit said Jan 11 that the court will now take until Jan. 21 to decide whether to grant EPA's request for a delay. The delay is opposed by environmentalist litigants who say the rule is years overdue.

McCarthy told panelists on the CAAAC that "fundamentally, we needed to make some changes in the proposal." As difficult as the boiler rulemaking is, "we will follow the science and follow the law," and therefore "we need time and we need a re-proposal."

Another high-profile rulemaking facing delay is EPA's GHG rule for the biomass sector under Clean Air Act best available control technology requirements. Jackson announced Jan. 12 that the agency will exclude biomass from its GHG permitting requirements for three years, pending a fresh consultation and rulemaking on the issue.

Copyright © 2011 Inside Washington Publishers. All Rights Reserved.

[Return to Top](#)

## EPA MOVES TO BAN CREDIT FOR NEARBY EMISSION CUTS IN NAAQS ATTAINMENT

EPA MOVES TO BAN CREDIT FOR NEARBY EMISSION CUTS IN NAAQS ATTAINMENT



**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** EPA is proposing to ban areas out of attainment with federal ambient air standards from citing emission cuts in nearby areas out of their jurisdiction in counting toward their attainment efforts, which could make it harder for local air officials to meet the standards and contradicts EPA's efforts to cut interstate pollution transport, sources say.

In a Dec. 22 Federal Register notice EPA proposes to alter the rules governing how states meet the "reasonable further progress" (RFP) requirements for demonstrating efforts to attain the agency's 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). For nonattainment areas RFP requires states to meet milestones in a schedule detailed in state implementation plans (SIPs) that outline

how areas will cut pollution to attain the standard.

In a November 2005 "Phase 2" rule for implementing the ozone standard, EPA under the RFP program said that nonattainment areas could include in their SIPs some reliance on pollution cuts from sources outside the area. EPA allowed nonattainment areas to obtain credit for reductions in emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) within 100 kilometers (km) and 200 km respectively. VOCs and NOx contribute to ozone formation. The rule laid down certain conditions, however, including a requirement for states to demonstrate that emissions from outside the nonattainment area had an impact on ozone levels within the area.

The 2005 rule was subsequently challenged by the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, on the basis that it was flawed because it allowed states to take credit for emission reductions from outside sources without also adding emissions from other outside sources to the RFP "baseline," even where those other sources impact air quality in the nonattainment area.

Subsequently, EPA in 2007 modified the Phase 2 rule to match its particulate matter NAAQS implementation rule, which allowed states to take credit for "outside" reductions of NOx and sulfur dioxide within 200 km, provided that the baseline inventory in the nonattainment area be modified to include all sources within the 200 km zone.

But EPA is now proposing that "states may not take credit for emission reductions from outside the nonattainment area to meet the area's RFP obligations." The proposal is available on [InsideEPA.com](http://InsideEPA.com).

EPA is proposing to end the allowance of credit for emission cuts outside a nonattainment area in response to a petition by environmentalists to reconsider the 2005 rule allowing the approach.

"EPA recognizes that not allowing credit for emissions reductions outside the nonattainment areas will make it more challenging for some areas" to meet RFP requirements, according to EPA's proposed rule. The agency identifies California's South Coast Air Quality Management District as one such area. If EPA finalizes its proposal, states that submitted RFP plans relying on cuts outside their areas will have to craft new plans.

One state air regulator says EPA's decision will make the task of regulators seeking to cut pollution in nonattainment areas more difficult, because industrial sources that are emitting pollutants that contribute to a nonattainment area's ozone levels are often found upwind and outside the attainment area. "I would say this makes life harder for regulators," the source says, noting that EPA intends to finalize a stricter ozone NAAQS this year.

Copyright © 2011 Inside Washington Publishers. All Rights Reserved.

EPA SEEKS INDUSTRY INPUT ON ADDRESSING BARRIERS TO 'MULTIPOLLUTANT' R...

EPA SEEKS INDUSTRY INPUT ON ADDRESSING BARRIERS TO 'MULTIPOLLUTANT'

**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** EPA through its clean air policy advisory committee will seek input from three major industry sectors -- iron and steel, chemical manufacturing, and oil and gas production -- on how to ease the workload and compliance burdens that could be barriers to the agency's push to "multipollutant" combined emissions rules for specific sectors.

At a Jan. 12 meeting of EPA's Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC) in Arlington, VA, the agency said the panel will facilitate a series of three roundtable discussions, one with each sector. The meetings are tentatively scheduled for February 10, March 3 and March 24, with venues yet to be announced. Two companies from the three sectors will be invited to take part and answer questions on multipollutant rules that CAAAC is still refining.

Among a provisional list of 35 questions that the panel could ask industry officials are: "How could stationary source air pollution regulation be better coordinated in your industrial sector?," "How should the record keeping, monitoring and reporting requirements of various regulations be harmonized or changed in a sector approach?," and "What are the market-based mechanisms that EPA should be investigating for sector-based approaches?"

The questions are divided into four categories -- co-ordination of stationary source air rules, advanced air pollution control technology, integrating energy efficiency into air pollution control practices, and environmental and community considerations. The list of questions is available on InsideEPA.com.

EPA is promoting multipollutant regulation as a method to combine air toxics, criteria pollutant and other Clean Air Act rules and streamline regulation of particular sectors. Industry's responses to those questions could give important guidance to CAAAC and the agency as they try to identify ways to move toward multipollutant regulation without adding to compliance costs or other burdens that sectors face in meeting EPA air rules.

EPA has attempted early steps at multipollutant regulation, including a recent combined rule for the Portland cement sector that includes a new source performance standard (NSPS) to cut criteria pollutant emissions and a national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) to cut the industry's air toxics emissions. The approach differs from EPA's past practice of issuing NSPS and NESHAPs separately rather than in one rule.

But the multipollutant approach is not without controversy and the cement industry has a pending lawsuit in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit over the combined rulemaking.

By seeking input from three major industry sectors -- oil and gas, chemicals, and steel production -- EPA might be able to win tentative industry support for a multipollutant approach and avoid litigation.


Keith Mason, of EPA's Office of Air & Radiation, noted at the CAAAC meeting that preliminary work on the agency's multipollutant regulation is throwing up a lot of familiar and controversial questions.

For example, CAAAC's provisional list of questions for industry asks, "What are the challenges of reforming air pollution source category definitions from unit-by-unit to facility-wide definitions?"

Facility-wide emissions limits have historically been championed by industry as a way to minimize and streamline permitting burdens, but are opposed by environmentalists who argue that such limits allow increases in emissions from individual emissions sources that can be in close proximity to vulnerable populations.

Copyright © 2011 Inside Washington Publishers. All Rights Reserved

## EPA UNLIKELY TO MANDATE FUEL SWITCHING IN 'RESIDUAL' AIR TOXICS STANDARDS

EPA UNLIKELY TO MANDATE FUEL SWITCHING IN 'RESIDUAL' AIR TOXICS STANDARDS | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** Senior EPA staff are downplaying the possibility they will require fuel-switching, such as from coal to a less-polluting fuel, in pending "residual" air toxics rules under which EPA revises emission standards for a slew of industries to address the remaining health risks of their toxic emissions years after the imposition of pollution controls.

But the same agency officials say that even though they are unlikely to require fuel switching, EPA could recognize fuel switching that lowers emissions as a way to comply with some residual risk rules.

Some environmentalists endorse EPA regulations mandating fuel switching as a way to force industries into switching to less-polluting sources of fuel that they use for power generation and other reasons. But industry opposes fuel switching, arguing that the government should not mandate the type of fuel they can use.

At a Jan. 12 meeting of EPA's Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC) in Arlington, VA, Lisa Conner of EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards told committee members that in a number of pending residual risk rules, "we definitely could consider fuel switching" as an emissions control technology.

Under the Clean Air Act EPA must perform reviews of maximum achievable control technology (MACT) rules to cut air toxics rules eight years after their implementation to assess the remaining, or residual, risk to public health of an sector's emissions. If risks remain EPA must issue new rules to address those emissions.

The air law gives EPA wide discretion on what control technologies it can require in residual risk rules, and Conner's comments suggest the agency could consider fuel switching as a control technology.

But EPA air official Robert Brenner cautioned that fuel switching is not required, and is not the basis for setting MACT standards if EPA changes them subsequent to a



risk and technology review. Rather, "that is one strategy that people can use to meet the standard," Brenner said in a clarification of Conner's comments.

EPA has completed residual risk and technology reviews for only 12 of 96 source categories subject to these requirements, including coke ovens and dry cleaning, EPA staff said at the CAAAC meeting. The agency's website, however, says reviews have been finalized for 16 source categories. EPA will complete residual risk reviews in the next one to three years for most of 28 source categories included in a Sept. 27 consent decree with Sierra Club that marked the culmination of litigation prompting EPA to accelerate the reviews, staff said.

CAAAC member Bill Becker, executive director of the National Association of Clean Air Agencies (NACAA), asked EPA staff to what degree EPA has actually acted to tighten air toxics standards after a risk and technology review. NACAA in comments on EPA rules frequently includes language asking EPA why it does not use the opportunity presented by risk and technology reviews to tighten air toxics standards, he said.

In response, staff cited stricter formaldehyde limits in a proposed risk review for the wood furnishings sector, published in the Federal Register Dec. 21, as one example of the agency proposing to tighten a MACT standard, and said that lead smelters will likely be another residual risk rule that results in a tighter standard.

In the wood furnishings proposal, EPA says it will restrict wood coating operations to using no more than 400 pounds of formaldehyde per 12 month rolling period in order to cut emissions of the air toxic. "All affected sources are expected to meet this limit by using no or low-formaldehyde coatings" that are widely available, the agency says. The Federal Register notice is available on InsideEPA.com.


EPA announced the risk and technology review for secondary lead smelters in its December "Action Initiation List" of newly launched rulemaking efforts. These are smelters "that produce refined lead from lead scrap, mainly recycled spent lead acid batteries," according to the announcement.

According to a schedule on EPA's website, determined by the Sierra Club consent decree, EPA will propose a risk and technology review rule for secondary lead smelters by April 29, and by the end of January for primary lead smelters -- those producing lead from virgin materials.

Copyright © 2011 Inside Washington Publishers. All Rights Reserved.

[Return to Top](#)

## EPA WEIGHS SECTOR-SPECIFIC AIR TOXICS RULES SETTING VARYING BOILER LIMITS

EPA WEIGHS SECTOR-SPECIFIC AIR TOXICS RULES SETTING VARYING BOILER LIMITS | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** EPA is considering the possibility of developing sector-specific air toxics rules that would tailor the regulations to major differences in the use of boilers between various industries, rather than the approach the agency took in its

broad multi-sector proposed air toxics rule that has drawn attacks for its high costs and alleged infeasibility.

The idea is in its infancy, a senior EPA staffer says, and would take years to develop, meaning that sweeping air rules that regulate the same units across several sectors -- such as the criticized boiler maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standard -- will remain the agency's primary strategy. But sector-specific regulation of multiple pollutants could ultimately obviate the need for such broad MACTs, the source says.

Under the new approach so-called multipollutant rules, combining MACTs to cut air toxics and new source performance standards (NSPS) to cut criteria pollutant emissions, would first act as a complement to sweeping MACTs that regulate a wide range of industries, then potentially replace those rules, the source adds.

Sector-specific rules for boilers and other emission sources could help address major criticism of the agency's approach in regulating air toxics, particularly its proposed boiler MACT. That rule would regulate air toxics emissions across a huge number of diverse industries including the chemical industry, steel manufacturers and the pulp and paper industry -- yet all the sectors have unique issues associated with boiler use.

Critics of EPA's boiler MACT say its emissions "floors," or minimum pollution limits, are based on a narrow analysis of boilers and fail to take into account varying emissions and other factors associated with how boilers are used differently in different industries. Opponents of the proposal say that failing to account for sectors' differing emissions makes the rule prohibitively costly and in some instances impossible to meet.

In response to new data given to EPA by industry on boiler emissions, EPA is asking a federal appeals court to extend a court-ordered Jan. 16 deadline for issuing the final boiler MACT until April 2012 so that it can overhaul and re-proposed the rule. But any new proposal would still cover multiple industry sectors. For the time-being, the deadline has been extended to Jan. 21.

The EPA staffer says that some of the problems with the boiler MACT, including regulating the entire universe of boilers through one rule, could be avoided by breaking the boilers into sub-groups specific to their application in a particular industry and regulating them through air rules specific to those industries.

For example, boilers used in the pulp and paper sector would be regulated through Clean Air Act rules for the pulp and paper industry, allowing EPA to concentrate on issues specific to boilers in that sector. Boilers in other sectors would be regulated under separate air rules for those industries.

But the agency source says that although EPA is considering such an approach there are benefits to the existing method, including the fact that regulating devices across several industries is "more efficient."

EPA could decide to keep the approach of setting rules affecting multiple industries but combine it with sector-specific rules, or issue sector-specific rules only. "Both options are on the table," the source adds.

[Return to Top](#)

## EPA's McCarthy to Address GHG Rules for Power Plants at EUEC

EPA's McCarthy to Address GHG Rules for Power Plants at EUEC |  

**Outlet Full Name:** Environmental Protection - Online

**News OCR Text:** Gina McCarthy, assistant administrator for the agency's Office of Air and Radiation, will be the keynote speaker on Jan. 31 at the Phoenix Convention Center.

Gina McCarthy, assistant administrator for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Air and Radiation, will be the keynote speaker during the plenary session of EUEC 2011, an energy, utility, and environment conference slated for Jan. 31-Feb. 2 at the Phoenix Convention Center.

The U.S. EPA recently announced a two-year plan to set greenhouse gas (GHG) emission standards under the Clean Air Act for power plants and oil refineries. McCarthy will open the 9 a.m. plenary session Jan. 31 with a presentation about the agency's schedule to issue proposed regulations for power plants by July 26, 2011, and final regulations by May 26, 2012.

Representatives from the nation's top energy agencies and organizations will also make plenary presentations about crucial issues facing the industry. Presenters following McCarthy will be Frank Prager, vice president of Environmental Policy and Services, Xcel Energy; Bryan Hannegan, vice president of Environment and Renewables, EPRI; and Mitchell Baer, director of Oil & Gas Analysis, U.S. DOE. Prabhu Dayal, Ph.D., EUEC chair, will close the session at 11:30 a.m.


"This conference is a unique forum where both leaders from government and industry collaborate and share ideas to move the U.S. toward energy independence and national security while reducing carbon emissions," said Dayal "It offers networking and business development opportunities with senior executives from most of the major electric utilities, reputed environmental engineering and consulting firms, and related government agencies in the U.S. The technical program offers over 600 world-class expert speakers from diverse fields and backgrounds who present new technologies and ideas to comply with current regulations and plan new corporate strategies."

More than 1,500 delegates are registered to date. For more information, visit [www.euec.com](http://www.euec.com) or call 520.615.3535.

EUEC is an annual energy, utility and environment conference organized jointly by a team of directors from the U.S. EPA, U.S. DOE, EPRI, and EEI. EUEC 2011 is the 14th annual conference, making it the largest and longest running professional networking and educational event of its kind in the United States.

[Return to Top](#)

## Feds to review Ky. air-quality regulations

Feds to review Ky. air-quality regulations | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Lexington Herald-Leader - Online

**News OCR Text:** Federal regulators have agreed to decide whether certain air-quality regulations in Kentucky are adequate, according to the Kentucky Environmental Foundation.

The Berea-based foundation had argued in a lawsuit that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency failed to make a decision on the regulations within the time required by law.


In an agreement reached as part of the lawsuit, EPA agreed to make a decision on Kentucky's plan for controlling ozone by April 15, the foundation announced Thursday.

The foundation is concerned that the state's proposed plan downplays ozone concentrations in Lexington, Ashland and the Edmonson County area, executive director Elizabeth Crowe said in a release.

The foundation also questions the state's plan on regulating visible haze. The EPA agreed to approve or disapprove the state's haze plan by March 15, 2012.

[Return to Top](#)

## Obama Is Asked to Salute Clean Air Act in SOTU Speech

Obama Is Asked to Salute Clean Air Act in SOTU Speech | 

**Outlet Full Name:** New York Times - Online

**News OCR Text:** As the White House gets ready for next week's State of the Union address, an influential public health group is asking President Obama to use the bully pulpit to preach the value of air pollution rules that are under attack by congressional Republicans.

A blog about energy and the environment.

President Obama has made overtures to critics of regulation in the aftermath of the midterm election, meeting with business leaders to ask their advice and issuing a new executive order that tells agencies to get rid of rules that cause needless harm to the economy (Greenwire

But in his announcement of the new regulatory policy, the president pointed to the Clean Air Act as an example of a law with ample benefits to the public -- a point that the American Lung Association wants him to drive home during Tuesday's speech. In a letter (pdf) sent to the White House today, President and CEO Charles Connor asks Obama to make U.S. EPA's air pollution rules a "clear and urgent priority."

"Forty years of evidence shows that these health benefits come without harm to the

economy," the letter says. "The public needs to be reminded that the Clean Air Act has prompted technological innovations that have led to much greater pollution reductions at much lower costs than forecasted."

The address comes at a time of nearly unprecedented rancor over the 40-year-old statute. In the opening days of the new Congress, critics have introduced bills that would block or delay a variety of rules and regulations on air pollution.

These lawmakers are trying to address concerns from industry groups, some of which claim that the Obama administration has tried to impose too many strict rules over a short period of time. Cal Dooley, president of the American Chemistry Council, responded to Obama's new executive order by saying that the administration has not paid enough attention to impacts on jobs.

"As the representative of a highly regulated industry, I can tell you that the rules proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency in the past two years will put tens of thousands of high-paying manufacturing jobs at risk," he wrote in a letter to Jack Lew, the director of the White House Office of Management and Budget. "Any economic model that comes to an alternative conclusion is suspect" (Greenwire

But while the president delivers the annual address to a joint session of Congress, the real audience is the American public, said Paul Billings, the American Lung Association's vice president for national policy and advocacy. More than anybody else, the president has the power to focus attention on the value of the federal government's work, he said.

"Everyone is against useless, unnecessarily burdensome regulations," Billings said. "However, everyone also supports air that's safe to breathe and water that's safe to drink, so we think the president can highlight the very real benefits of regulation through his address."

The letter also expresses disappointment about delays on national pollution limits and steps to reduce toxic emissions -- a reference to EPA's proposed limits on smog and standards for industrial boilers, both of which were delayed last month. As the president scrambles to respond to new political realities on Capitol Hill, the address could show where the administration is headed, environmentalists say.

"Right now, we're coming up to a real crunch time, because we know that in the next several months some very critical decisions are going to be made that could decide the course of clean air in this country for a generation," said Frank O'Donnell, president of the advocacy group Clean Air Watch. "The State of the Union address is a great opportunity for the president to reaffirm the message that the Clean Air Act is a very valuable statute and to keep your hands off it, in terms of trying to undermine it."

[Click here \(pdf\)](#) to read the letter.

Copyright 2011 E&E Publishing. All Rights Reserved.

For more news on energy and the environment, visit [www.greenwire.com](http://www.greenwire.com).

Greenwire is published by Environment & Energy Publishing. [Read More »](#)

[Return to Top](#)

## OFFICIALS VOW TO EXTEND NEW RULE REVIEW APPROACH TO EPA'S GHG RULES

OFFICIALS VOW TO EXTEND NEW RULE REVIEW APPROACH TO EPA'S GHG RULES |



**Outlet Full Name:** Inside EPA Weekly Report

**News OCR Text:** Senior administration officials say they plan to subject EPA's greenhouse gas (GHG) rules and other pending measures to strict "retrospective" regulatory review requirements that President Obama just announced to make existing rules more flexible and less burdensome and revoke existing rules that may be out of date.

The White House Jan. 18 released a new executive order generally reiterating current regulatory review requirements while requiring EPA and other agencies to craft plans for retroactively reviewing "existing, significant" regulations to determine "whether any such regulations should be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed so as to make the agency's regulatory program more effective or less burdensome in achieving the regulatory objectives." Relevant documents are available on [InsideEPA.com](#).

While the order applies to existing regulations, senior administration officials say the new review principles outlined by the president also will apply to pending rules, such as EPA's Clean Air Act new source performance standards for GHGs, which were announced last month.

"We're going to be calculating costs and benefits trying to make sure that the benefits justify the cost, seeking flexible means that reduce burdens on the private sector. And that will be, while consistent with our past practice, that the formal framework will start today," a senior administration official said during a Jan. 18 background briefing on the reforms.

The president also signed two additional memoranda as part of the effort. The first generally reiterates current measures to protect small businesses from regulatory costs while suggesting additional flexibilities. Suggested flexibilities for small businesses include promulgating performance standards rather than design standards, simplifying reporting requirements or exempting such firms from rules.

"I further direct that whenever an executive agency chooses, for reasons other than legal limitations, not to provide such flexibility" in a rule that would affect many small businesses "it should explicitly justify its decision not to do so," according to the memo.

The second memo requires increased public disclosure of enforcement information, which is intended to help promote flexible and coordinated enforcement between agencies. "Such data sharing can assist with agencies' risk-based approaches to enforcement: A lack of compliance in one area by a regulated entity may indicate a need for examination and closer attention by another agency," the memo says.

The new measures appear to be part of the administration's broader effort to

smooth its relationship with the business community in advance of stepped-up criticism from congressional Republicans aimed at EPA's and other agencies' regulations.

Prominent industry organizations, such as the Business Roundtable and U.S. Chamber of Commerce, have for months highlighted newly proposed and pending regulations from EPA and other agencies as barriers to economic growth. In letters to the White House last year, those groups aimed at a slew of EPA rules, including GHG regulations. Obama plans to speak to the Chamber next month about ways to spark job growth and improve the economy.

The new White House measures generally drew praise from industry and free-market advocates, though one key source cautioned that much will depend on how the new regulatory review requirements are implemented. Another source is more optimistic, saying it will strengthen the White House' hand when reviewing EPA's rules and increase scrutiny of how the agency calculates health benefits used to justify its regulations.

But the plans drew protests from public health advocates who charged it is unnecessary and will sap EPA resources at a time of already-tight budgets.

Obama touted the new approach in a Jan. 18 column in the Wall Street Journal, where he defends the need for federal regulations aimed at protecting the environment and public health, but says the regulatory process should be reformed to reduce burdens on small businesses and eliminate rules that are no longer needed.

In the Journal op-ed, Obama pointed to the Clean Air Act and GHG rules for vehicle emissions as examples of regulatory efforts that have considered the needs of businesses and produced benefits that outweigh their costs. But he also points to EPA's recent removal of the artificial sweetener saccharin from its hazardous substances list as a long-overdue reform, noting that the Food & Drug Administration for years has considered the substance safe for human consumption.

"But creating a 21st-century regulatory system is about more than which rules to add and which rules to subtract. As the executive order I am signing makes clear, we are seeking more affordable, less intrusive means to achieve -- giving careful consideration to benefits and costs," Obama writes.

Many of the new approaches are consistent with measures laid out by White House regulatory review chief Cass Sunstein, administrator of the Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), who highlighted the administration's embrace of stringent regulatory reviews in a little-noticed speech Nov. 30 at the Brookings Institution, touting steps such as delayed compliance dates, flexibility exemptions and cost-benefit analyses.

One former OIRA official says the new White House regulatory review policies could raise the office's profile. "OIRA review is going to become much more important," says the former official. The source says Obama's endorsement of OIRA's key functions and many views of current OIRA chief Sunstein could "have a big effect" on interagency deliberations about rules.

For example, the official says the order could refocus the debate about EPA rules to

include increased scrutiny of "how benefits are calculated and whether they are real," in addition to the ongoing focus on how much it will cost to comply with the rules. "There are a lot of questions about EPA rules [that will move] to the forefront," the official says, adding the scrutiny could come from OIRA, academics groups and others.

Other proponents of rigorous regulatory review are also praising the president's move and urging public health advocates concerned about the new approach to join the effort as a way to strengthen regulations and the economy.

"Those who care about protecting human health and safety should embrace" the White House plan, said Richard Revesz, dean of the New York University School of Law and Policy Integrity's faculty director, in a news release.

Revesz' colleague Michael Livermore added, "Cost-benefit analysis is here to stay as government's central vehicle for making regulatory decisions -- with [the Wall Street Journal] essay the President makes that much clear."

Industry groups are welcoming the move, but say much depends on the agency plans to implement it. A source with the Chamber calls the move a "positive first step," but says it will be important to see how thorough the review is and what factors are being considered in the agency plans submitted to OIRA. "That's really going to be the key question," the source says. The source says the next step for the group is to "sit down and see how they apply these" provisions in the order.

Elements of the order and memos can already be found in existing laws like the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the Data Quality Act and the Administrative Procedure Act, the source says, adding that there is significance in the fact the president is formally calling on the agencies to explain how they will implement the provisions.


But Obama's executive order already is provoking criticism from defenders of tough regulation. Center for Progressive Reform President Rena Steinzor says Obama's approach is "right out of the Republican hymnal," and questions its underlying assumption that regulations are slowing the economy.

Steinzor says there's no evidence that the saccharine change Obama highlights has cost any jobs in the years it took EPA to remove the substance from its hazardous chemicals list. "Companies weren't told to dig special saccharin waste dumps to dispose of the stuff, after all," she quips, worrying that the retroactive reviews Obama is ordering will sap agency resources at a time of already-tight budgets.

Copyright © 2011 Inside Washington Publishers. All Rights Reserved.

[Return to Top](#)

Farm Bureau lawsuit hurts bay, farmers

Farm Bureau lawsuit hurts bay, farmers | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Baltimore Sun - Online  
**News OCR Text:** 12:45 p.m. EST, January 20, 2011



A recent lawsuit filed by the American Farm Bureau Federation against the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is a slap in the face to the Chesapeake Bay. It is a cynical ploy to reverse years of hard work by farmers who want to do their part to help achieve clean water. And it comes just as a renewed sense of optimism is starting to emerge among all parties that the bay and its rivers can be restored. Polarization and conflict have trumped good sense and collegiality once again.

Over the last year, the Farm Bureau has stood alone in its role as a massively funded national lobbying organization seemingly intent on frustrating progress toward clean water. It has consistently opposed every responsible effort to reduce pollution. And its rhetoric has been laced with hyperbole and startling inaccuracies.

The Farm Bureau seems intent on scaring family farmers into believing that the new Chesapeake Bay pollution diet will mean the end of agriculture in the region. Many farmers reject this view, but others are swayed, adding an unfounded worry to a list of real problems family farmers face trying to compete in a 21st century culture that often does not value their role. As a result, the Farm Bureau is driving a wedge between farmers and the communities in which they live and work.

Framing the issue as a battle between clean water and the farm economy is wrong. Study after study, common sense, and the experience of localities across the country prove that a clean environment and a healthy farm economy are two sides of the same coin. We cannot have one without the other.

The Chesapeake Bay Foundation regularly works with farmers across the watershed to promote conservation practices that protect water quality and also boost farm income, create jobs and stimulate local economies. Most farmers we talk to want to do their part for local rivers and the bay, not just because they are good stewards but because they are good farmers. For example, fencing cows out of streams can improve herd health and reduce veterinary bills, and rotational grazing can increase profits over traditional grain-fed beef and dairy operations. Actions that help achieve healthy rivers also help achieve healthy farms.

Unfortunately, the Farm Bureau just doesn't get it.

Many farmers privately tell us the Farm Bureau does not represent their interests. This is not surprising, given the entrenched relationship between the Farm Bureau and large corporate agribusinesses. Most farms in the Chesapeake region are small farms of less than 200 acres, a far cry from the economic giants of the Farm Bureau's industrial agribusiness partners.

Farm Bureau President Bob Stallman stated that the "... legal effort, led by AFBF, is essential to preserving the power of the states — not EPA — to decide whether and how to regulate farming practices." Here again, the Farm Bureau has it facts wrong. The states have worked to develop cleanup plans. The EPA's role, as the only government entity with a responsibility to assess the entire six-state bay system, is to see that the plans collectively meet the pollution reductions science says are necessary to achieve restoration. To their credit, the bay states have met that challenge, crafting state-specific plans based on the interests and opportunities in their particular jurisdictions. The Farm Bureau needs to get informed — it may find it likes what it sees.

But if it does not, it can work within the system. Over the next year, the states will

be refining their plans, presenting another opportunity for stakeholder engagement by farmers, homeowners, developers, and, yes, even the Farm Bureau. Why doesn't it and its state affiliates work for solutions instead of putting up roadblocks? And it can work with the Chesapeake Bay Foundation to fight for more conservation dollars in the next federal Farm Bill for the region's farmers.

This litigation will be long and costly for all involved. By its unwillingness to join bay farmers, state agencies, nonprofits, local governments and the EPA in working to reduce pollution, the Farm Bureau is showing its commitment to the status quo: dirty water, human health impacts, and a fragmentation of the farm community.

It is time for the Farm Bureau to join the millions of others who want a change. As so many farmers and other businesspeople have shown, clean water will benefit all of us. We must work together, not engage in polarizing hyperbole, misstatements and obstructionist behavior.


William C. Baker is president of the Chesapeake Bay Foundation. His e-mail is ----

O'Malley's ambitions

Do you think Gov. Martin O'Malley has ambitions for higher office?

[Return to Top](#)

Key Republican: End, don't mend, EPA's climate authority

Key Republican: End, don't mend, EPA's climate authority | 

**Outlet Full Name:** Hill - Online, The  
**News OCR Text:** - 01/20/11 12:17 PM ET

The chairman of a key environment subcommittee said Thursday that he supports legislation that would completely block the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) climate authority.

"I would lean myself toward just taking the regulatory authority away from them. But we haven't had the hearings, and I would like to hear all the information about it first," Rep. Ed Whitfield (R-Ky.), the chairman of the House Energy and Commerce Committee's Energy subcommittee, said.

Whitfield's comments are an important indication of how Republicans on the House Energy and Commerce Committee plan to limit EPA's climate authority. Committee Chairman Fred Upton (R-Mich.) has said he wants to block or delay the agency's efforts to regulate greenhouse gas emissions, but his specific plans remain unclear. The committee outlined its plans in broad terms in a document obtained by The Hill on Wednesday.

Committee staff have met with a slew of industry and business groups to get their input on the issue. Whitfield said Thursday that many groups advocated for completely blocking EPA's climate authority, while others called for a delay. Committee staff will meet with the Natural Resources Defense Council next week, he said.

Lawmakers on the Energy and Commerce Committee are also working closely with senators who have expressed support for efforts to limit EPA's regulation of greenhouse gas emissions. Whitfield said he has met with Sens. Lisa Murkowski (R-Alaska) and Jay Rockefeller (D-W.Va.), both of whom introduced bills on the issue in the last Congress.

Whitfield stressed the importance of developing legislation in the House that can pass the Senate. Asked by reporters if the effort is merely symbolic because President Obama is likely to veto any bill that blocks EPA's authority, Whitfield said a veto will bring the issue into the 2012 election spotlight.

"We're going to try to pass what we think is right out of the House. We're going to make every effort that we can do to get it through the Senate," he said. "And if the president vetoes it, then one thing that's going to do is elevate these issues for the 2012 presidential election."

Many of those issues will be addressed at the Energy subcommittee's first hearing, which will focus on "where we are today on energy," Whitfield said. The hearing, to be held in the second week of February, will focus on energy demand and the country's capacity to develop its domestic resources, Whitfield said.

<http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/interviews-profiles/139091-key-republican-end-do-nt-mend-epas-climate-authority>

[Return to Top](#)

Sherry Russell  
Communications Specialist  
Policy Analysis and Communications Staff  
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards  
Phone: 919-541-0306 Fax: 919-541-2464

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/24/2009 01:29 PM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting

Too bad. I hope to be back at EPA the week of July 7. Let's try to get together then. Hang in there.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/09/2011 06:03 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting?

How about 4:30?

Bill Harnett

Dear John When you wake up and rea...

02/09/2011 05:13:49 AM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/09/2011 05:13 AM  
Subject: Meeting?

---

Dear John

When you wake up and read this message....I have a question. Since I couldn't make it to breakfast, do you have a half hour available today to catch up? I have meetings that will be done before you arrive and one at 10am and 2pm for an hour each. Other wise i can be flexible.

Bill

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/23/2011 07:08 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Visit by Anna Engleryd of Sweded

Thurs morning should work for me. do you want to set a time now or check with Addie later?

Bill Harnett

Anna who is the current lead for Swede...

03/23/2011 05:48:37 AM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>, "Terry Keating" <Keating.terry@epa.gov>  
Cc: "Kimber Scavo" <scavo.kimber@epa.gov>, "Paul Almodovar" <almodovar.paul@epa.gov>  
Date: 03/23/2011 05:48 AM  
Subject: Visit by Anna Engleryd of Sweded

---

Anna who is the current lead for Sweden in LRTAP and influential within EC is coming next week to Raleigh and DC. We have her Monday and Tuesday and she comes to DC mid morning on Wednesday

She is scheduling time with State (Ico and David B). Are you also free on Wednesday or Thursday for a meeting?

Any others she should meet with?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/28/2011 09:53 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Visit by Anna Engleryd of Sweded

How about 1100 on Thursday? Wed does not work for me at all.

Bill Harnett

How does early Thursday work for you...

03/23/2011 07:30:55 PM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/23/2011 07:30 PM  
Subject: Re: Visit by Anna Engleryd of Sweded

---

How does early Thursday work for you? What times work on Wednesday afternoon might work for you?

-----John Beale/DC/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 03/23/2011 07:08AM  
Subject: Re: Visit by Anna Engleryd of Sweded

Thurs morning should work for me. do you want to set a time now or check with Addie later?

Bill Harnett---03/23/2011 05:48:37 AM---Anna who is the current lead for Sweden in LRTAP and influential within EC is coming next week to Ra

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>, "Terry Keating" <Keating.terry@epa.gov>  
Cc: "Kimber Scavo" <scavo.kimber@epa.gov>, "Paul Almodovar" <almodovar.paul@epa.gov>  
Date: 03/23/2011 05:48 AM  
Subject: Visit by Anna Engleryd of Sweded

---

Anna who is the current lead for Sweden in LRTAP and influential within EC is coming next week to Raleigh and DC. We have her Monday and Tuesday and she comes to DC mid morning on Wednesday

She is scheduling time with State (Ico and David B). Are you also free on Wednesday or Thursday for a meeting?

Any others she should meet with?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

04/09/2011 10:51 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Weekend Travel

I on the other hand am waiting for a personal invitation from either the president, Reid or the speaker before returning to "work".

Bill Harnett

Like I was waiting for permission. (Big s...

04/09/2011 10:36:36 AM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/09/2011 10:36 AM  
Subject: Re: Weekend Travel

---

Like I was waiting for permission. (Big smile). Not blizzards, volcanos nor now government shutdowns stop me from my trips

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 10:27 AM EDT  
**To:** Bill Harnett  
**Subject:** Fw: Weekend Travel

Fyi

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 10:15 AM EDT  
**To:** Gina McCarthy  
**Cc:** Jim Jones; "Terry Keating" <keating.terry@epa.gov>; "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>; "Bill Harnett" <harnett.bill@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Weekend Travel

Thanks Gina,

Rob  
Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Gina McCarthy  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 09:31 AM EDT  
**To:** Rob Brenner; "Steve Page" <Page.Steve@Epa.GOV>; "Margo Oge" <Oge.Margo@EPA.GOV>; Beth Craig  
**Cc:** Jim Jones@EPA.GOV; "Don Zinger" <Zinger.Don@EPA.GOV>  
**Subject:** Re: Weekend Travel

We can go ahead with travel again as long as it has gone thru appropriate approval process.  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----



**From:** Rob Brenner  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 04:33 AM EDT  
**To:** "Gina McCarthy" <McCarthy.Gina@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Jim Jones  
**Subject:** Weekend Travel

Hi Gina,

Now that we have a CR and OMB has instructed us to resume normal operations, can I turn around my instructions to Terry Keating and let him go to the LRTAP meeting?  
Or are we still locked in to no weekend travel?

Thanks,

Rob

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

04/09/2011 10:52 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Weekend Travel

Catch me if you can.....

Bill Harnett

Need to talk when I return. We turned...

04/09/2011 10:46:56 AM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/09/2011 10:46 AM  
Subject: Re: Weekend Travel

Need to talk when I return. We turned down a second person for this trip that we could have used because of resources. Terry hasn't participated in the planning meetings either.

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 10:27 AM EDT  
**To:** Bill Harnett  
**Subject:** Fw: Weekend Travel

Fyi

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 10:15 AM EDT  
**To:** Gina McCarthy  
**Cc:** Jim Jones; "Terry Keating" <keating.terry@epa.gov>; "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>; "Bill Harnett" <harnett.bill@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Weekend Travel

Thanks Gina,

Rob  
Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Gina McCarthy  
**Sent:** 04/09/2011 09:31 AM EDT  
**To:** Rob Brenner; "Steve Page" <Page.Steve@Epa.GOV>; "Margo Oge" <Oge.Margo@EPA.GOV>; Beth Craig  
**Cc:** Jim Jones@EPA.GOV; "Don Zinger" <Zinger.Don@EPA.GOV>  
**Subject:** Re: Weekend Travel

We can go ahead with travel again as long as it has gone thru appropriate approval process.  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 04/09/2011 04:33 AM EDT  
**To:** "Gina McCarthy" <McCarthy.Gina@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Jim Jones  
**Subject:** Weekend Travel

Hi Gina,

Now that we have a CR and OMB has instructed us to resume normal operations, can I turn around my instructions to Terry Keating and let him go to the LRTAP meeting?  
Or are we still locked in to no weekend travel?

Thanks,

Rob

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/13/2010 08:26 AM

To Bill Harnett

cc

bcc

Subject Re: O Grand International Leader!!

Seriously, for a moment, dinner would be great and I will sit in on the meeting with Janet. Thx.

Bill Harnett

[I will work on that approach for next tim...](#)

12/11/2010 05:44:38 PM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/11/2010 05:44 PM  
Subject: Re: O Grand International Leader!!

I will work on that approach for next time. :-)

John Beale

[Thx Bill. How about a sharp stick in the...](#)

12/11/2010 09:57:39 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/11/2010 09:57 AM  
Subject: Re: O Grand International Leader!!

Thx Bill. How about a sharp stick in the eye?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Bill Harnett

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Bill Harnett  
**Sent:** 12/11/2010 09:35 AM EST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** O Grand International Leader!!

Dear John,

It looks like a contingent of Environment Canada personnel are coming to visit in DC on January 18th. It will be led by Mike Beale, the Acting Assistant Associate Deputy Minister for Environmental Stewardship for EC. He is planning on bringing Louise Metivier, among others. She is the Director General for Strategic Priorities. Great titles! They are coming to meet with us on GHG permitting guidance. They will be meeting with technical staff in the morning and then with Janet McCabe from 1:00pm to 1:45pm in case you wish to attend that last session. Also, I will be checking with them about whether they would like to do a dinner on the night of Monday, January 17th. That is our holiday but I would come up for that and it would just be you and I with them if you would like. If they are getting in too late then we could do a lunch with them before the Janet meeting. I will keep you informed.

Bill

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/03/2011 09:10 AM

To Bill Harnett, Jeffrey Clark

cc

bcc

Subject Pre-meeting

I will likely be late if I can make at all to the call today so do not wait for me. Not that you ever would.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/07/2011 08:20 AM

To Bill Harnett, Jeffrey Clark, Terry Keating

cc

bcc

Subject Could we have a quick call at 1300 to discuss Richard's draft letter?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/07/2011 09:18 AM

To Bill Harnett, Jeffrey Clark, Terry Keating

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Could we have a quick call at 1300 to discuss Richard's draft letter?

OK. Should I call your office? To my mind this should take no more than 15 minutes.

Terry can you join me in my office?

Bill Harnett

I can do it then. From: John Beale/DC/...

02/07/2011 08:53:34 AM

From: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2011 08:53 AM  
Subject: Re: Could we have a quick call at 1300 to discuss Richard's draft letter?

---

I can do it then.

John Beale

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US To:...

02/07/2011 08:20:22 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Bill Harnett/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeffrey Clark/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Keating/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2011 08:20 AM  
Subject: Could we have a quick call at 1300 to discuss Richard's draft letter?

---

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/07/2011 04:06 PM

To Bill Irving

cc "Reid Harvey", "Jackie Krieger", "Maurice LeFranc", Leif  
Hockstad

bcc

Subject Re: MRV schedule

Thanks Bill. Thursday morning is fine.

Bill Irving

John We think Thursday morning is do...

03/07/2011 02:49:47 PM

From: Bill Irving/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov>  
Cc: "Reid Harvey" <Harvey.Reid@epamail.epa.gov>, Leif Hockstad/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Jackie Krieger" <Krieger.Jackie@epamail.epa.gov>, "Maurice LeFranc" <LeFrancMA@state.gov>  
Date: 03/07/2011 02:49 PM  
Subject: MRV schedule

---

John

We think Thursday morning is doable. We can push for Wed COB if needed.

Leif will start with the pen and rope in Maurice and me.

Bill Irving

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services.



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

08/11/2010 06:03 PM

To BlackBerry User Migration Support

cc

bcc

Subject Re: BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration: 2nd Notice

I have two computers. My laptop is with me. My desk top, on which I sync, is in my office. Thanks again.

BlackBerry User Migration Support Does Addie have ac... 08/11/2010 06:00:26 PM

From: BlackBerry User Migration Support  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/11/2010 06:00 PM  
Subject: Re: BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration: 2nd Notice  
Sent by: Christopher Blair

Does Addie have access to your computer also? the Server change requires that we remote into you machine to make the server change on the "Desktop Manager" software.

John Beale Yes I do use it to sync. I am out of the o... 08/11/2010 05:56:17 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: BlackBerry User Migration Support@EPA  
Cc: Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/11/2010 05:56 PM  
Subject: Re: BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration: 2nd Notice

Yes I do use it to sync. I am out of the office until Sept but my assistant Addie Johnson 202-564-2797 can give you access to my office. Thanks

BlackBerry User Migration Support HI, Just trying to get... 08/11/2010 05:11:45 PM

From: BlackBerry User Migration Support  
To:  
Date: 08/11/2010 05:11 PM  
Subject: BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration: 2nd Notice  
Sent by: Serena Drangle



HI,

Just trying to get in touch with you to find out if you currently use your **Desktop Manager** with your Black Berry phone to **Synch your contacts** . If **NO** than please just respond saying that you do not use it to **Synch your contacts** , and i will remove you from the call list. If **YES** than please respond to email or call with a time to call. The process requires me to **remote into your computer** .

Thank You  
**BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration Tech**  
Christopher Blair

---

---

### **BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration**

At this time, your BlackBerry user account has successfully been moved to a new BlackBerry server. As referenced in the OEI notification sent on July 9, 2010, users may need the BlackBerry Desktop Manager software on their computers to be updated following this move.

Please reply to this message with a date within the next three business days and time between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. that is convenient for a member of the team to update the BlackBerry Desktop Manager settings on your computer. Please note that the update procedure will take approximately 15 minutes to complete and will require you to be present at your computer when it occurs. The team will make three attempts to schedule the reconfiguration with you. In the event that the team does not receive a response, the help desk ticket associated with the reconfiguration will be closed.

If you have any questions, CTS customers are encouraged to contact [ctshelp@epa.gov](mailto:ctshelp@epa.gov) or (866) 955-4287. All other users should contact their local help desks.

Thank you for your cooperation and we apologize for any inconvenience.

The BlackBerry User Migration Team

---

For internal use:

Ref: <2nd Notice (Julian's Group)>

Comm: <BB Team Member-2 Attempt>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

08/11/2010 05:56 PM

To BlackBerry User Migration Support

cc Addie Johnson

bcc

Subject Re: BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration: 2nd Notice

Yes I do use it to sync. I am out of the office until Sept but my assistant Addie Johnson 202-564-2797 can give you access to my office. Thanks

BlackBerry User Migration Support

HI, Just trying to get...

08/11/2010 05:11:45 PM

From: BlackBerry User Migration Support  
To:  
Date: 08/11/2010 05:11 PM  
Subject: BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration: 2nd Notice  
Sent by: Serena Drangle



HI,

Just trying to get in touch with you to find out if you currently use your **Desktop Manager** with your BlackBerry phone to **Sync your contacts**. If **NO** than please just respond saying that you do not use it to **Sync your contacts**, and i will remove you from the call list. If **YES** than please respond to email or call with a time to call. The process requires me to **remote into your computer**.

Thank You  
**BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration Tech**  
Christopher Blair  
202-741-4263

---

### BlackBerry Desktop Manager Reconfiguration

At this time, your BlackBerry user account has successfully been moved to a new BlackBerry server. As referenced in the OEI notification sent on July 9, 2010, users may need the BlackBerry Desktop Manager software on their computers to be updated following this move.

Please reply to this message with a date within the next three business days and time between 9:00 a.m and 5:00 p.m. that is convenient for a member of the team to update the BlackBerry Desktop Manager settings on your computer. Please note that the update procedure will take approximately 15 minutes to complete and will require you to be present at your computer when it occurs. The team will make three attempts to schedule the reconfiguration with you. In the event that the team does not receive a

response, the help desk ticket associated with the reconfiguration will be closed.

If you have any questions, CTS customers are encouraged to contact [ctshelp@epa.gov](mailto:ctshelp@epa.gov) or (866) 955-4287. All other users should contact their local help desks.

Thank you for your cooperation and we apologize for any inconvenience.

The BlackBerry User Migration Team

---

For internal use:

Ref: <2nd Notice (Julian's Group)>

Comm: <BB Team Member-2 Attempt>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
02/01/2011 07:43 AM

To Breck Milroy  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: Information Update - Subject has changed:De-briefing on  
TPP - Dial-in 866-299-3188, conf code 564-1917

FYI in case you can call in. Please give me a call when you can.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/01/2011 07:42 AM -----

**Information Update - Subject has changed: De-briefing on TPP -  
Dial-in 866-299-3188, conf code 564-1917**

**Tue 02/01/2011 10:00 AM - 10:30**

AM

Attendance is **required** for John Beale

Chair: **Tseming Yang/DC/USEPA/US**

Rooms: Room 4045/DC-Ariel Rios-OGC@EPA

**Tseming Yang** has sent updated information; subject has changed

Required:

Bicky Corman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Brian Grant/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Daniella  
Taveau/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Gravalles/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim  
Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joe Ferrante/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John  
Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Freedman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laurel  
Celeste/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Shalini Vajjhala/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Description**



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/11/2011 08:49 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Review of TPP public comment on 'regulatory coherence'

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 03/11/2011 08:50 AM -----

From: Walker Smith/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Shalini Vajjhala/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joe Ferrante/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/10/2011 05:12 PM  
Subject: Fw: Review of TPP public comment on 'regulatory coherence'

---

See below for some interesting observations about the public comments on reg coherence.

Walker B. Smith, Director  
Office of Global Affairs and Policy  
Office of International and Tribal Affairs  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
202.564.4044

----- Forwarded by Walker Smith/DC/USEPA/US on 03/10/2011 05:08 PM -----

From: Chris Herman/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Walker Smith/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Martin Dieu/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joe Ferrante/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/10/2011 12:41 PM  
Subject: Review of TPP public comment on 'regulatory coherence'

---

Results of a review of TPP public comments on 'regulatory coherence':

- five of 242 TPP comments mention 'regulatory coherence'
- of the five, three are 'horizontal'; the other two are specific to the financial services sector
- commenters' proposals for improving regulatory coherence involve trade-specific mechanisms such as:
  - greater use of mutual recognition based on common (presumably high) regulatory values,
  - sector-based peer review opportunities or targeted standardization;
  - procedural transparency, i.e., public notice and comment
- none of the commenters' proposals call for generalizing domestic 'governance' regimes much less for 'exporting' or 'regionalizing' domestic OMB practice into trade agreements.

Chris

\*\*\*\*\*

Horizontal

Coalition of Service Industries:

"Specifically, we believe progress can be made in modernizing the regulatory structure of TPP members through:

- Wider acceptance of regulatory recognition (whether unilateral, bilateral or multilateral) as accepted international regulatory policy based on a common set of regulatory values and shared outputs.

-- Identification and promoting of 'targeted' rules' standardization where such standardization can deliver tangible benefits for the providers and consumers of financial services.

--Submission of domestic regulatory regimes to peer review by other members of the TPP and international regulatory bodies, such as IOSCO or the FSB."

Emergency Committee for American Trade:

"As tariffs are reduced and eliminated, regulatory inconsistencies, conflicting standards and other related barriers gain increasing prominence and will, if unchecked, limit the benefits that the agreement can provide to the United States and the other parties.

To address these issues, negotiators should explore ways to incorporate into all aspects of the TPP key principles to promote regulatory coherence and transparency, including:

- Reducing regulatory complexity, duplicative regulations, regulatory inconsistencies and opportunities for regulatory arbitrage.
- Promoting greater regulatory coordination, coherence and, where appropriate, harmonization and standardization.
- Promoting wider acceptance of mutual recognition arrangements with regard to regulatory matters (whether unilateral, bilateral or multilateral).
- Improving domestic regulatory regimes and ensuring that regulations and standards are developed through an open, voluntary, and consensus-based process.
- Ensuring standards and regulations are publicly available and vendor neutral".

American Chamber of Commerce in Singapore

"Harmonizing standards across TPP countries would greatly increase efficiency for US companies operating in the area".

Financial Service Sector-related

American Council of Life Insurers

--'wider acceptance of regulatory recognition...based on a common set of regulatory values and shared objectives'

--promoting 'targeted' rules standardization for financial services...

--submitting domestic regulatory [financial services] regimes to peer review by other members of the TPP and international bodies such as the FSB and IAIS.

Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association

"In light of the cutting-edge nature of such discussions, we encourage regulators to develop greater regulatory coherence by reducing and eliminating duplicative and conflicting regulations through TPP mechanisms on convergence and mutual recognition. At present, the regulatory frameworks of members of the TPP are largely geographically based and do not take into account the global nature of providing financial services and products to meet customer demands.

Specifically, we believe progress can be made in modernizing the regulatory structure of TPP members through:

a) wider acceptance of regulatory recognition (whether unilateral, bilateral or multilateral) as accepted international regulatory policy based on a common set of regulatory values and shared outputs. In this regard, we note that US and Australian regulatory authorities have entered into a mutual recognition arrangement, which may serve as a platform from which the TPP participants can discuss and promote increased cross-border trade in financial services;



b) identifying and promoting “targeted” rules’ standardization where standardization can deliver tangible benefits for the providers and consumers of financial services. As an illustrative example, when institutional investors and broker-dealers were mainly focused on domestic investment opportunities, it was of little significance that different countries had differing rules regulating the disclosure of large shareholdings. However, now that cross-border investment is the norm, those differences often impose significant costs on market participants that have to manage compliance with multiple regulatory regimes and inconsistent rules. Consistent with G20 principles, this would enhance effective cross-border regulation by minimizing inconsistencies and complexities;

c) submitting domestic regulatory regimes to peer review by other members of the TPP and international regulatory bodies, such as IOSCO or the FSB”.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/18/2011 07:56 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Am at my desk and will call in to TPP call

If you would like, come to my office for the call

Breck Milroy

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US To:...

03/18/2011 07:54:17 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US

To: beale.john@epa.gov

Date: 03/18/2011 07:54 AM

Subject: Am at my desk and will call in to TPP call

---

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/26/2011 10:37 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Call with Barry Breen

1030 would be good. Pardon my ignorance, could you tell me what offices these people are in?

Breck Milroy

Could you do a call with Barry on Frida...

01/26/2011 10:30:52 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: beale.john@epa.gov, johnson.addie@epa.gov  
Date: 01/26/2011 10:30 AM  
Subject: Call with Barry Breen

---

Could you do a call with Barry on Friday at either 1030 or between 330-5? (Assuming a preference for 1030.). Breck

(Addie: the phone number would be 202-566-0200.)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/26/2011 10:52 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Call with Barry Breen

That's fine. How About Jones?

Breck Milroy

John: Barry Breen is OSWER. I've just...

01/26/2011 10:46:14 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, johnson.addie@epa.gov  
Date: 01/26/2011 10:46 AM  
Subject: Re: Call with Barry Breen

---

John: Barry Breen is OSWER. I've just heard that 4 pm would be best for him on Friday. Is that ok for you? B

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 01/26/2011 10:37 AM EST  
**To:** Breck Milroy  
**Subject:** Re: Call with Barry Breen

1030 would be good. Pardon my ignorance, could you tell me what offices these people are in?

Breck Milroy

Could you do a call with Barry on Frida...

01/26/2011 10:30:52 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: beale.john@epa.gov, johnson.addie@epa.gov  
Date: 01/26/2011 10:30 AM  
Subject: Call with Barry Breen

---

Could you do a call with Barry on Friday at either 1030 or between 330-5? (Assuming a preference for 1030.). Breck

(Addie: the phone number would be 202-566-0200.)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/26/2011 11:25 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Call with Barry Breen

Make it simple. Leave as is. Thx

Breck Milroy Jones is set for Friday morning at 9. Fo... 01/26/2011 11:16:32 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/26/2011 11:16 AM  
Subject: Re: Call with Barry Breen

Jones is set for Friday morning at 9. For some reason, in OSWER Chris Prins asked his boss Barry Breen if he could sit in on the call. I indicated in the initial calls to people that DAA to DAA was the general idea. That worked with OIA and OCSPP. Do you want me to call OSWER and try to scale it back (or if Barry is more comfortable with Chris there, just leave it as is)? Breck

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 01/26/2011 10:52 AM EST  
**To:** Breck Milroy  
**Subject:** Re: Call with Barry Breen

That's fine. How About Jones?

Breck Milroy John: Barry Breen is OSWER. I've just... 01/26/2011 10:46:14 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, johnson.addie@epa.gov  
Date: 01/26/2011 10:46 AM  
Subject: Re: Call with Barry Breen

John: Barry Breen is OSWER. I've just heard that 4 pm would be best for him on Friday. Is that ok for you? B

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 01/26/2011 10:37 AM EST  
**To:** Breck Milroy  
**Subject:** Re: Call with Barry Breen

1030 would be good. Pardon my ignorance, could you tell me what offices these people are in?

Breck Milroy Could you do a call with Barry on Frida... 01/26/2011 10:30:52 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: beale.john@epa.gov, johnson.addie@epa.gov  
Date: 01/26/2011 10:30 AM  
Subject: Call with Barry Breen

Could you do a call with Barry on Friday at either 1030 or between 330-5? (Assuming a preference for

1030.). Breck

(Addie: the phone number would be 202-566-0200.)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/25/2011 05:53 PM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: DAA Calls

Thanks so much Breck. I will call you in the morning. Take good care.

Breck Milroy

Hi, John: Calls with OW, OSWER, OCS...

01/25/2011 04:49:50 PM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Marta Montoro/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/25/2011 04:49 PM  
Subject: DAA Calls

---

Hi, John: Calls with OW, OSWER, OCSPP and OECA are in process, either via email or phone. Jones won't be back from travel until Friday but his assistant Joyce and I can probably work out the time tomorrow. Barry Breen from OSWER knows you'll be calling, but I can't fix the time until tomorrow morning. I'm waiting to hear from Shapiro, and Catherine McCabe's office. If the weather is anything like they are saying it will be, I will likely not be able to leave the house. I will work from home and Marta will also pitch in and back me up.

Addie has the Stahl call on your calendar (564-6439). Breck

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/20/2011 01:34 PM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: FINALLY!

Welcome back Breck! See you tomorrow.

Breck Milroy

Hi, John: I am back and have spent the...

01/20/2011 10:50:08 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/20/2011 10:50 AM  
Subject: FINALLY!

---

Hi, John: I am back and have spent the better part of the morning so far talking with Maurice, Sue and Marta. I think it's lucky for me that my time out of the office included the holidays because at least a couple of weeks were quieter than usual and I won't have quite so much catching up in front of me. Marta mentioned that we are on a Monday-Wednesday-Friday at noon schedule for staff meetings and I'll make sure my calendar reflects that. I already sort of miss Jacob's end of phone calls wanting to know everything about cookstoves! Breck



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/26/2011 08:23 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Phone chat with Jim Jones

Great! You are correct, early is better. 0900 is great. Please have Addie put on my calendar with number I should call as you did for Stahl. Smart decision to stay home. You get extra points.....

Breck Milroy

Hi, John: I heard this morning from Jim...

01/26/2011 08:19:30 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: beale.john@epa.gov  
Cc: crowley.joyce@epa.gov  
Date: 01/26/2011 08:19 AM  
Subject: Phone chat with Jim Jones

---

Hi, John: I heard this morning from Jim Jones' office and he would be available on Friday to have a conversation with you in a couple of different time slots: a time between 9-10, 1-2 or 3-4. Do you have a preference? I thought you might opt for the early slot but wanted to check with you. Let me know and We'll fix the time frame. Thanks, Breck

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/18/2011 10:13 AM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: update

Thanks Breck. Take care of yourself. We look forward to your return when you are ready and it is safe to do so. Don't take any unnecessary chances.

Breck Milroy

Hello All: I hope you will be able to ma...

01/18/2011 08:49:35 AM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: beale.john@epa.gov  
Cc: stendebach.sue@epa.gov, lefranc.maurice@epa.gov, montoro.marta@epa.gov  
Date: 01/18/2011 08:49 AM  
Subject: update

---

Hello All: I hope you will be able to manage (!! ) for one additional day without me. I am having to reschedule a couple of things owing to today's unfriendly weather, so I will plan to see everyone on Thursday morning. Travel safely and carefully, Breck

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/02/2011 05:31 PM

To Breck Milroy

cc

bcc

Subject Re: YIPEE

Congratulations Breck. Great job!

Breck Milroy

Just read Tseming's email. What good...

02/02/2011 03:43:05 PM

From: Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: beale.john@epa.gov  
Date: 02/02/2011 03:43 PM  
Subject: YIPEE

---

Just read Tseming's email. What good news! I had just finished reviewing the rediline/strike out! Breck

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/14/2011 08:45 AM

To Breck Milroy, Keith Mason, Maureen Delaney, Tom Eagles

cc Addie Johnson

bcc

Subject TPP

Surprise, surprise...another potential TPP fire drill. Can we meet at 1000 this morning?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/28/2011 08:12 AM

To brenner.rob

cc

bcc

Subject So??? How did it go?

Also, do you have a cell number for Cochran?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

05/06/2011 08:59 AM

To brenner.rob

cc

bcc

Subject Thanks

RB

I hope it is ok that I took up your offer to ask Tamara/Jenny to help pull together my "China" presentation. Yesterday Sarah and I spoke with Tamara about the possibility of the three of them working together on it. She seemed happy to do so.

I should have spoken with you first but Sarah is out today and most of next week so I struck whilst the iron was hot, since you had already mentioned it.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/10/2010 08:00 AM

To Brian Mclean

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Time to talk

Brian,

That would be great Brian. I will be back on the 16th. Either Tues the 16th or Wed the 17th would work for me.

I thought i asked Addie about 10 days ago to try to set up a lunch for us. Sorry, I must have forgot.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Brian Mclean

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Brian Mclean

**Sent:** 03/10/2010 07:50 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Time to talk

John,

I've stopped by a couple times to see if you were available to talk.

I can ask Tina to set up a time with Addie--maybe for lunch next week. How does that sound?

Brian

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/06/2010 09:27 AM

To bvandyke

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Guardian Article on Copenhagen Leaders' meeting

very much so. thanks. makes hope for real progress seem dimmer and dimmer

bvandyke

worth reading <http://www.guardian.co....>

01/05/2010 05:18:41 PM

From: bvandyke@thegef.org

To: charly.moore@verizon.net, nkete@wri.org, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Barratt-Brown, Liz" <lizbb@nrdc.org>

Date: 01/05/2010 05:18 PM

Subject: Guardian Article on Copenhagen Leaders' meeting

---

worth reading

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2009/dec/22/copenhagen-climate-change-mark-lynas>

Brennan Van Dyke  
Senior Advisor to the CEO  
Global Environment Facility  
1818 H Street, NW, MSN G6-600  
Washington, DC 20433  
(202) 458-0480  
bvandyke@thegef.org



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/30/2013 10:30 AM

To Carl Mazza

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting

Thanks Carl. I am still out today. What time would work for you tomorrow?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Carl Mazza

How about 2 today.

01/30/2013 09:26 AM EST

From: Carl Mazza  
To: John Beale  
Date: 01/30/2013 09:26 AM EST  
Subject: Re: Meeting

---

How about 2 today.

John Beale

Carl, I am sorry to have to reschedule...

01/29/2013 07:33 AM EST

From: John Beale  
To: Carl Mazza  
Cc:  
Date: 01/29/2013 07:33 AM EST  
Subject: Meeting

---

Carl,

I am sorry to have to reschedule our meeting today. I will get with you tomorrow to set a time.

Thanks Carl.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/30/2013 11:26 AM

To Carl Mazza

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting

That would be great Carl. Have a great trip. See you next Tues. I will review your draft note and the other materials tomorrow.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Carl Mazza

John....I am out to Calif Thurs and Fri...

01/30/2013 10:39 AM EST

From: Carl Mazza  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 01/30/2013 10:39 AM EST  
Subject: Re: Meeting

John....I am out to Calif Thurs and Fri (coming back on red eye Sunday night so Tues would be better for next mtg). Let me send you a draft note to Gina.....I will be on e--mail while I am gone. Also I have tried to identify some key documents....not to read in detail... but to get the gist of the role they play in the process.

Carl

Carl Mazza  
Science Advisor  
Office of Air and Radiation, EPA  
202-564-7427 (202-501-0600 fax)  
Mazza.Carl@EPA.GOV

John Beale

Thanks Carl. I am still out today. What t...

01/30/2013 10:30:07 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Carl Mazza/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/30/2013 10:30 AM  
Subject: Re: Meeting

Thanks Carl. I am still out today. What time would work for you tomorrow?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Carl Mazza

How about 2 today.

01/30/2013 09:26 AM EST

From: Carl Mazza  
To: John Beale  
Date: 01/30/2013 09:26 AM EST  
Subject: Re: Meeting

How about 2 today.

John Beale

Carl, I am sorry to have to reschedule...

01/29/2013 07:33 AM EST

From: John Beale  
To: Carl Mazza  
Cc:  
Date: 01/29/2013 07:33 AM EST  
Subject: Meeting

---

Carl,

I am sorry to have to reschedule our meeting today. I will get with you tomorrow to set a time.

Thanks Carl.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/29/2013 07:33 AM

To Carl Mazza

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Carl,

I am sorry to have to reschedule our meeting today. I will get with you tomorrow to set a time.

Thanks Carl.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/25/2013 01:14 PM

To Carl Mazza

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Re: Tomorrow

Stop working and take care of your cold. We reserved a room at 1400 as a place holder. Hope that works. Have a good weekend Carl.

Carl Mazza

I will get to office over the weekend and...

01/25/2013 12:53:14 PM

From: Carl Mazza/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Grace Olson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/25/2013 12:53 PM  
Subject: Fw: Re: Tomorrow

---

I will get to office over the weekend and let you know about Tuesday.....either way we will find a time to meet.

Carl Mazza  
Science Advisor  
Office of Air and Radiation, EPA  
202-564-7427 (202-501-0600 fax)  
Mazza.Carl@EPA.GOV

-----Forwarded by Carl Mazza/DC/USEPA/US on 01/25/2013 12:51PM -----

To: Carl Mazza/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: Grace Olson/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 01/25/2013 11:51AM  
Subject: Re: Tomorrow

There is something marked "Hg" that marked during and after the OPAR mtg until 1.  
Grace Olson  
Intern to Carl Mazza  
EPA Office of Air and Radiation  
(202) 564 - 7426

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/25/2013 08:48 AM

To Carl Mazza

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Tomorrow

Carl,

That's fine and I hope you are feeling better. I am out on Monday so let's plan on getting together Tuesday. What times would work for you?

Carl Mazza

John I expect to stay home tomorrow a...

01/24/2013 10:45:23 PM

From: Carl Mazza/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/24/2013 10:45 PM  
Subject: Tomorrow

---

John I expect to stay home tomorrow and perhaps that's better because I need to integrate our conversation with the track I was on and share something on paper before we talk.

Thanks...

PS...Going to California next Thursday and Friday for a family event and so while I have a bunch of things going on my priority is moving on the research issue before then.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/07/2013 09:51 AM

To Carl Mazza, Terry Keating

cc

bcc

Subject Note to Gina

The note looks very good to me. What do each of you think?

I have only a couple of questions/changes on each piece of the note that I would like to discuss with each of you. Either together or separately. I would like to give it to Betsy early this afternoon so please contact me when you can.

Thanks

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

08/02/2010 03:36 PM

To Charles Moore

cc

bcc

Subject Re: from today's Politico

It is all so familiar. The poor old Clean Air Act always takes a beating---no matter how "reasonably" it is implemented.

Charles Moore

Reminds me of our conversation last w...

08/02/2010 10:27:55 AM

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/02/2010 10:27 AM  
Subject: from today's Politico

---

Reminds me of our conversation last week.

## Obama's climate 'Plan B' in hot water

By: [Darren Samuelsohn](#)

August 2, 2010 04:31 AM EDT

President Barack Obama's 'Plan B' for tackling global warming is under attack in the courts and on Capitol Hill.

Through federal lawsuits, two conservative attorneys general, a major coal company and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce are leading the charge to overturn the Environmental Protection Agency's ability to write its own climate rules.

Key coal-state Democrats and nearly all Republicans are also unified in their bid to slow down the EPA via legislation — and they're determined to force a series of votes on the issue before the next big suite of rules start kicking in next January.

"You attack it at all fronts," Sen. Lisa Murkowski (R-Alaska), a leading advocate for stopping the EPA, told POLITICO. "You go the judicial route. You go the legislative route. I think this is important to make sure we are looking at all avenues."

Bids to stop the EPA started even before the agency concluded last December that greenhouse gases are a threat to public health and welfare, issuing its all-important endangerment finding that essentially triggered a series of climate-themed rules under the Clean Air Act.

But EPA's moves are now front and center as the Obama administration starts exercising its administrative muscle after Senate Democrats last month shelved a broader climate bill for the year.

"People on the pro- and anti-policy side are increasing the intensity of their curiosity of what EPA is doing because they don't have a process to focus on," an Obama administration official said in an interview. "We're definitely the most significant game in town."

The EPA took its first big step in the spring when it unveiled new climate-themed standards for motor vehicles, the byproduct of several years of legal wrangling and closed-door negotiations with industry, states and environmentalists.



More rules will come in January for power plants and other major stationary sources. And the EPA is also trying to limit the reach of its future rules on smaller industrial sources by issuing a so-called tailoring rule that sets minimum emission thresholds before any standards would kick in.

The legality of the tailoring rule is under scrutiny in court, but conservatives want to drive home their point that the agency — unless ordered otherwise — is obligated under the law to start setting new restrictions on churches, schools and, maybe someday, lawn mowers.

"I don't know if its backyard barbeque grills or hitting small business," said Robert Stavins, a Harvard University economist who has been working on climate rules for several decades. "But there will be some regulation that looks silly that just becomes a poster child for the right. And it could lead to less, rather than more, national enthusiasm on climate policy. And people on the right recognize that."

On Capitol Hill, Murkowski tried earlier this year to reject EPA's Endangerment Finding with a Congressional Review Act resolution that required only 51 supporters to pass in the Senate. She lost 47-53. Last week, Murkowski attempted to tack an amendment that would stop EPA's power plant rule onto a small-business bill.

A vote is unlikely because of ongoing Senate gridlock, but Murkowski said she's taking her chances wherever and whenever she can. "What I'm trying to do is preserve options," she said. "If there are opportunities, we don't want to have missed an opportunity."

"The calendar is advancing," Murkowski added. "Jan. 1 is coming upon us. I think businesses are taking a look at this and asking, 'Is this what this will mean to us?' And I think they're making the calls to their representatives and saying what are you doing about this because this is going to impact us in a substantial way."

Efforts in the House are also picking up steam. A House appropriations subcommittee narrowly defeated an amendment, voting 7-7 last month, to stop the EPA for two years, and Rep. Rick Boucher (D-Va.), a leading advocate, predicted another vote if the full Appropriations Committee meets in September.

"It's going to be incredibly economically disruptive," Boucher said of the EPA rules. "The tools will lead to blunderbuss regulations."

Boucher, who played a key role last year writing cap-and-trade legislation, said he'd prefer Congress pass a bill because it can do things the EPA can't, such as establish an emissions trading program and allow companies to purchase offsets if they can't meet their compliance obligations.

"Congress needs time to assemble the political will to do this properly," he said.

EPA's opponents have support from some typical moderates on environmental issues, including Sen. Susan Collins. The Maine Republican voted with Murkowski in June and said last week she'd be there again the next time because she wants Congress to provide a long-term solution to the climate issue.

"At least we deserve an opportunity to try," Collins said. "The problem is this is such a big policy issue that it should really be decided by Congress and not carried out by regulatory fiat."

Environmental groups stung by last month's abrupt Senate defeat have quickly shifted to defend the EPA regulations. The League of Conservation Voters, the Natural Resources Defense Council, Environment America and the National Wildlife Federation ran an ad last Thursday in The Washington Post and another Friday in The Akron Beacon Journal naming the seven congressmen — Ken Calvert (R-Calif.), Ben Chandler (D-Ky.), Tom Cole (R-Okla.), Steve LaTourette (R-Ohio), Jerry Lewis (R-Calif.), Alan Mollohan (D-W.Va.) and Mike Simpson (R-Idaho) — who voted for the two-year delay in the House appropriations subcommittee.

"It doesn't matter if you're are a Republican or a Democrat, if you take on the Clean Air Act that has a

40-year track record and is right now our lone tool to address the climate crisis, we're going to scream about it," said Joe Mendelson, NWF's lead climate official and a lead author on the original petition that led to the 2007 Supreme Court decision *Massachusetts v. EPA*, which underpins the agency's regulatory authority. "It's time to take action. It's not time to take steps back."

The Obama administration is also defending itself on several fronts. A White House official has said the president would veto any bill that reaches his desk that tries to take away EPA's authority to write the climate rules, saying the agency will not overreach.

"We will achieve modest gains with modest regulations," the official said. "These regulations, on the one hand, are not going to solve the entire global warming problem. On the other, they won't be incredibly intrusive and blunderbuss. They'll be the modest steps that EPA is authorized by the existing Clean Air Act to take."

Also last week, EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson dismissed several preliminary petitions that were aimed at trying to overturn the endangerment finding based on stolen e-mails from prominent climate scientists. Virginia Attorney General Ken Cuccinelli, one of the petitioners, had argued to EPA that the e-mails — which formed the basis of last fall's so-called Climategate controversy — show collusion to hide contrary information debunking global warming.

But Jackson disagreed. "These petitions — based as they are on selectively edited, out-of-context data and a manufactured controversy — provide no evidence to undermine our determination," she said.

Jackson said an agency review of the e-mails showed "a candid discussion of scientists working through issues that arise in compiling and presenting large, complex data sets." She said four independent reviews have reached similar conclusions.

Brian Gottstein, a Cuccinelli spokesman, responded Thursday that Jackson's decision was "fatally flawed procedurally" because she reviewed and weighed new information without giving public notice or allowing public comment.

And Robin Conrad, executive vice president of the Chamber's National Litigation Center, said she, too, would appeal the agency's decision not to overturn the endangerment finding. The Chamber's argument didn't go after climate science, she said, but instead questioned the "wisdom of regulating greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act, which simply was never intended to regulate something as complex as the problem of climate change."

Oral arguments in the lawsuit against EPA's endangerment finding are expected next spring. Attorneys tracking the case say a final decision is likely by the summer.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/25/2011 09:09 AM

To Charles Moore

cc

bcc

Subject Re: FW: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

But of course.....

Charles Moore

You were right! Sent by Good Messagi...

01/25/2011 08:38:47 AM

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/25/2011 08:38 AM  
Subject: FW: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

---

You were right!

Sent by Good Messaging (www.good.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: National Journal Group [  
mailto:sitefeedback@nationaljournal.com]  
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 09:42 PM Eastern Standard Time  
To: Charles Moore  
Subject: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser. <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage2.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=763b1d1f67&e=e5c2611278>>

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=aecfaf82b3&e=e5c2611278>>  
National Journal <<http://www.nationaljournal.com/img/email/logo-nj.png>>

Breaking News

Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=8674f6dd3a&e=e5c2611278>>

Carol Browner, the top adviser on energy issues in the White House, will be leaving the White House in the coming weeks, senior administration officials tell National Journal.

Browner, who has dominated top energy and environmental positions in two Democratic administrations, is the Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change. While the announcement of her departure was not entirely

unexpected, the timing seemed odd coming on the eve of the president's long-anticipated State of the Union address and just days after President Obama visited General Electric plant in Schenectady, N.Y.

While administration officials stressed Browner's accomplishments and her satisfaction about the White House's commitment to green energy, other sources have noted that the administration is unlikely to secure a major energy bill during the next two years which would have been frustrating to anyone holding her position. Nevertheless, the president is expected to tout green energy and independence from foreign oil at tomorrow night's address.

Continued on National Journal.com » <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage1.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af83703&id=f6999cca9c&e=e5c2611278>>

About Breaking News:

Breaking news for subscribers

<

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=d65735e1c3&e=e5c2611278>>

ADVERTISEMENT

On NationalJournal.com

Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage1.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=e02deaeb11&e=e5c2611278>>

Lawmaker: Russian Bombing 'Consistent' With Chechnyan Rebels <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=1dd1045f62&e=e5c2611278>>

Loughner Pleads Not Guilty to Charges of Attempted Murder <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=53a8dcab57&e=e5c2611278>>

Sign Up Today! <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=8f5d010719&e=e5c2611278>>

Was this alert forwarded to you? Subscribe now to get your own copy each morning.

For more information about National Journal Group's publications click here <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage1.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=f3e201b9c6&e=e5c2611278>> .

To unsubscribe or change your alert subscription, click here <

<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage2.com/unsubscribe?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af8>

03703&id=a6c33bb7c9&e=e5c2611278&c=bla030d540> .

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=4f3fc39642&e=e5c2611278>>

National Journal Group Inc. • The Watergate 600 New Hampshire Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20037

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/open.php?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=bla030d540&e=e5c2611278>>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/25/2011 10:14 AM

To Charles Moore

cc

bcc

Subject RE: FW: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

Replaced but with a low profile person.

Charles Moore

For bonus points, will she be replaced...

01/25/2011 09:11:18 AM

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/25/2011 09:11 AM  
Subject: RE: FW: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

---

For bonus points, will she be replaced or the position retired?

-----Original Message-----

From: Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2011 9:10 AM  
To: Charles Moore  
Subject: Re: FW: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

But of course.....

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/25/2011 08:38 AM  
Subject: FW: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

You were right!

Sent by Good Messaging (www.good.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: National Journal Group [mailto:sitefeedback@nationaljournal.com]  
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 09:42 PM Eastern Standard Time  
To: Charles Moore  
Subject: Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser. <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage2.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=763b1d1f67&e=e5c2611278>  
>

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=aecfaf82b3&e=e5c2611278>  
>

National Journal <<http://www.nationaljournal.com/img/email/logo-nj.png>>

## Breaking News

Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=8674f6dd3a&e=e5c2611278>  
>

Carol Browner, the top adviser on energy issues in the White House, will be leaving the White House in the coming weeks, senior administration officials tell National Journal.

Browner, who has dominated top energy and environmental positions in two Democratic administrations, is the Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change. While the announcement of her departure was not entirely unexpected, the timing seemed odd coming on the eve of the president's long-anticipated State of the Union address and just days after President Obama visited General Electric plant in Schenectady, N.Y.

While administration officials stressed Browner's accomplishments and her satisfaction about the White House's commitment to green energy, other sources have noted that the administration is unlikely to secure a major energy bill during the next two years which would have been frustrating to anyone holding her position. Nevertheless, the president is expected to tout green energy and independence from foreign oil at tomorrow night's address.

Continued on National Journal.com » <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage1.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=f6999cca9c&e=e5c2611278>  
>

About Breaking News:

Breaking news for subscribers

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=d65735e1c3&e=e5c2611278>  
>

ADVERTISEMENT

On NationalJournal.com

Browner to Leave White House, Sources Confirm <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage1.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=e02deaeb11&e=e5c2611278>  
>

Lawmaker: Russian Bombing 'Consistent' With Chechnyan Rebels <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=1dd1045f62&e=e5c2611278>  
>

Loughner Pleads Not Guilty to Charges of Attempted Murder <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=53a8dcab57&e=e5c2611278>  
>

Sign Up Today! <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=8f5d010719&e=e5c2611278>  
>

Was this alert forwarded to you? Subscribe now to get your own copy each morning.

For more information about National Journal Group's publications click here <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage1.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=f3e201b9c6&e=e5c2611278>  
> .

To unsubscribe or change your alert subscription, click here <  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage2.com/unsubscribe?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=a6c33bb7c9&e=e5c2611278&c=bla030d540>  
> .

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/click?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=4f3fc39642&e=e5c2611278>  
>

National Journal Group Inc. • The Watergate 600 New Hampshire Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20037

<  
<http://nationaljournal.us1.list-manage.com/track/open.php?u=acc30b544fa4aa185af803703&id=bla030d540&e=e5c2611278>  
>



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

04/28/2011 11:00 AM

To Charles Moore

cc

bcc

Subject Re: new Pew poll

Yep.

Charles Moore

This is so depressing. More deficit conc...

04/28/2011 10:46:11 AM

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 04/28/2011 10:46 AM  
Subject: new Pew poll

This is so depressing.

## More deficit concern, less hope about solving problem, Washington Post-Pew Research Center poll finds

By Jon Cohen and Peyton M. Craighill

Americans increasingly see the budget deficit as a big problem that demands quick action, but a dwindling number thinks the U.S. will make significant deficit reductions over the next five years, according to a new poll by The Washington Post and the [Pew Research Center](#).

Just 31 percent now expect sizable deficit reductions in the coming five years, a 6 percentage point slide from a December Pew poll. While hopes have slipped over the five months of budget debates and furious dealmaking, 81 percent of Americans now call the deficit a major problem requiring immediate remedy, an 11-point jump.

The slippage in optimism about the deficit since December is most pronounced among Democrats, although it's dipped across party lines. In December, 53 percent of Democrats expected progress in closing the accounts gap; that's now down to 44 percent in the new poll. Despite the spreading pessimism, Democrats remain the most optimistic about the potential for progress in the next five years. Around six in 10 Republicans and independents alike expect no such improvement.

Democrats have also led the way on changes to the concern question: 81 percent of Democrats now say the federal budget is a major problem that must be addressed now, up from 64 percent in December.

The deficit is often pitched as a particular problem for future generations, and another big shift in the poll is among younger adults, those between the ages of 18 and 29. Some 36 percent of young adults now expect progress on the deficit, down from 50 percent five months ago.

## % saying deficit is a major problem that must be addressed now

	December	Now	Change
All	70	81	+11
Democrats	64	81	+17
Republicans	79	89	+10
Independents	71	79	+8

Those who think the deficit is a major problem that must be addressed right now are particularly skeptical about the prospects for reduction, with 58 percent doubting near-term improvements.

By Jon Cohen and Peyton M. Craighill | 10:00 PM ET, 04/26/2011

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

05/14/2012 12:59 PM

To Charles Moore

cc

bcc

Subject Re: O's Wall Street Problem

Thanks Charly. Great minds.....

Charles Moore

Hi John: Thanks again for dinner the ot...

05/14/2012 10:58:03 AM

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/14/2012 10:58 AM  
Subject: O's Wall Street Problem

---

Hi John:

Thanks again for dinner the other night. I had a terrific time. Appropriate to our conversation, see article below.

## Obama's Wall Street problem

By: Ben White

May 14, 2012 04:37 AM EDT

The giant \$2 billion trading loss at JPMorgan Chase highlights a central problem in President Barack Obama's case for a second term: Four years after the financial crisis nearly brought the nation to its knees, very little appears to have changed.

No high-profile bank executives are in jail. Special multi-agency task forces to go after financial fraud and mortgage market abuses appeared in State of the Union addresses, only to issue a few news releases and mostly vanish from public view.

And now one of the largest banks in the United States, headed by a Democrat and operating with government guarantees, has turned in the kind of headline-grabbing, casino-style style loss that drives voters crazy and that Obama's financial reform bill was supposed to stop. It's now led to the immediate retirement of Ina Drew, the bank's chief investment officer, along with a statement from Dimon pressing that the JPMorgan Chase remains "strong."

Senior administration officials make a nuanced and largely credible case that they pushed for the toughest law they could get through Congress. They say the JPMorgan trades might not have happened if banks were not lobbying like crazy to water down financial reform. And they argue that higher capital requirements mean banks can better handle large losses such as those suffered by JPMorgan. If a giant bank fails now, they say, it will be liquidated without taxpayer support.

Obama campaign officials point out that their presidential opponent, Republican Mitt Romney, wants to get rid of the Dodd-Frank overhaul legislation entirely. They've also launched a new assault on Romney's experience at Bain Capital Monday morning, attacking their opponent with a new ad and website for the job losses and profits he made as a venture capitalist.

But average voters don't attend Commodities Futures Trading Commission hearings or read comment letters on complex proposals like the Volcker Rule provision in Dodd-Frank that is supposed to ban banks from making such huge bets. Instead, they open newspapers and turn on televisions and see what looks like 2008 all over again.

"The guy in the street in 2008 and 2009 was worried about his or her deposits, and now it's clear they should still be worried," said Charles Geisst, a Wall Street historian and professor at Manhattan College. "An average person looks at this and thinks, 'What exactly happened here? How could this happen again?' And they don't want excuses as to why it happened. They just want it to go away. But it's not going away."

JPMorgan CEO Jamie Dimon's comments Sunday on NBC's "Meet the Press" did not help the administration's case that its financial reform bill has improved the system. Dimon said he didn't think JPMorgan had broken any laws — but he wasn't really sure.

"So we've had audit, legal, risk, compliance, some of our best people looking at all of that. We know we were sloppy. We know we were stupid. We know there was bad judgment. We don't know if [violating laws or rules] is true yet," he said. "Of course regulators should look at something like this — that's their jobs. So we are totally open to regulators, and they will come to their own conclusions."

The Obama team may have caught a break by drawing an opponent it can try to cast as a product of Wall Street who would slash financial regulations and return the industry to its Wild West days. And it has hit Romney for exactly that in the wake of the JPMorgan story. In a statement Friday, Obama campaign spokeswoman Lis Smith called Romney's advocacy of repealing Dodd-Frank a "reckless" stance that would risk a new financial crisis.

Romney campaign spokesman Ryan Williams responded by saying the former Massachusetts governor wants simpler, more transparent Wall Street reform. "JPMorgan's reported \$2 billion trading loss demonstrates the importance of oversight and transparency in the derivatives market, something Gov. Romney has called for in the past," Williams said.

But the debate over what Romney would or wouldn't do misses a much larger political problem for Obama. Reelection campaigns are referendums on a president's job performance. The JPMorgan debacle raises a critical question: Has the president demonstrated enough strength in taking on Wall Street?

It is much like the president's problem on the economy, where Romney enjoys stronger polling numbers. The president has to convince skeptical voters that while things are not great yet, they could be a lot worse.

He does not have tremendous support for that argument among financial-reform advocates.

"Rather than a sustained assault to push reform through the agencies by funding them and supporting them at every turn, the administration has mostly just let the process play out, which is the same as handing it over to Wall Street and the lobbyists," said Dennis Kelleher, a former senior Democratic staffer on Capitol Hill and now president of the reform group Better Markets.

Polls suggest that just as voters are unsure whether to trust the president on the economy for another four years, they are evenly split on whether the Dodd-Frank bill was good for the country.

A recent Washington Post/ABC News survey found that 49 percent had a favorable view of new financial industry regulations while 44 percent had a negative view. Those numbers are likely to get significantly worse in the wake of the JPMorgan disaster and make it much harder for Obama to run as the sheriff who cleaned up Wall Street.

Critics of the administration say that more intense White House pressure and involvement could have produced far stronger legislation than the version of Dodd-Frank currently muddling its way through the rule-writing process.

"There is no way we should even be talking about whether Dodd-Frank might have prevented this," said a former senior regulator who asked not to be identified in order to speak freely about the White House. "The administration could have pushed for and passed the SAFE Act, which would have banned this kind of trading. Even [Senate Banking Committee ranking Republican Richard] Shelby said he would have voted for the SAFE Act. They just chose not to push it."

Critics on the left and right say Dodd-Frank was something of an afterthought for an administration that made health care and the stimulus its top priorities.

Obama had a historic opportunity to take Wall Street to the woodshed and fix the broken financial regulatory system at a time when public anger at banks was off the charts, these critics say. Voters of all persuasions, from the tea party to Occupy Wall Street, wanted to see criminal cases and reform with real teeth. Such reform could have included breaking up the nation's largest banks and demanding the return of big bonuses earned through risky bets that burned down the economy and sent unemployment to 10 percent.

"It was the triumph of ideology over practicality," said James Rickards, partner at JAC

Capital Advisors, a hedge fund. "What should have been on the political and economic agenda was curing the problems afflicting our financial system. But they chose to do health care. They couldn't ignore Wall Street altogether so they did Dodd-Frank. But they did not use any real political capital, so what we got was something that hasn't made the system any better."

In fact, Rickards said the system is arguably worse. "The only way to get rid of 'too big to fail' banks is to break them up. If smaller banks fail, who cares? But all they did was institutionalize too big to fail," he said. "There is a larger concentration of assets in the five biggest banks than there was before the crisis."

Administration officials strongly reject these arguments. They say the system is safer as a result of Dodd-Frank, and the JPMorgan loss shows why final rules must be written as soon as possible. Treasury officials, who took the lead role in helping to shape Dodd-Frank, say banks have added \$400 billion in capital since the financial crisis and are significantly less reliant on short-term funding than in 2008.

Amy Brundage, a White House spokeswoman, also dismissed the idea that the administration pushed health care at the expense of Wall Street reform. "I don't understand the difference in the timing and what that would have meant," she wrote in an email. "We signed health care in March, we signed financial reform in July of the same year, two major pieces of legislation the president spent a lot of political capital on to get done and there was obviously a lot of work being done on both in tandem."

The fact that the \$2 billion loss hit JPMorgan makes things even more complicated for the administration, which has had a tortured relationship with Wall Street.

In a 2009 interview, Obama told ABC News that not all banks were bad and praised JPMorgan in particular. "There are a lot of banks that are actually pretty well-managed," he said. "JPMorgan being a good example. Jamie Dimon, the CEO there, I don't think he should be punished for doing a pretty good job managing an enormous portfolio."

But Obama stepped up his anti-Wall Street rhetoric later that year during the financial reform debate. He told CBS he did not become president to "be helping out a bunch of fat-cat bankers on Wall Street."

That sort of talk and elements of the Dodd-Frank bill ended Wall Street's love affair with the president. Dimon told David Gregory during two interviews taped last week for "Meet the Press" that he "would call myself a 'barely Democrat' at this point" and that he thinks the "anti-business behavior" is "very counterproductive."

Repeated efforts by Obama campaign officials, including campaign manager Jim Messina, to rekindle the flame with Wall Street have fallen pretty flat. Now the administration has the worst of both worlds. Wall Street executives think the White House beat up on them unfairly. And critics think the administration let bankers off way too easy. They point out that multiple task forces announced to bring big cases

stemming from the financial crisis haven't done much of anything.

On MSNBC Friday, Eric Schneiderman, the New York attorney general and chairman of the current residential mortgage securities fraud task force, a successor to a similar task force launched in 2009, defended the group's work so far. "The nonglamorous work of issuing subpoenas, reviewing documents and conducting depositions is going forward," he said.

But the clock is ticking. And it would help Obama's reelection campaign if Schneiderman gets around to the more glamorous work of putting people in handcuffs sometime in the next six months.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

09/22/2011 07:46 AM

To Christine Vineyard

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Congratulations!

Thanks very much Christine. It has been my honor and privilege to work with you and the great Region 9 team on a range of issues. You exemplify the very best of what public service is all about.

All the best to you and do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of any help to you.

Christine Vineyard	<a href="#">Congratulations on your retirement!...</a>	09/21/2011 05:38:34 PM
--------------------	--	------------------------

From: Christine Vineyard/R9/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 09/21/2011 05:38 PM  
Subject: Congratulations!

---

Congratulations on your retirement!

I really hate to hear that you're leaving, you will be truly missed.  
Thanks for your support over the years.

Christine Vineyard



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

09/29/2011 11:17 AM

To Christopher Grundler

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Thank You + A Question

Hey Man,

I am so glad that you had a good time. It meant a lot to me that went to all the trouble to be there.

I will be back in the country the week of Oct 17 and will give you a call so we can discuss your question.

Thx again.

Christopher Grundler

John-- Thanks again for a lovely...

09/27/2011 11:24:19 AM

From: Christopher Grundler/AA/USEPA/US

To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 09/27/2011 11:24 AM

Subject: Thank You + A Question

---

John--

Thanks again for a lovely evening last week. It meant a lot to me to be a part of it.

If you have any wisdom and advice on working with Jim Jones on international issues, I would welcome it.

All the best,

C.

Christopher Grundler  
Deputy Director  
Office of Transportation and Air Quality  
734-214-4207

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/08/2011 05:32 AM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Hi Cindy,

I see my meeting with Gina was moved again. I am not able to do an afternoon meeting today as I have to be out here. Sorry to ask you to move it again. Could you find some time Mon/Tue next week?

I am an optimist.

Thanks.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/31/2011 06:42 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Air Pollution Markets in India

Sorry Cindy, I am on a plane about to take off. Will not be back in contact until Sunday night. Would be happy to join call if it could be next week sometime.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 03/31/2011 06:36 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Fw: Air Pollution Markets in India

Hi John,

I scheduled this meeting for tomorrow from 1:15-1:45 and misunderstood Gina's email. She would like for you to join her in this call - will you be available tomorrow for this call? I can conference you in also if needed. I'm so sorry to dump this at the last minute.

Sincerely,  
Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 03/31/2011 06:35 PM -----

From: Michael Greenstone <mgreenst@MIT.EDU>  
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/29/2011 10:55 PM  
Subject: Re: Air Pollution Markets in India

---

Hi Gina,

Thanks for the reply. Yes, a phone conversation would be very helpful. Wednesday is a bit of a zoo but Thursday and Friday look pretty good so perhaps Cindy can suggest a time on one of these days.

michael

On 3/28/2011 12:28 AM, McCarthy.Gina@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> Michael - sorry to take so long responding. Glad to hear from you and I  
> agree that you should really consider contacting folks familiar with the  
> details of this type of program. I would like to help but am afraid  
> that CAMD is swamped with the newly proposed Utility Rule and final  
> Transport Rule due at the end of June, so a detail might not be  
> possible. Having said that, Brian McLean who is the genius behind the  
> acid rain program retired earlier this year and he may be able to help.  
> Why dont we have Cindy find a time for us to talk?  
>

```
>
> From: Michael Greenstone<mgreenst@MIT.EDU>
> To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
> Date: 03/26/2011 10:47 AM
> Subject: Air Pollution Markets in India
>
>
>
> Dear Gina,
>
> I hope you are well.
>
> Since returning to MIT from CEA, I have been working with the Government
> of India to set-up a cap and trade program for particulates pollution.
>
> Along with one of my colleagues at Harvard, the Minister of Environment
> and Forest (Jairam Ramesh) has effectively put us in charge of
> assembling a team to iron out the substantial details to make the market
> work. This has advanced quite quickly and Indian leaders recently
> announced their intention to start trading by January 1, 2012:
>
>
> http://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics/nation/market-friendly-pollu
> tion-control-system-launched/articleshow/7790858.cms
>
>
>
> One of the appeals of this pilot is to demonstrate that pollution
> markets can work in the Indian context and that state of the art
> monitoring is feasible. These "proofs of concept" will be important
> when the world returns to thinking about global carbon markets.
>
> As I learn more and more about operating these markets, it is becoming
> clear that there are many important decisions that need to be made
> correctly or the entire market is at risk. I fear that without the
> input from a real expert we will inadvertently make mistakes that set
> back environmental regulations in India by many, many years and a real
> opportunity will be lost. I should add that based on my time at CEA, I
> have my eyes on a particular person from the
>
> I'm writing to ask whether it would be feasible for EPA to detail
> someone from the Clean Air Markets Division to this project. I know
> this is an especially big request in the current fiscal environment so I
>
> do not make it lightly. The best case would be if EPA would cover the
> salary but an alternative arrangement might be possible (we are working
> night and day to scrape together enough money for the pilot).
>
> If this may be feasible, I would be happy to discuss this with you in
> greater detail.
>
> Best regards,
>
> Michael
>
>
>
```



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

11/13/2012 08:57 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: General Discussion

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to late afternoon on Friday work. Thanks

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, I just got back from leave, bu...

11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST  
Subject: Fw: General Discussion

Hi John,

I just got back from leave, but she needs to reschedule this General with you due to some scheduling issues. Sorry it's a last minute change. Will you be able to come in some time on Friday afternoon? Let me check when she's flying out and try to get this rescheduled....

Thanks!

Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 11/13/2012 05:25 PM -----

### Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: **Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US**  
Sent By: **Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US**

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

**Subject:** General Discussion

#### When:

Starts:	Wed 11/14/2012	05:30 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Wed 11/14/2012	06:00 PM	Local time	30 mins

#### Who:

Required: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Optional: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Where:

Location:      ARN-5406

Description

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

11/14/2012 10:04 AM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: General Discussion

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should work. See you Friday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I... 11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I've rescheduled to 1:30 on Friday - I can probably schedule later if that doesn't work out, but I need to check when she's leaving for Boston

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale No worries Cindy. I could make mid to I... 11/13/2012 08:57:36 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/13/2012 08:57 PM  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to late afternoon on Friday work. Thanks

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang Hi John, I just got back from leave, bu... 11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST  
Subject: Fw: General Discussion

Hi John,

I just got back from leave, but she needs to reschedule this General with you due to some scheduling issues. Sorry it's a last minute change. Will you be able to come in some time on Friday afternoon? Let me check when she's flying out and try to get this rescheduled....

Thanks!

Cindy

Cindy Huang



(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 11/13/2012 05:25 PM -----

## Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: **Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US**  
Sent By: **Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US**

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

**Subject:** General Discussion

**When:**

Starts:	Wed 11/14/2012	05:30 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Wed 11/14/2012	06:00 PM	Local time	30 mins

**Who:**

Required:	John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Optional:	Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Where:**

Location:	ARN-5406
-----------	----------

## Description



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

11/14/2012 05:19 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: General Discussion

Cindy,

I have a briefing at that time on Friday but let me see if I can move it. I will get back to you later tonight or tomorrow morning. Hang in there Cindy.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, A bit of bad planning on my...

11/14/2012 04:06 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/14/2012 04:06 PM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

Hi John,

A bit of bad planning on my part - 1:30 won't work now, will you be able to come in at 11am on Friday?

Thanks and sorry for the change...

Sincerely,  
Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should w...

11/14/2012 10:04:14 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/14/2012 10:04 AM  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should work. See you Friday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I...

11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I've rescheduled to 1:30 on Friday - I can probably schedule later if that doesn't work out, but I need to check when she's leaving for Boston

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to l...

11/13/2012 08:57:36 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/13/2012 08:57 PM  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to late afternoon on Friday work. Thanks

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, I just got back from leave, bu...

11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST  
Subject: Fw: General Discussion

Hi John,

I just got back from leave, but she needs to reschedule this General with you due to some scheduling issues. Sorry it's a last minute change. Will you be able to come in some time on Friday afternoon? Let me check when she's flying out and try to get this rescheduled....

Thanks!

Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 11/13/2012 05:25 PM -----

### Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: **Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US**  
Sent By: **Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US**

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

Subject: General Discussion

#### When:

Starts:	Wed 11/14/2012	05:30 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Wed 11/14/2012	06:00 PM	Local time	30 mins

Who:

Required: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Optional: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Where:

Location: ARN-5406

---

## Description

---

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

11/15/2012 05:04 AM

To: Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject: Re: General Discussion

Hi Cindy,

Yes I can be there close to 1100 tomorrow. See you then. Thanks.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, A bit of bad planning on my...

11/14/2012 04:06 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang

To: John Beale

Cc:

Date: 11/14/2012 04:06 PM EST

Subject: Re: General Discussion

Hi John,

A bit of bad planning on my part - 1:30 won't work now, will you be able to come in at 11am on Friday?

Thanks and sorry for the change...

Sincerely,

Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should w...

11/14/2012 10:04:14 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 11/14/2012 10:04 AM

Subject: Re: General Discussion

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should work. See you Friday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I...

11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST

From: Cindy Huang

To: John Beale

Cc:

Date: 11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST

Subject: Re: General Discussion

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I've rescheduled to 1:30 on Friday - I can probably schedule later if that doesn't work out, but I need to check when she's leaving for Boston

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to l...

11/13/2012 08:57:36 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/13/2012 08:57 PM  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to late afternoon on Friday work. Thanks

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, I just got back from leave, bu...

11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST  
Subject: Fw: General Discussion

Hi John,

I just got back from leave, but she needs to reschedule this General with you due to some scheduling issues. Sorry it's a last minute change. Will you be able to come in some time on Friday afternoon? Let me check when she's flying out and try to get this rescheduled....

Thanks!

Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 11/13/2012 05:25 PM -----

### Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: **Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US**  
Sent By: **Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US**

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

Subject: General Discussion

#### When:

Starts:	Wed 11/14/2012	05:30 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Wed 11/14/2012	06:00 PM	Local time	30 mins

Who:	Required:	John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
	Optional:	Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Where:	Location:	ARN-5406

--

Description
-------------

--



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

11/16/2012 05:28 AM

To: Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject: Re: General Discussion

No problem Cindy. I will be back in early December and will contact you to set something up. Thanks again Cindy and have a great Thanksgiving.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, I know it's really late but we...

11/15/2012 10:19 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Date: 11/15/2012 10:19 PM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

---

Hi John, I know it's really late but we need to reschedule (again). Are you available some time after the Thanksgiving holiday?

John Beale

Hi Cindy, Yes I can be there close to...

11/15/2012 05:04 AM EST

From: John Beale  
To: Cindy Huang  
Date: 11/15/2012 05:04 AM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

---

Hi Cindy,

Yes I can be there close to 1100 tomorrow. See you then. Thanks.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, A bit of bad planning on my...

11/14/2012 04:06 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/14/2012 04:06 PM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

---

Hi John,

A bit of bad planning on my part - 1:30 won't work now, will you be able to come in at 11am on Friday?

Thanks and sorry for the change...

Sincerely,  
Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should w...

11/14/2012 10:04:14 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/14/2012 10:04 AM  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

---

Thanks Cindy. As of now that should work. See you Friday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I...

11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/14/2012 08:35 AM EST  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

---

Hi John! Thanks for the quick reply! I've rescheduled to 1:30 on Friday - I can probably schedule later if that doesn't work out, but I need to check when she's leaving for Boston

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to l...

11/13/2012 08:57:36 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/13/2012 08:57 PM  
Subject: Re: General Discussion

---

No worries Cindy. I could make mid to late afternoon on Friday work. Thanks

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

Hi John, I just got back from leave, bu...

11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST

From: Cindy Huang  
To: John Beale  
Cc:  
Date: 11/13/2012 05:26 PM EST  
Subject: Fw: General Discussion

---

Hi John,

I just got back from leave, but she needs to reschedule this General with you due to some scheduling issues. Sorry it's a last minute change. Will you be able to come in some time on Friday afternoon? Let me check when she's flying out and try to get this rescheduled....

Thanks!

Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 11/13/2012 05:25 PM -----

## Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: **Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US**  
Sent By: **Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US**

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

**Subject:** General Discussion

**When:**

Starts:	Wed 11/14/2012	05:30 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Wed 11/14/2012	06:00 PM	Local time	30 mins

**Who:**

Required:	John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Optional:	Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Where:**

Location:	ARN-5406
-----------	----------

## Description



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

10/27/2010 11:04 AM

To: Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject: Re: I think there was a disconnect when Gina picked up the phone - are you able to call back?

Sorry Cindy. Connectivity is fairly random at this end. I can try calling again around 11:30 DC time if that works for you and Gina.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 10/27/2010 09:51 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** I think there was a disconnect when Gina picked up the phone - are you able to call back?

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/07/2011 11:40 AM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Just talked to John Thompson ...

Thx much Cindy. I will follow up.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 01/07/2011 09:43 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Just talked to John Thompson ...

... and he says whoever you've been talking to in Mr. Reifsnyder's office you should continue working with them to schedule the meeting. But if you need Mr. Reifsnyder's assistant's name, it's Mary Kay Beckwith

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/06/2012 03:51 AM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Lunch/dinner with gina

Hi Cindy,

Thanks for your note. Sure that's no problem. Let's check it again in late Feb.

Good to talk with you the other day. I look forward to seeing you later in Jan. when I will be around the office for a few days.

Thanks again Cindy.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 01/05/2012 06:19 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Lunch/dinner with gina

Hi John,

Gina asked if you both can wait until after the holidays, like in late Feb. or March?

Let me know what works!

Sincerely,  
Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/10/2011 01:57 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Re - general discussion

Yes please. Thx Cindy.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 02/10/2011 01:53 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re - general discussion

Hi John,

In trying to schedule the General Discussion for 2/18, Joe and Rob will be on annual leave. Shall I proceed with scheduling for you, Janet, and Gina?

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/10/2011 05:22 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Re - general discussion

Life is too complicated. It looks like she may have an hour at 1100 on Wed the 16th. Would that work?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 02/10/2011 02:12 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Re - general discussion

oh shoot, i didn't see this on Janet's calendar but she's working from Region 1 on 2/18

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Yes please. Thx Cindy. -----

02/10/2011 01:57:08 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/10/2011 01:57 PM  
Subject: Re: Re - general discussion

---

Yes please. Thx Cindy.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Cindy Huang

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Cindy Huang

**Sent:** 02/10/2011 01:53 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re - general discussion

Hi John,

In trying to schedule the General Discussion for 2/18, Joe and Rob will be on annual leave. Shall I proceed with scheduling for you, Janet, and Gina?

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/11/2012 09:23 AM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: General

Hi Cindy,

No problem. Just let me know should she want to reschedule.

I will be at EPA on Thursday and hope to see you.

Thanks again Cindy.

Cindy Huang

Hi John, Gina's unable to meet with you...

12/11/2012 08:27:05 AM

From: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/11/2012 08:27 AM  
Subject: Fw: General

Hi John,

Gina's unable to meet with you on Friday now - so I'll have to cancel the meeting. I'm sorry for the disruption this caused to your schedule...

Sincerely,  
Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 12/11/2012 08:23 AM -----

### Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US  
Sent By: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

Subject: General

#### When:

Starts:	Fri 12/14/2012	10:00 AM	Local time	
Ends:	Fri 12/14/2012	10:30 AM	Local time	30 mins

#### Who:

Required: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Optional: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Where:

Location:

ARN 5406

Description

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

05/03/2012 09:36 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: General Discussion

Thanks Cindy. See you tomorrow I hope.

Cindy Huang

Hi John, I had to shorten your discussio...

05/03/2012 06:31:56 PM

From: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/03/2012 06:31 PM  
Subject: Fw: General Discussion

Hi John,

I had to shorten your discussion to 15 min tomorrow - a meeting from the White House came up earlier that hour.

Thanks!

Cindy

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

----- Forwarded by Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US on 05/03/2012 06:33 PM -----

### Calendar Entry

Type: Meeting  
Chair: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US  
Sent By: Cynthia Browne/DC/USEPA/US

☐ Mark Private  
☐ Mark Available

Subject: General Discussion

#### When:

Starts:	Fri 05/04/2012	12:15 PM	Local time	
Ends:	Fri 05/04/2012	12:30 PM	Local time	15 mins

#### Who:

Required: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Optional: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
FYI: Emily Atkinson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

#### Where:

Location: ARN-OAR- 5406

## Description

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

12/03/2012 12:03 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting with Gina

Hey Cindy,

Morning of the 14th would be great. Thanks.

Cindy Huang

Hi John, Thanks for reaching out! Dec...

12/03/2012 11:00:33 AM

From: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2012 11:00 AM  
Subject: Re: Meeting with Gina

---

Hi John,

Thanks for reaching out! Dec. 12 Gina won't be available and Dec. 13 is filling up pretty quickly. Dec. 14 her schedule is more flexible - do you prefer the morning or afternoon on Dec. 14?

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Hi Cindy, I hope all is well with you and...

12/03/2012 09:57:38 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2012 09:57 AM  
Subject: Meeting with Gina

---

Hi Cindy,

I hope all is well with you and that you had a good weekend. I am also hoping we can try again to schedule 30 minutes with Gina. I will be in town next week and at this point could do any time on Dec 12, 13 or 14. Any chance for any of those days?

Thanks again Cindy.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/03/2012 01:48 PM

To Cindy Huang

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting with Gina

10AM would be perfect. Thanks Cindy.

Cindy Huang

Any specific time in the morning? I can...

12/03/2012 12:23:05 PM

From: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2012 12:23 PM  
Subject: Re: Meeting with Gina

Any specific time in the morning? I can schedule you at 9:30 or 10am

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Hey Cindy, Morning of the 14th would b...

12/03/2012 12:03:10 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2012 12:03 PM  
Subject: Re: Meeting with Gina

Hey Cindy,

Morning of the 14th would be great. Thanks.

Cindy Huang

Hi John, Thanks for reaching out! Dec....

12/03/2012 11:00:33 AM

From: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2012 11:00 AM  
Subject: Re: Meeting with Gina

Hi John,

Thanks for reaching out! Dec. 12 Gina won't be available and Dec. 13 is filling up pretty quickly. Dec. 14 her schedule is more flexible - do you prefer the morning or afternoon on Dec. 14?

Cindy Huang  
(202) 564-7404

John Beale

Hi Cindy, I hope all is well with you and...

12/03/2012 09:57:38 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Cindy Huang/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2012 09:57 AM  
Subject: Meeting with Gina

Hi Cindy,

I hope all is well with you and that you had a good weekend. I am also hoping we can try again to schedule 30 minutes with Gina. I will be in town next week and at this point could do any time on Dec 12, 13 or 14. Any chance for any of those days?

Thanks again Cindy.



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

12/03/2012 09:57 AM

To Cindy Huang

cc Don Zinger

bcc

Subject Meeting with Gina

Hi Cindy,

I hope all is well with you and that you had a good weekend. I am also hoping we can try again to schedule 30 minutes with Gina. I will be in town next week and at this point could do any time on Dec 12, 13 or 14. Any chance for any of those days?

Thanks again Cindy.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/24/2011 07:15 AM

To Cindy Newberg

cc

bcc

Subject Phone call

Thanks for trying to reach me yesterday Cindy. No need to keep trying. The opportunity I called you about last Friday is over.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/18/2011 07:44 AM

To Cindy Newberg

cc

bcc

Subject Re: EIA letter

Thanks Cindy. When you have a chance please give me a call.

Cindy Newberg

John- Sam LaBudde of EIA asked that I...

03/17/2011 02:01:38 PM

From: Cindy Newberg/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Beth Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Drusilla Hufford/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/17/2011 02:01 PM  
Subject: EIA letter

---

John-

Sam LaBudde of EIA asked that I send this letter to you electronically since he didn't have your email address.

-Cindy

[attachment "EIA HFC Admin.pdf" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

+++++

Cindy Newberg  
Branch Chief  
Alternatives & Emissions Reduction Branch  
Stratospheric Protection Division  
US Environmental Protection Agency  
ph: 202-343-9729

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/23/2011 01:54 PM

To Cindy Newberg

cc

bcc

Subject When you can please give me a call.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/22/2010 09:31 AM

To CTSHELP

cc

bcc

Subject Re: CRQ000000021525

Thanks for your follow up. You are correct that I am on travel. Will be back in office next week. As far as I can tell nothing has changed on this laptop. No elevated privileges.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

----- Original Message -----

From: CTS Services

Sent: 03/22/2010 08:21 AM AST

To: John Beale

Subject: CRQ000000021525

How are you Mr. Beale. This is the tech from CTS that assisted you with your software installations. I am aware you are out of the office, just wanted to touch basis with you to see had your rights been pushed down yet. Please reply to me and inform me on the status of your rights.

Thank you

Email Attachment :

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/04/2010 07:23 PM

To CTSHELP

cc

bcc

Subject Re: INC000000163699

Resolved

CTS Services

This email is the 1st follow up to the voi...

03/04/2010 06:09:12 PM

From: CTS Services@EPA  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/04/2010 06:09 PM  
Subject: INC000000163699

---

This email is the 1st follow up to the voicemail I left for you regarding ticket number INC 163699 for "Lotus Notes Problems" created on 2-26-2010 . If this issue has been completed, please reply either RESOLVED or provide more clarity on the nature of the problem. I want to confirm that this issue has been resolved to your satisfaction before I close out this ticket.

Please do not hesitate to contact the CTS Service Desk should there be any further questions or inquiries regarding this ticket. Please quote your assigned Reference Number.

Cory Watts  
CTS Service Desk  
1-866-955-4287  
CTSHelp@epa.gov

Email Attachment :

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/25/2011 08:59 AM

To Dale Evarts

cc

bcc

Subject Please call me

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/06/2010 08:56 AM

To Dale Evarts

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Note from the Assistant Administrator

Jerk.....

Dale Evarts

"Large presence"? It looked to me the...

12/03/2010 01:42:38 PM

From: Dale Evarts/RTP/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/03/2010 01:42 PM  
Subject: Fw: Note from the Assistant Administrator

"Large presence"? It looked to me the other day that you had slimmed down some but maybe that was the video distortion...

Thanks for setting up the meeting with Jeff and me. I look forward to seeing how large your presence is in person.

Dale

Gina McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Gina McCarthy

**Sent:** 12/03/2010 10:44 AM EST

**To:** OAR-WIDE-EVERYONE

**Subject:** Note from the Assistant Administrator

I am pleased to let you know that John Beale will be resuming his role as the Immediate Office's lead for all of OAR's international work. I want to thank Joe Goffman for filling in for Beth Craig for a few months when she moved over to OAP. Joe did an outstanding job and will continue to keep his hand in - particularly relative to Montreal Protocol issues.

Most of you know John well as he has been a very large presence in much of OAR's work for over 20 years. In addition to lead roles in the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, the early implementation of the Act, the development and negotiation of the National Low Emission Vehicle Program, and the 1997 NAAQS review, John served as OAR's lead for international work from 1990 thru 2005. Beginning in 1999, John managed OAR's work on climate change as well as all other international work. I am very excited to finally get the opportunity to work closely with him.

In addition to the international work John will continue to work on various special projects for me. He is supposed to be sitting in 5426B of Ariel Rios North, but good luck finding him. We are keeping him well hidden so he won't get scooped away from OAR anytime soon.

Gina



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/30/2009 09:20 AM

To David McIntosh

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Monday at 10

Thanks Rob and David. See you on Monday David.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
David McIntosh

----- Original Message -----

**From:** David McIntosh

**Sent:** 01/30/2009 09:00 AM EST

**To:** Rob Brenner

**Cc:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Monday at 10

Thanks very much. See you then.

Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/30/2009 05:19 AM EST

**To:** David McIntosh

**Cc:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Monday at 10

Hi David,

John Beale said Monday at 10, at your office, would work fine for him.

I have cc'd John on this to make it easier for you guys to contact each other if a conflict arises.

Rob

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/02/2009 06:15 AM

To David McIntosh

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Monday at 10

David,

I am very sorry that I will not be able to make it into EPA today. Would it be possible for us to meet on Wed or Thurs this week?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
David McIntosh

----- Original Message -----

**From:** David McIntosh

**Sent:** 01/30/2009 09:00 AM EST

**To:** Rob Brenner

**Cc:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Monday at 10

Thanks very much. See you then.

Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/30/2009 05:19 AM EST

**To:** David McIntosh

**Cc:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Monday at 10

Hi David,

John Beale said Monday at 10, at your office, would work fine for him.

I have cc'd John on this to make it easier for you guys to contact each other if a conflict arises.

Rob

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/02/2009 12:29 PM

To David McIntosh

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Monday at 10

That would be great. Thanks again.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
David McIntosh

----- Original Message -----

**From:** David McIntosh

**Sent:** 02/02/2009 10:50 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Monday at 10

How about Thursday at 1?

John Beale

David, I am very sorry that I will not be...

02/02/2009 06:15:09 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: David McIntosh/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/02/2009 06:15 AM  
Subject: Re: Monday at 10

---

David,

I am very sorry that I will not be able to make it into EPA today. Would it be possible for us to meet on Wed or Thurs this week?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

David McIntosh

----- Original Message -----

**From:** David McIntosh

**Sent:** 01/30/2009 09:00 AM EST

**To:** Rob Brenner

**Cc:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Monday at 10

Thanks very much. See you then.

Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/30/2009 05:19 AM EST

**To:** David McIntosh

**Cc:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Monday at 10

Hi David,

John Beale said Monday at 10, at your office, would work fine for him.

I have cc'd John on this to make it easier for you guys to contact each other if a conflict arises.

Rob



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

08/23/2010 11:41 AM

To DCOGCLN1

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Reminder - information related to your SF-278 needed

Hi,

I am sorry that I do not have your earlier email in my in box. I probably erased it by mistake. Could you send it to me again?

DCOGCLN1

Hi there, This is a gentle reminder that...

08/22/2010 11:00:59 PM

From: DCOGCLN1/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/22/2010 11:00 PM  
Subject: Reminder - information related to your SF-278 needed

---

Hi there,

This is a gentle reminder that OGC-Ethics identified the need for additional or follow-up information related to your SF-278. According to our records, we have not yet received your full response, which means that we are not yet able to certify your form. Please provide the information as requested in our earlier email to you.

If you have any questions, please contact Justina Fugh, Senior Counsel for Ethics, at 202-564-1786.

Thanks very much for your attention to this important matter!  
Sincerely,  
OGC-Ethics

09/23/2011 10:40 AM

To Diann Frantz  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Re: retirement party

Thx Diann. And thanks so much for being there last night.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**From:** Diann Frantz  
**Sent:** 09/23/2011 10:14 AM EDT  
**To:** Jeffrey Clark; John Beale; Rob Brenner  
**Subject:** Fw: retirement party

**From:** "Miller, Chris (Reid)" [Chris\_Miller@reid.senate.gov]  
**Sent:** 09/22/2011 06:49 PM AST  
**To:** Diann Frantz; Lorie Schmidt  
**Subject:** retirement party

[illegible]

000000, 000000

000000000000 00000000  
00000000 00000000 00000000 - 00000000 0000 00000000000000  
00000000 00 00000000 0000000000 00000000 000000 00000  
522 0000 0000000 00000000 0000000000  
000000000000, 00 20510  
202-224-2969



For more information, or to receive the Reid Report E-Newsletter, visit Senator Harry Reid's website at <http://reid.senate.gov>

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/15/2011 10:27 AM

To Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Hi Dina,

My schedule has been jerked around and I will not be in DC at all today.

I am sorry that I have to postpone our appointment.

I am essentially traveling the remainder of your time at EPA. I will contact you when I get back to find a time we can reschedule.

Sorry about the change.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/11/2011 08:36 AM

To Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject

It was great seeing you,if only briefly, yesterday. You looked more happy and relaxed than I have seen you look in two years! Good for you!

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/07/2011 02:43 PM

To Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: An Announcement

Sad news for EPA and me personally. What an exciting opportunity for you. Congratulations!

I know you are swamped today, will catch up later this week.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Dina Kruger

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Dina Kruger

**Sent:** 02/07/2011 02:13 PM EST

**To:** Peter Tsirigotis; wood.anna@epa.gov; Sarah Dunham; John Beale; Rob Brenner; Richard Wayland; patricia embry; John Hannon; Robert Hargrove; Michael Ling; Lydia Wegman; Karl Simon; Margo Oge; John Millett; Ann Codrington; Cynthia Dougherty

**Subject:** An Announcement

Hi all - I'm forwarding you the note I sent to my staff a little while ago, in case you had not already heard. I hope to be able to catch up with at least some of you before I go (there is still plenty of time). I'm excited about my new opportunity, although the "telling people" part of this is not as happy...

Dina

So here's the note:

Hi everyone,

It is with very mixed emotions that I am writing to tell you that I am resigning from EPA. I have accepted an offer to work as an independent consultant for The Energy Foundation in support of their efforts to build commitment for action on clean energy and climate change. My decision was a very difficult one, because I am deeply committed to EPA's mission and to the work of CCD. In the end, however, I felt that this was an opportunity I could not refuse. After 22 years at EPA, I am looking forward to using my skills in different ways and to better integrating several of my personal priorities into my work.

My last day in the office will be February 25<sup>th</sup>, and I expect an announcement regarding an acting director to be made well in advance of that date. While recognizing that this is not my farewell note, I do want to say that I have truly enjoyed my time working with all of you. I have always appreciated that I get to spend my work days with such smart, dedicated, fun people, and that I have had a chance to make a difference on an issue as important as climate change. I will certainly miss all of you and EPA.

Dina

Dina Kruger  
Director, Climate Change Division

USEPA

202-343-9039 (phone)

202-343-2290 (fax)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/12/2011 08:29 AM

To Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject Could you give me a call at your convince

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/10/2010 08:52 AM

To Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: 11 mtg

Calendar tag. I have a 2:30 -6 mtg. Could you make 1:30 work? Thx

Dina Kruger

Can we meet at 2:30 or 3? Paul and I...

12/10/2010 08:40:29 AM

From: Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov>  
Cc: Dana Hyland/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Paul Gunning" <Gunning.Paul@epamail.epa.gov>  
Date: 12/10/2010 08:40 AM  
Subject: 11 mtg

---

Can we meet at 2:30 or 3? Paul and I have a critical mtg with the semiconductor industry from 11 - 1 today, and I am booked before and after that as well. Sorry!

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/10/2010 08:58 AM

To: Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject: Re: 11 mtg

You bet. Addie will send it out.

Dina Kruger

Yes. Is there a call in? Its cold outside!

12/10/2010 08:55:12 AM

From: Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Paul Gunning" <Gunning.Paul@epamail.epa.gov>  
Date: 12/10/2010 08:55 AM  
Subject: Re: 11 mtg

---

Yes. Is there a call in? Its cold outside!

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 12/10/2010 08:52 AM EST  
**To:** Dina Kruger  
**Subject:** Re: 11 mtg

Calendar tag. I have a 2:30 -6 mtg. Could you make 1:30 work? Thx

Dina Kruger

Can we meet at 2:30 or 3? Paul and I...

12/10/2010 08:40:29 AM

From: Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov>  
Cc: Dana Hyland/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Paul Gunning" <Gunning.Paul@epamail.epa.gov>  
Date: 12/10/2010 08:40 AM  
Subject: 11 mtg

---

Can we meet at 2:30 or 3? Paul and I have a critical mtg with the semiconductor industry from 11 - 1 today, and I am booked before and after that as well. Sorry!

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/12/2011 02:45 PM

To Dina Kruger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Could we have lunch next Tues or Thurs?

You got it. Addie will arrange

Dina Kruger

[Ignore my previous message -- Tuesda...](#)

01/12/2011 01:57:42 PM

From: Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/12/2011 01:57 PM  
Subject: Re: Could we have lunch next Tues or Thurs?

---

Ignore my previous message -- Tuesday is better. I was looking at the wrong week. :-)

Dina Kruger  
Director, Climate Change Division  
USEPA

202-343-9039 (phone)  
202-343-2290 (fax)

John Beale

[That's what my earlier message was ab...](#)

01/12/2011 11:57:17 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/12/2011 11:57 AM  
Subject: Could we have lunch next Tues or Thurs?

---

That's what my earlier message was about.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

11/06/2012 04:41 PM

To Don Zinger

cc Cynthia Browne

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting with Gina

Hey Don-thanks for your note and the fast follow up. Let's try for Thursday the 8th at 1300. Never know what might happen next week. Thanks again and see you Thursday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Don Zinger

John, Good to hear you are back in th...

11/06/2012 04:01 PM EST

From: Don Zinger  
To: John Beale  
Cc: Cynthia Browne  
Date: 11/06/2012 04:01 PM EST  
Subject: Re: Meeting with Gina

---

John,

Good to hear you are back in the country, at least for a little while. Here are some times that Gina is available to meet over the next week or so. (She is working from home in Boston on Friday and Monday is a holiday.) Please reply to myself and Cynthia and she can confirm the meeting time. Thanks.

11/8 - 9:30 am or 1 pm

11/13 - 4 pm and later

11/14 - 12:15 pm, 3 pm, or 5:30 pm and later

Gina McCarthy

That would be great. Don can help. Fr...

11/06/2012 02:17:54 PM

From: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Zinger.Don@EPA.GOV  
Date: 11/06/2012 02:17 PM  
Subject: Re: Hey John

---

That would be great. Don can help.

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/06/2012 02:15 PM  
Subject: Re: Hey John

---

Gina,

Thanks for your note and as usual your timing is excellent. I just got back into the country from a too long trip

yesterday and tonight's outcome will have a significant impact on those "plans" of mine. I need to check with you on winding up my current situation and I would very much appreciate your insights on a couple of other options that have developed.

I am here for about a week or so. Should I contact your office and set up a time later this week or early next?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Gina McCarthy  
**Sent:** 11/06/2012 01:43 PM EST  
**To:** Beale.John@EPA.GOV  
**Subject:** Hey John

John - was just filling out paper work for PARS etc and came across your file. How are you? Maybe we should reconnect so you can catch me up on your plans.



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/02/2011 03:39 PM

To Don Zinger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Background and Talking Points for the Administrator's  
meeting with Todd Stern

Nicely done Don. 3 minutes to spare!!!!

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/03/2010 05:33 PM

To Donald Maddox

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Janet McCabe's Calendar

Thanks Don. I will try it tomorrow

Donald Maddox

[Sir I think we have you finally on there t...](#)

02/03/2010 04:10:54 PM

---

From: Donald Maddox/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/03/2010 04:10 PM  
Subject: Janet McCabe's Calendar

---

Sir

I think we have you finally on there to see Janet's calendar. Give it a shot and pray!

Don

Don Maddox  
Office of Air & Radiation  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
email: maddox.donald@epa.gov  
Office: 202.564.7207  
Cell: 202.731.6711

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

12/28/2009 11:19 AM

To Donald Maddox

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Management Roundtable

thanks Don

Donald Maddox

[John Gina has a couple things that I di...](#)

12/28/2009 11:17:08 AM

From: Donald Maddox/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/28/2009 11:17 AM  
Subject: Management Roundtable

---

John

Gina has a couple things that I did not know about that she is handling and at the moment at least until I can define how long they are going to take we will have to post pone today your 30 minutes with her until I can get availability. Also, the management roundtable discussion may be off now also and I will let you know just as soon as she gets back from the Rulemaking meeting happening now.

Don

Don Maddox  
Office of Air & Radiation  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
email: [maddox.donald@epa.gov](mailto:maddox.donald@epa.gov)  
Office: 202.564.7207  
Cell: 202.731.6711

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/01/2011 01:17 PM

To dovland

cc

bcc

Subject Retirement

Harald,

Thanks so much for your note about your so called retirement. Knowing you, you will work more in retirement than many of us do before retirement.

Congratulations on making the break and starting the next big adventure of your life. You have always been and remain a role model for me. Your passionate commitment to the public good, your dedication to sound science and policy development, and your unswerving graciousness to all exemplify all that is good and noble in public service.

It is always a pleasure and an honor to work with you. I look forward to doing so again

Don't let those doctors push you around. Thanks for letting me know how it is going. Take good care, talk with you soon.

Best,  
John

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/18/2011 11:57 AM

To Ellen Kurlansky

cc

bcc

Subject Re: check this out

WOW Powerful stuff...

Ellen Kurlansky <http://costofdelay.org> This is a project ... 03/18/2011 11:50:35 AM

From: Ellen Kurlansky/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Millett/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Rob Brenner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/18/2011 11:50 AM  
Subject: check this out

---

<http://costofdelay.org>

This is a project

Ellen Kurlansky  
Office of Air and Radiation  
US Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460  
202-564-1669

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
05/15/2012 08:31 AM

To Emma Holland  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group,  
Washington

Thank you for your email. I will not require hotel reservations.

Emma Holland Dear Participants\* \*\*First SLCP Project... 05/11/2012 11:49:00 AM

From: Emma Holland <emma.holland@york.ac.uk>  
To: undisclosed-recipients;;  
Date: 05/11/2012 11:49 AM  
Subject: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

DearParticipants

## First SLCP Project International Advisory Group Meeting (IAG); Washington May 31st - June 1st

I will be organising the hotel arrangements in Washington for the Advisory Group Meeting on behalf of Kevin Hicks. The hotel details are:

The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007

Phone: **202-333-8900** | Fax: **202-333-8308**

<http://www.georgetowninn.com>

**Please could you confirm whether you require a hotel booking and your arrival and departure dates by Wed 16th of May, I will then confirm booking details with the hotel.**

Please note we only have funds for accommodation from the 30th of May until to the 1st of June, any dates outside of this will be chargeable to participants.

If you are making your own travel arrangements, please check flights with me before purchase as we have a strict fly American airways policy on this project.

Kind regards,

Emma Holland

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland

Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: +44 (0)1904 322897

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

05/21/2012 03:07 PM

To Emma Holland

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Emma,

Thank you for your note and I apologize for my tardy response. I have been traveling in areas with no access to email but am back in Washington for a few days at least.

As my schedule now stands I will need to return to Washington from a fairly remote location for the meeting. As I mentioned in my earlier email I will not need a hotel room. , I also will not need a daily per diem allowance.

I do not have a final price for my plane ticket but estimate that it will be approximately \$1200. In addition I will need to be reimbursed for some ground transportation (less than \$200) and taxi fares (less than \$100).

As a caution I should mention that there remains a reasonable chance that I will not be able to break away from my previous obligation in order to attend this initial meeting of the advisory group. I expect that I will know for sure early next week.

I hope this information is helpful. If you need any more information let me know. I will have email contact until Saturday. After that it will be sporadic at best. Do you have any idea when the discussion papers will be available?

Thanks again for all your help.

Emma Holland

[Dear John, We can reimburse your trav...](#)

05/15/2012 09:50:20 AM

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/15/2012 09:50 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

Dear John,

We can reimburse your travel costs to Washington, please could you let me know the price of your travel tickets so I can add this to the budget.

Many thanks,

Emma

On 15 May 2012 13:31, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:

Thank you for your email. I will not require hotel reservations.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>



To: undisclosed-recipients;;  
Date: 05/11/2012 11:49 AM  
Subject: Hotel information for the SLCP Advisory Group, Washington

DearParticipants

## First SLCP Project International Advisory Group Meeting (IAG); Washington May 31st - June 1st

I will be organising the hotel arrangements in Washington for the Advisory Group Meeting on behalf of Kevin Hicks. The hotel details are:

The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007

Phone: [202-333-8900](tel:202-333-8900) | Fax: [202-333-8308](tel:202-333-8308)

<http://www.georgetowninn.com>

**Please could you confirm whether you require a hotel booking and your arrival and departure dates by Wed 16th of May, I will then confirm booking details with the hotel.**

Please note we only have funds for accommodation from the 30th of May until to the 1st of June, any dates outside of this will be chargeable to participants.

If you are making your own travel arrangements, please check flights with me before purchase as we have a strict fly American airways policy on this project.

Kind regards,

Emma Holland

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+441904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: +44 (0)1904 322897

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/30/2012 10:17 AM

To Emma Holland

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Hello Emma,

I hope all is well with you and thanks for all your work to make this meeting back in June so easy.

I am contacting you because I have not received any information about how I go about getting reimbursed for my travel expenses. Could you advise me?

Thanks very much.

Emma Holland

[Dear John, Thank you for confirming yo...](#)

05/29/2012 04:41:46 AM

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/29/2012 04:41 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

Dear John,

Thank you for confirming your attendance, the meeting will take place at the The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007, Phone: **202-333-8900** | Fax: **202-333-8308** <http://www.georgetowninn.com/>.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 28 May 2012 18:10, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:

Thanks Emma. I will be attending most of the meeting. I will have to leave by noon on Friday in order to fulfill my previous obligation.

Thanks again for your help.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Emma Holland [[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)]

**Sent:** 05/23/2012 02:13 PM CET

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Dear John,

Thanks for getting back to me with approx travel costs, if you could let me know next week if you are able to attend the meeting in Washington that would be great.

The discussion paper will be sent out by Friday 25th of May.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 21 May 2012 20:07, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:

Emma,

Thank you for your note and I apologize for my tardy response. I have been traveling in areas with no access to email but am back in Washington for a few days at least.

As my schedule now stands I will need to return to Washington from a fairly remote location for the meeting. As I mentioned in my earlier email I will not need a hotel room. , I also will not need a daily per diem allowance.

I do not have a final price for my plane ticket but estimate that it will be approximately \$1200. In addition I will need to be reimbursed for some ground transportation (less than \$200) and taxi fares (less than \$100).

As a caution I should mention that there remains a reasonable chance that I will not be able to break away from my previous obligation in order to attend this initial meeting of the advisory group. I expect that I will know for sure early next week.

I hope this information is helpful. If you need any more information let me know. I will have email contact until Saturday. After that it will be sporadic at best. Do you have any idea when the discussion papers will be available?

Thanks again for all your help.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>

To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/15/2012 09:50 AM

Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Dear John,

We can reimburse your travel costs to Washington, please could you let me know the price of your travel tickets so I can add this to the budget.

Many thanks,

Emma

On 15 May 2012 13:31, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Thank you for your email. I will not require hotel reservations.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: undisclosed-recipients;;  
Date: 05/11/2012 11:49 AM  
Subject: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

DearParticipants

## First SLCP Project International Advisory Group Meeting (IAG); Washington May 31st - June 1st

I will be organising the hotel arrangements in Washington for the Advisory Group Meeting on behalf of Kevin Hicks. The hotel details are:

The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007

Phone: [202-333-8900](tel:202-333-8900) | Fax: [202-333-8308](tel:202-333-8308)  
<http://www.georgetowninn.com>

**Please could you confirm whether you require a hotel booking and your arrival and departure dates by Wed 16th of May, I will then confirm booking details with the hotel.** Please note we only have funds for accommodation from the 30th of May until to the 1st of June, any dates outside of this will be chargeable to participants.

If you are making your own travel arrangements, please check flights with me before purchase as we have a strict fly American airways policy on this project.

Kind regards,

Emma Holland

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+441904322897)  
Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+441904322897)  
Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: +44 (0)1904 322897

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: +44 (0)1904 322897

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/06/2013 01:18 PM

To Emma Holland

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Hi Emma,

I have been very delinquent in send this information to you. My apologies.

Is it too late to submit a claim form and if not could I fax the information to you?

Thanks Emma

Emma Holland

[Hi John, My apologies that you have no...](#)

07/31/2012 04:55:24 AM

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/31/2012 04:55 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

Hi John,

My apologies that you have not received reimbursement details. Please complete the expense form attached and return to me along with scanned copies of receipts.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 30 July 2012 15:17, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Hello Emma,

I hope all is well with you and thanks for all your work to make this meeting back in June so easy.

I am contacting you because I have not received any information about how I go about getting reimbursed for my travel expenses. Could you advise me?

Thanks very much.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/29/2012 04:41 AM



Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

Dear John,

Thank you for confirming your attendance, the meeting will take place at the The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007, Phone: [202-333-8900](tel:202-333-8900) | Fax: [202-333-8308](tel:202-333-8308) <http://www.georgetowninn.com/>.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 28 May 2012 18:10, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Thanks Emma. I will be attending most of the meeting. I will have to leave by noon on Friday in order to fulfill my previous obligation.

Thanks again for your help.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Emma Holland [[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)]  
**Sent:** 05/23/2012 02:13 PM CET  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Dear John,

Thanks for getting back to me with approx travel costs, if you could let me know next week if you are able to attend the meeting in Washington that would be great.

The discussion paper will be sent out by Friday 25th of May.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 21 May 2012 20:07, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Emma,

Thank you for your note and I apologize for my tardy response. I have been traveling in areas with no access to email but am back in Washington for a few days at least.

As my schedule now stands I will need to return to Washington from a fairly remote location for the meeting. As I mentioned in my earlier email I will not need a hotel room. , I also will not need a daily per diem allowance.

I do not have a final price for my plane ticket but estimate that it will be approximately \$1200. In addition I will need to be reimbursed for some ground transportation (less than \$200) and taxi fares (less than \$100).

As a caution I should mention that there remains a reasonable chance that will not be able to break away from my previous obligation in order to attend this initial meeting of the advisory group. I expect that I will know for sure early next week.

I hope this information is helpful. If you need any more information let me know. I will have email contact until Saturday. After that it will be sporadic at best. Do you have any idea when the discussion papers will be available?

Thanks again for all your help.

---

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/15/2012 09:50 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

Dear John,

We can reimburse your travel costs to Washington, please could you let me know the price of your travel tickets so I can add this to the budget.

Many thanks,

Emma

On 15 May 2012 13:31, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Thank you for your email. I will not require hotel reservations.

---

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: undisclosed-recipients;;  
Date: 05/11/2012 11:49 AM  
Subject: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

DearParticipants

## First SLCP Project International Advisory Group Meeting (IAG); Washington May 31st - June 1st

I will be organising the hotel arrangements in Washington for the Advisory Group Meeting on behalf of Kevin Hicks. The hotel details are:

The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007

Phone: [202-333-8900](tel:202-333-8900) | Fax: [202-333-8308](tel:202-333-8308)

<http://www.georgetowninn.com>

**Please could you confirm whether you require a hotel booking and your arrival and departure dates by Wed 16th of May, I will then confirm booking details with the hotel.**

Please note we only have funds for accommodation from the 30th of May until to the 1st of June, any dates outside of this will be chargeable to participants.

If you are making your own travel arrangements, please check flights with me before purchase as we have a strict fly American airways policy on this project.

Kind regards,

Emma Holland

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+441904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: +44 (0)1904 322897

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>[attachment "visitor expense form.xls" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/15/2013 03:28 PM

To Emma Holland

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Hi Emma,

Thanks for your note and sorry to hear that the project is coming to an end. The total amount of my expenses was 1599.64\$. if is to late I understand.

Thanks for your help.

Emma Holland

[Hi John, I have just spoken to Kevin ab...](#)

02/13/2013 05:20:04 AM

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/13/2013 05:20 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

---

Hi John,

I have just spoken to Kevin about your expenses, he has said that the project is coming to an end, so the budget is also coming to an end. He could possibly squeeze your expenses within the budget if you could let me know how much your expenses are?

Many thanks,

Emma

On 6 February 2013 18:18, <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Hi Emma,

I have been very delinquent in send this information to you. My apologies.

Is it too late to submit a claim form and if not could I fax the information to you?

Thanks Emma

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/31/2012 04:55 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Hi John,

My apologies that you have not received reimbursement details. Please complete the expense form attached and return to me along with scanned copies of receipts.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 30 July 2012 15:17, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Hello Emma,

I hope all is well with you and thanks for all your work to make this meeting back in June so easy.

I am contacting you because I have not received any information about how I go about getting reimbursed for my travel expenses. Could you advise me?

Thanks very much.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/29/2012 04:41 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Dear John,

Thank you for confirming your attendance, the meeting will take place at the The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007, Phone: [202-333-8900](tel:202-333-8900) | Fax: [202-333-8308](tel:202-333-8308) <http://www.georgetowninn.com/>.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 28 May 2012 18:10, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Thanks Emma. I will be attending most of the meeting. I will have to leave by noon on Friday in order to fulfill my previous obligation.

Thanks again for your help.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Emma Holland [[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)]  
**Sent:** 05/23/2012 02:13 PM CET  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Dear John,

Thanks for getting back to me with approx travel costs, if you could let me know next week if you are able to attend the meeting in Washington that would be great.

The discussion paper will be sent out by Friday 25th of May.

Kind regards,

Emma

On 21 May 2012 20:07, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Emma,

Thank you for your note and I apologize for my tardy response. I have been traveling in areas with no access to email but am back in Washington for a few days at least

As my schedule now stands I will need to return to Washington from a fairly remote location for the meeting. As I mentioned in my earlier email I will not need a hotel room. , I also will not need a daily per diem allowance.

I do not have a final price for my plane ticket but estimate that it will be approximately \$1200. In addition I will need to be reimbursed for some ground transportation (less than \$200) and taxi fares (less than \$100).

As a caution I should mention that there remains a reasonable chance that I will not be able to break away from my previous obligation in order to attend this initial meeting of the advisory group. I expect that I will know for sure early next week.

I hope this information is helpful. If you need any more information let me know. I will have email contact until Saturday. After that it will be sporadic at best. Do you have any idea when the discussion papers will be available?

Thanks again for all your help.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>



To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/15/2012 09:50 AM  
Subject: Re: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

Dear John,

We can reimburse your travel costs to Washington, please could you let me know the price of your travel tickets so I can add this to the budget.

Many thanks,

Emma

On 15 May 2012 13:31, John Beale <[Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:  
Thank you for your email. I will not require hotel reservations.

From: Emma Holland <[emma.holland@york.ac.uk](mailto:emma.holland@york.ac.uk)>  
To: undisclosed-recipients;;  
Date: 05/11/2012 11:49 AM  
Subject: Hotel information for the SLCF Advisory Group, Washington

DearParticipants

## First SLCP Project International Advisory Group Meeting (IAG); Washington May 31st - June 1st

I will be organising the hotel arrangements in Washington for the Advisory Group Meeting on behalf of Kevin Hicks. The hotel details are:

The Georgetown Inn Hotel - Washington, DC 1310 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20007

Phone: [202-333-8900](tel:202-333-8900) | Fax: [202-333-8308](tel:202-333-8308)  
<http://www.georgetowninn.com>

**Please could you confirm whether you require a hotel booking and your arrival and departure dates by Wed 16th of May, I will then confirm booking details with the hotel.**

Please note we only have funds for accommodation from the 30th of May until to the 1st of June, any dates outside of this will be chargeable to participants.

If you are making your own travel arrangements, please check flights with me before purchase as we have a strict fly American airways policy on this project.

Kind regards,

Emma Holland

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+44(0)1904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

--

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: [+44 \(0\)1904 322897](tel:+441904322897)

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>[attachment "visitor expense form.xls" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

--

**Stockholm Environment Institute**

Emma Holland  
Centre Administrator

Stockholm Environment Institute  
University of York  
York YO10 5DD  
UK

Tel: +44 (0)1904 322897

Website: [sei-international.org](http://sei-international.org)

E-mail disclaimer: <http://www.york.ac.uk/docs/disclaimer/email.htm>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/31/2013 08:15 AM

To EPACALLCENTER

cc

bcc

Subject Re: INC000000520816

Thanks for your work on this. The second monitor appears to be working but I do not know how to move an application over to it. The problems with the Notes "Preferences" is resolved. Notes still crashes if I try to down load an image. I should be here all day today (until 4PM), out Friday, and in next week.

Thanks again.

EPA Call Center

This email is regarding your request T...

01/25/2013 07:27:48 PM

From: EPA Call Center <ARSystem@apptis.com>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/25/2013 07:27 PM  
Subject: INC000000520816

---

This email is regarding your request TICKET NUMBER 520816 for Lotus Notes issue. Please either respond to this message or call 866-411-4372 to let me know a convenient time for the work to be performed. If possible, please include both a preferred schedule and a backup date/time. If this issue has been resolved, please let us know so that we may close out the request.

Thank you.

Eboni

EZTECH

ECS, EZ Tech Contractor

Email Attachment :

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/22/2011 02:21 PM

To Erika Sasser, Lydia Wegman, Jeffrey Clark

cc

bcc

Subject BCRTC

Ok guys we are good to go on the FR notice and sending the draft to the reviewers. Thanks for all your patience.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/15/2010 07:35 AM

To Esmeralda Marshall

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Labor Svc. Tickets

Thanks so much. The bad news is that I found out last night that I have to be at Langley today. Sorry.

Could they do it Tues or Wed next week?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Esmeralda Marshall

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Esmeralda Marshall

**Sent:** 01/15/2010 07:06 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Fw: Labor Svc. Tickets

Esmeralda Marshall

EPA/OAR/IO

(202) 564-7400

(202) 564-3653

(202) 501-0394 Fax

----- Forwarded by Esmeralda Marshall/DC/USEPA/US on 01/15/2010 07:05 AM -----

From: PhyllisA Harris/DC/USEPA/US

To: Esmeralda Marshall/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tracy Roski/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 01/14/2010 06:31 PM

Subject: Labor Svc. Tickets

---

FYI - in the database:

Tracy --LS10-00959 - move from 7426 to 7409L posted 1/13/10  
LS10-00983 - 2nd move from 7409L to 7340 posted 1/14/10 (both for 1/15 -a.m.)

Esmeralda -

LS10-00984 - hang pictures - 5409K

For status you can call Hank Wages on 564-2115.

Thanks,

Phyllis Harris

OARM/FMSD/FOB

Facilities Manager - Ariel Rios North

(202) 564-5781

(202) 565-2590 FAX

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/12/2011 08:21 AM

To events

cc

bcc

Subject Event on the 19th

Thank you. I will plan to attend the lecture on the 19th.

John Beale  
Deputy Assistant Administrator  
Office of Air and Radiation  
USEPA  
202-564-1176



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/03/2011 02:38 PM

To Gary Waxmonsky

cc Anthony Socci, Laura Sauls, Maurice LeFranc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Background and Talking Points for the Administrator's meeting with Todd Stern

It did not happen. They are trying to set something up for tomorrow.

Gary Waxmonsky

[Any read-out from this morning's LPJ...](#)

02/03/2011 02:14:14 PM

From: Gary Waxmonsky/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Sauls/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Anthony Socci/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/03/2011 02:14 PM  
Subject: Fw: Background and Talking Points for the Administrator's meeting with Todd Stern

---

Any read-out from this morning's LPJ-Stern discussion?

GRW

----- Forwarded by Gary Waxmonsky/DC/USEPA/US on 02/03/2011 02:12 PM -----

From: Don Zinger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Heidi Ellis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Gary Waxmonsky/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/02/2011 03:27 PM  
Subject: Background and Talking Points for the Administrator's meeting with Todd Stern

---

Heidi,

As you requested, here is the background. If you have any questions, feel free to contact John Beale in our office.

Don

[attachment "Background and Talking Points for Meeting with Todd Stern.docx" deleted by Gary Waxmonsky/DC/USEPA/US]

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/01/2011 02:24 PM

To Gary Waxmonsky

cc "Venu Ghanta", "Maurice LeFranc"

bcc

Subject Re: Stern-LPJ

I had heard a rumor---any details?

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/26/2011 10:35 AM

To Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject Pershing

Did you have a chance to respond to JP's note two days ago?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/31/2011 08:16 AM

To: Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject: Re:

Great. Thx much Gina.

Gina McCarthy

They exchanges emails, he is getting s...

01/31/2011 07:57:27 AM

From: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/31/2011 07:57 AM  
Subject: Re:

---

They exchanges emails, he is getting some background this morning, and they will talk this morning.

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale

**Sent:** 01/31/2011 07:55 AM EST

**To:** Gina McCarthy

Good morning Gina,

Hope your flight went well. Did you get any reaction from LPJ on the regulatory coherence issue with USTR and the need for a call to Amb. Kirk?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/07/2011 09:53 PM

To: Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject: Re: Do you have any insights about LPJ's call with Stern last week?

Thx.

Gina McCarthy

Didn't hear anything. I can ask.

02/07/2011 03:46:38 PM

From: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US

To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/07/2011 03:46 PM

Subject: Re: Do you have any insights about LPJ's call with Stern last week?

---

Didn't hear anything. I can ask.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/01/2011 03:19 PM

To: Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject: Re: Fw: FROM USTR: Industry's submission regarding Regulatory Coherence

Got them this PM. They are very general. I am sure there was a great deal of less formal communication behind these short papers. Thx

Gina McCarthy fyi not sure you had these. ----- Forwa...

02/01/2011 03:03:47 PM

From: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Beale.John@EPA.GOV  
Date: 02/01/2011 03:03 PM  
Subject: Fw: FROM USTR: Industry's submission regarding Regulatory Coherence

---

fyi not sure you had these.

----- Forwarded by Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US on 02/01/2011 03:03 PM -----

From: Scott Fulton/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/01/2011 01:07 PM  
Subject: Fw: FROM USTR: Industry's submission regarding Regulatory Coherence

As discussed.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Joe Ferrante  
**Sent:** 02/01/2011 09:44 AM EST  
**To:** Shalini Vajjhala; John Beale; Tseming Yang; Jim Jones; Daniella Taveau; Keith Mason; Breck Milroy; Laurel Celeste; Bicky Corman; Nicole Owens; Scott Fulton  
**Subject:** Fw: FROM USTR: Industry's submission regarding Regulatory Coherence  
Folks

Attached, please find industry submissions to USTR, which were (apparently) influential in the framing of the Regulatory Coherence issue. I don't believe these submissions were previously shared/distributed inter-agency given the inquiries of yesterday - note the dates on the attachments of May and September of 2010.

I know of no similar submissions from other NGOs (e.g., labor or environment groups.)

Best,

Joe

Joe Ferrante  
Senior Advisor, Trade and Economics Program  
Office of Global Affairs and Policy  
Office of International and Tribal Affairs  
U.S. EPA

(202) 564-6558 (Phone)  
(202) 565-2411 (Fax)  
Email: ferrante.joe@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Joe Ferrante/DC/USEPA/US on 02/01/2011 09:37 AM -----

From: "Suro-Bredie, Carmen" <Carmen\_Suro-Bredie@ustr.eop.gov>  
To: Alysha Taylor <Alysha.Taylor@trade.gov>, Darla Brown <darla.brown@trade.gov>, Lynn Costa <Lynn.Costa@trade.gov>, Michael Hogge <michael.hogge@trade.gov>, Stephen Jacobs <stephen.jacobs@trade.gov>, Susan Hupka <susan.hupka@trade.gov>, Tyler Shields <tyler.shields@trade.gov>, "Alisa Howell" <Howell.Alisa@dol.gov>, Amy Strauss <amy.strauss@dhs.gov>, Ana Valdes <Valdes.ana@dol.gov>, Andrew Burst <andrew.burst@fas.usda.gov>, Anne Zollner <zollner.anne@dol.gov>, "bill.schall@do.treas.gov" <Bill.Schall@do.treas.gov>, "Bordoff, Jason E." <Jason\_E\_Bordoff@ceq.eop.gov>, "Bown, Chad P." <Chad\_P\_Bown@cea.eop.gov>, "Branch, Lisa D. (CEA)" <Lisa\_D\_Branch@cea.eop.gov>, Brenda Brockman Smith <brenda.brockman.smith@dhs.gov>, Brinton Bohling <Bbohling@usaid.gov>, "Campbell, russell" <RCAMPBE2@OC.FDA.GOV>, Charles Bertsch <charles.bertsch@fas.usda.gov>, Chris Herman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Lee <cynthia.lee@fas.usda.gov>, Daniel Baldwin <Daniel.baldwin@dhs.gov>, David DeCarme <David.DeCarme@dot.gov>, Dawn Williams <dawn.williams@fas.usda.gov>, "Dennis.marvich@ost.dot.gov" <Dennis.Marvich@ost.dot.gov>, DHS Trade Policy <Tradeplcy@HQ.DHS.GOV>, "Bell, Douglas" <Douglas\_Bell@nss.eop.gov>, "Downes, David" <david\_downes@ios.doi.gov>, Dwayne London <dwayne.london@hq.doe.gov>, "Earp, Gordana" <gordana.earp@do.treas.gov>, Emily Kilcrease <Emily\_Kilcrease@ios.doi.gov>, Joe Ferrante/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Gary Linden <glinden@usaid.gov>, Gregory Schoepfle <schoepfle.gregory@dol.gov>, "Hand, edward" <edward.hand@usdoj.gov>, Heather Sykes <heather.sykes@dhs.gov>, "Jamison, Yvonne" <JamisonYD@state.gov>, jessica megill <Megilljs@state.gov>, Jillian DeLuna <DelunaJ@state.gov>, Joseph Rees <Joseph.Rees@dhs.gov>, Katrina Chang <katrina.chang@dhs.gov>, Kenneth Beasley <kbeasley@usaid.gov>, "Iarm, richard" <richard.iarm@usdoj.gov>, Luz Hopewell <Luz.hopewell@sba.gov>, Madolyn Phillips <Madolyn.phillips@sba.gov>, Maureen Pettis <pettis.maureen@dol.gov>, "Samenfeld-Specht, Megan E." <Megan\_E\_Samenfeld-Specht@ceq.eop.gov>, Michael Okoye <michael.okoye@hq.doe.gov>, Monika Brenner <monika.brenner@dhs.gov>, Brian Muehling/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Myles Harmon <myles.harmon@dhs.gov>, "Schwartz, Nancy" <Nancy\_Schwartz@omb.eop.gov>, Nicholas Klissas <nklissas@usaid.gov>, "Purcell, Anne" <Anne.Purcell@usdoj.gov>, Queena Fan <queena.fan@dhs.gov>, Renee Chovanec <renee.chovanec@dhs.gov>, "Sinkfield, Rhonda M." <Rhonda\_M\_Sinkfield@omb.eop.gov>, Robert Manogue <ManogueRD@state.gov>, Robert Riemenschneider <robert.riemenschneider@fas.usda.gov>, "Schlitt, Lyn" <LSchlitt@usitc.gov>, "Sinkfield, Rhonda M." <Rhonda\_M\_Sinkfield@omb.eop.gov>, "Spivakovsky-Gonzalez, Pedro" <Sgonzalez\_p@cea.eop.gov>, stephen Hilsen <stephen.r.hilsen@cbp.dhs.gov>, Susan Hildner <susan.hildner@osd.mil>, Taline Sanassarian <taline.sanassarian@sba.gov>, Timothy Wedding <wedding.timothy@dol.gov>, Viondette Lopez <viondette.lopez@hq.doe.gov>, Virginia Brown <vbrown@usaid.gov>, Whitney Baird <BairdWY@State.gov>, William Craft <CraftWE@state.gov>, "Young, (Brenda)" <brenda.young@usitc.gov>  
Cc: "Linscott, Mark" <Mark\_Linscott@ustr.eop.gov>, "Eissenstat, Everett H." <Everett\_Eissenstat@ustr.eop.gov>, "Weisel, Barbara" <Barbara\_Weisel@ustr.eop.gov>  
Date: 02/01/2011 09:16 AM  
Subject: FW: TPSC Attendance List and deadline

Attached are the papers from industry referred to at yesterday's meeting, provided by Mark Linscott.

[attachment "TPP - Regulatory Coherence - Submission 2 - 9-22-10.pdf" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US] [attachment "TPP - Regulatory Coherence Working Group Paper - Final 5 17 10.pdf" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/31/2011 07:55 AM

To Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject

Good morning Gina,

Hope your flight went well. Did you get any reaction from LPJ on the regulatory coherence issue with USTR and the need for a call to Amb. Kirk?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

12/14/2010 05:50 PM

To Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Robert Stavins: What Happened (and Why) -- An Assessment of the Cancun Agreements

When you have some time (15 minutes) this article is worth a read. Pretty much what we discussed today with Maurice and Jonathan-but organized better.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 12/14/2010 05:48 PM -----

From: "Harvard's Belfer Center" <belfer\_center@ksg.harvard.edu>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/14/2010 04:44 PM  
Subject: Robert Stavins: What Happened (and Why) -- An Assessment of the Cancun Agreements

---

Belfer Center - The Latest on Climate Change and the Environment from the Belfer Center at Harvard Kennedy School

December 14, 2010

The Latest from the Harvard Project on Climate Agreements

What Happened (and Why): An Assessment of the Cancun Agreements  
<http://enews.belfercenter.org/ct.html?rtr=on&s=ljli,ofqa,7oo,7cxg,5zio,c2ox,cyjk>

By Robert Stavins

Professor Robert Stavins, having just returned from the international climate negotiations in Cancun, Mexico, assesses the new Cancun Agreements: "The two key documents do just what was needed, namely build on the structure of the Copenhagen Accord with a balanced package that takes meaningful steps toward implementing the key elements of the Accord. The delegates in Cancun succeeded in writing and adopting an agreement that commits all major economies to greenhouse gas (GHG) cuts, launches a fund to help the most vulnerable countries, and avoids some political landmines that could have blown up the talks, namely decisions on the (highly uncertain) future of the Kyoto Protocol.

To unsubscribe, send an email to: [unsubscribe-9960@elabs7.com](mailto:unsubscribe-9960@elabs7.com) with the address: [beale.john@epa.gov](mailto:beale.john@epa.gov) in the subject line.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/03/2011 12:41 PM

To Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject Jonathan P

Last month we discussed the idea of you calling Jonathan or sending him a note about my resuming management of the international portfolio for you. I will be calling him tomorrow to set up a meeting and wonder if you have had a chance to make that contact?

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
02/19/2010 04:27 PM

To: Gina McCarthy, Rob Brenner, Joseph Goffman  
cc  
bcc  
Subject: Fw: [uscan-talk] Harvard's Stavins gives nod to "dividend" approach from Cantwell-Collins

See especially the section on court ordered regulation  
----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 02/19/2010 04:24 PM -----

From: Nancy Kete <nkete@wri.org>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/10/2010 01:27 PM  
Subject: FW: [uscan-talk] Harvard's Stavins gives nod to "dividend" approach from Cantwell-Collins

---

----- Forwarded Message

**From:** Mike Tidwell <[MTidwell@chesapeakeclimate.org](mailto:MTidwell@chesapeakeclimate.org)>  
**Date:** Tue, 9 Feb 2010 18:00:34 -0500  
**To:** Mike Tidwell <[mtidwell@chesapeakeclimate.org](mailto:mtidwell@chesapeakeclimate.org)>  
**Subject:** [uscan-talk] Harvard's Stavins gives nod to "dividend" approach from Cantwell-Collins

Very compelling and thoughtful analysis.

## Any Hope for Meaningful U.S. Climate Policy? A Somewhat Positive View <

<http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=533>>

*February 8th, 2010*

By Robert Stavins <<http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?author=2>>

The current conventional wisdom — broadly echoed by the news media and the blogosphere — is that comprehensive, economy-wide CO2 cap-and-trade legislation is dead in the current U.S. Congress, and perhaps for the next several years.

Watch out for conventional wisdoms! They inevitably appear to be the collective judgment of numerous well-informed observers and sources, but frequently they are little more than the massive repetition of a few sample points of opinion across the echo-chamber of the professional news media and the blogosphere.

Keep in mind that the conventional wisdom as recently as June of 2009 had it that — with the Waxman-Markey bill <<http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=h111-2454>> having been passed triumphantly by the House of Representatives <<http://www.house.gov/>> — Senate <<http://www.senate.gov/>> action would follow; the only question raised by many commentators was whether the final legislation could be sent to the President for his signature by the time of the Copenhagen climate talks <<http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=464>> in December. My, how the conventional wisdom has changed!

But over the past nine months, the politics have *not* fundamentally changed. In June of 2009, passage of meaningful climate legislation in the Senate was already unlikely, because of the terrible economic recession in which the country found itself, and – of even greater political salience — lingering high rates of unemployment. And with the lack of Republican support for the stimulus bill, the relatively small (partisan) margin by which the House passed Waxman-Markey, the then-upcoming challenges of health care and financial regulatory reform dominating the legislative calendar, and concerns voiced about climate legislation by moderate Senate Democrats, success in the Senate was always a long-shot.

## What is the Likely Legislative Outcome?

In addition to ongoing consideration of an economy-wide cap-and-trade system < <http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=355>> , another possibility now receiving attention is a utility-only cap-and-trade system, which some members of the Congress inexplicably find more attractive than an economy-wide approach. The result of such a system would be much less accomplished (forget about the President’s “conditional commitment” under the Copenhagen Accord < <http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=464>> ), and at much greater cost. This would be equivalent to taking the Northeast’s Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) < <http://www.rggi.org/home>> as a model for national action. Not a good idea.

Even more likely is that the Congress would develop a so-called energy-only bill, which would – to a large degree – consist of killing the one part of Waxman-Markey worth saving (the comprehensive CO2 cap-and-trade system), and moving forward with the worst parts of that legislation < <http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=206>> – the smorgasbord of regulatory initiatives. As I’ve written previously <<http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=206>> , those additional elements of the legislation are highly problematic. When implemented under the cap-and-trade umbrella, many of those conventional standards and subsidies would have no net greenhouse-gas-reducing benefits, would limit flexibility, and would thereby have the unintended consequence of driving up compliance costs. That’s the soft underbelly of the House legislation.

*Without* the cap-and-trade umbrella, that same set of standards and subsidies will accomplish very little, and do so at exceedingly high cost. Take just one example that seems to be popular among politicians – “renewable portfolio standards <[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Renewable\\_portfolio\\_standard](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Renewable_portfolio_standard)> ” (RPS), requirements that all states or all electricity utilities derive some fixed share of their power, say 20%, from renewable sources. Note, for example, that such an approach does *not* distinguish between coal and natural gas, despite the dramatically different impacts these fuels have on CO2 emissions (and a host of other environmental outcomes). Furthermore, although an RPS may displace some new coal-fired generation <<http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=255>> with other types of generation, there is little, if any, effect on the operation of existing coal-fired power plants.

If those other, regulatory parts of the climate legislation are so ineffective and so costly, why are they so popular with politicians? The reason is simple. The costs are hidden. The government simply mandates that electric utilities or manufacturers take particular actions, employing the best technology available. Where’s the cost? Unlike a cap-and-trade system, there’s no analysis and debate about the cost of allowances (and the marginal abatement costs they represent); and unlike a carbon tax, there’s no analysis and no focus on the dollar amount of the tax and the aggregate cost. That is the unfortunate but fundamental political economy < [http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/The\\_choice\\_of\\_regulatory.PDF](http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/The_choice_of_regulatory.PDF)> behind much of U.S.

environmental policy since the first Earth Day in 1970.

## What about Court-Ordered Regulation?

Whether “best-available-control technology standards <[http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Environmental\\_Law\\_and\\_Policy\\_Handbook\\_Chapter\\_by\\_Revesz\\_&\\_Stavins.pdf](http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Environmental_Law_and_Policy_Handbook_Chapter_by_Revesz_&_Stavins.pdf)>” are crafted by the Congress or put in place by the Environmental Protection Agency <<http://www.epa.gov/>> (EPA) under the court-ordered mandate stemming from the Supreme Court <<http://www.supremecourtus.gov/>> decision in *Massachusetts v. EPA* <[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Massachusetts\\_v.\\_Environmental\\_Protection\\_Agency](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Massachusetts_v._Environmental_Protection_Agency)> and the Obama administration’s subsequent “endangerment finding <<http://www.mass.gov/Cago/docs/Environmental/Endangerment%20Finding.pdf>>,” such an approach will be relatively ineffective and terribly costly for what is accomplished. The EPA route would essentially apply the mechanisms of the Clean Air Act, intended for localized, “criteria air pollutants <<http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html>>,” to CO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in ineffective and costly regulations.

The White House <<http://www.whitehouse.gov/>> (and most member of Congress) recognize that this is an inappropriate way to address climate change, but they seem determined to go forward, claiming that this threat will force the hand of Congress to do something more sensible instead. Unfortunately, this is akin to my telling you that if you don’t do what I want, I will shoot *myself* in the foot – not a very credible or intelligent threat. What I am referring to is that costly Clean Air Act <<http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/>> regulation of CO<sub>2</sub> <[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon\\_dioxide](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_dioxide)> will play into the hands of right-wing opponents of climate action, creating a poster-child of excessive regulatory intervention that will bring about a backlash against sensible climate policies. EPA claims that there will be no such excessive regulatory actions, because it will exempt small sources through a so-called “tailoring rule <<http://www.epa.gov/NSR/documents/GHGTailoringProposal.pdf>>.” But legal scholars have noted that the tailoring rule stands on questionable legal grounds and could be invalidated by the courts. In this regard, note that the first lawsuits to stop EPA from exempting small sources are coming from groups on the right, not the left.

Perhaps Senator Murkowski <<http://murkowski.senate.gov/public/>>’s proposed joint resolution (H.J. Res. 66 <<http://murkowski.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?p=PolicyStatement>> ), introduced on January 21, 2010, disapproving (stopping) EPA’s regulatory action under the endangerment finding could save the Administration. The conventional wisdom is that Senator Murkowski’s resolution has no political future, but with a bi-partisan list of 40 co-sponsors <[http://murkowski.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?p=Speeches&ContentRecord\\_id=b41138df-40c7-45f5-a2e0-c6a158ddf14c&ContentType\\_id=a254d6e4-28a1-416a-a475-cded48504549&19760459-7424-403a-8038-666e11ddb515&Group\\_id=59643e03-a6a4-4b6a-bc33-52e166e4c462&MonthDisplay=12&YearDisplay=2009](http://murkowski.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?p=Speeches&ContentRecord_id=b41138df-40c7-45f5-a2e0-c6a158ddf14c&ContentType_id=a254d6e4-28a1-416a-a475-cded48504549&19760459-7424-403a-8038-666e11ddb515&Group_id=59643e03-a6a4-4b6a-bc33-52e166e4c462&MonthDisplay=12&YearDisplay=2009)> , that’s a total of 41 votes (more than the current total of 40 “Yes” and “Probably Yes” votes in the Senate for serious climate legislation, according to *Environment and Energy Daily* <[http://www.eenews.net.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard.edu/eed/documents/climate\\_debate\\_senate.pdf](http://www.eenews.net.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard.edu/eed/documents/climate_debate_senate.pdf)> ). And remember that the disapproval resolution requires only 51, not 60 votes in the Senate, under the rules of the enabling statute, the Congressional Review Act of 1996 <<http://usgovinfo.about.com/library/bills/blcra.htm>> (signed by President Clinton, and part of the Republican “Contract with America <<http://www.house.gov/house/Contract/CONTRACT.html>>”). Of course, House action, not to mention signature by President Obama, would also be required for the resolution to take effect. But a positive vote in the Senate will send a strong political message.

## So Is There No Hope for Good Climate Policy?

Here is where it gets interesting, because as much as the current political environment in Washington may seem increasingly unreceptive to an economy-wide cap-and-trade system or some other meaningful and sensible climate policy, there is one promising approach that could actually *benefit* from the national political climate.

In these pages, I have expressed support for cap-and-trade mechanisms to address climate change < <http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/analysis/stavins/?p=108>> , including the system embodied in the Waxman-Markey legislation < [http://energycommerce.house.gov/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=1622:chairmen-waxman-and-markey-introduce-the-american-clean-energy-and-security-act&catid=155:statements&Itemid=81](http://energycommerce.house.gov/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1622:chairmen-waxman-and-markey-introduce-the-american-clean-energy-and-security-act&catid=155:statements&Itemid=81)> that emerged from the House in June of last year. Although that approach is scientifically sound, economically sensible, and may still turn out to be politically acceptable, there's a modified version of cap-and-trade that could be much more attractive in this era of rampant expressions of populism < <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Populism>> , coming both from the right ("no new taxes") and the left ("bash the corporations"). Neither of those views, of course, is consistent with sound economic thinking on the environment, but it's nevertheless possible to recognize their national appeal and build upon them.

This could be done with a *simple upstream* < [http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Stavins\\_HP\\_Discussion\\_Paper\\_2007-13.pdf](http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Stavins_HP_Discussion_Paper_2007-13.pdf)> cap-and-trade system < [http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Stavins\\_HP\\_Discussion\\_Paper\\_2007-13.pdf](http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Stavins_HP_Discussion_Paper_2007-13.pdf)> in which all of the needed allowances are *sold* (auctioned) – not given freely – to fossil-fuel producers and importers, and a very large share – say 75% – of the revenue is *rebated directly* to American households through monthly checks in a progressive scheme through which all individuals receive identical payments.

Such an approach could appeal to the populist sentiments that are increasingly dominating political discourse and judgments in this mid-term election year. Such a system – which would have direct *and visible* positive financial consequences (i.e., rebate checks larger than energy price increases) for 80% of American households – might not only *not be difficult* for politicians to support, but it might actually be *difficult for politicians to oppose* !

Importantly, even though this is a specific type of cap-and-trade design (which has been known, studied, and proposed for decades), for better political optics, it should be called something else. How about “*cap-and-dividend* ?”

## A CLEAR Answer?

What I've described bears a close resemblance to the “Carbon Limits and Energy for America's Renewal (CLEAR) Act < <http://cantwell.senate.gov/issues/CLEAR%20Act%20-%20Leg%20Text.pdf>> ,” sponsored by Senators Maria Cantwell < <http://cantwell.senate.gov/>> (D-Washington) and Susan Collins < <http://collins.senate.gov/public/continue.cfm?FuseAction=Home.Home&IsTextOnly=false&IsSkipSplash=true>> (R-Maine). So, the politics of their proposal looks appealing, and the substance of it looks promising – a simple upstream cap-and-trade system (called something else), with 100% of the allowances auctioned (with a “price collar” on allowance prices to reduce cost uncertainty), 75% of the revenue refunded to all legal U.S. residents, each month, on an equal per capita basis as non-taxable income, the other 25% of the revenue dedicated to specified purposes, including “transition assistance,” and some built-in measures of *protection* for particularly energy-intensive, trade-sensitive sectors (not

unlike Waxman-Markey).

That's the good news. The bad news, however, is that the proposal needs to be changed before it can promise to be not only politically attractive, but economically sensible. In particular, as it is currently structured, only producers and importers of fossil fuels can buy the carbon allowances. In an up-stream system – an approach I have endorsed for years <

[http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Stavins\\_HP\\_Discussion\\_Paper\\_2007-13.pdf](http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/rstavins/Papers/Stavins_HP_Discussion_Paper_2007-13.pdf)> – it is producers and importers that are subject to compliance, that is, must eventually hold the allowances. That's fine. But there is no sound reason to exclude other entities from participating in the auction markets; and doing so will greatly reduce market liquidity.

Furthermore, the Senators' proposal says that holders of carbon allowances are actually *prohibited* from creating, selling, purchasing, or trading carbon derivatives, thereby tremendously reducing the efficiency of the market and needlessly driving up costs. While no doubt borne out of a well-intentioned desire to protect consumers (remembering the recent impacts of mortgage-backed securities on financial markets), the Senators' approach is akin to responding to a tragic airplane crash by concluding that the best way to protect consumers from air disasters in the future is simply to ban flying.

These and other important problems with the CLEAR proposal can – in principle – be addressed while maintaining its basic structure and political attraction.

## An Economic Perspective

It is interesting to note that many – perhaps most – economists have long favored the variant of cap-and-trade whereby allowances are auctioned and the auction revenue is used to cut distortionary taxes (on capital and/or labor), thereby reducing the net social cost of the policy. Cap-and-Dividend moves in another direction. This system (which was introduced several years ago in the “Sky Trust” < [http://www.ppionline.org/ppi\\_ci.cfm?knlgAreaID=116&subsecID=149&contentID=3867](http://www.ppionline.org/ppi_ci.cfm?knlgAreaID=116&subsecID=149&contentID=3867)> proposal) has some merits compared with the economist's favorite approach of tax cuts, namely that the Cap-and-Dividend scheme addresses some of the distributional issues that would be raised by using the auction revenue to fund tax cuts (which could favor higher income households). On the other hand, it eliminates the efficiency (cost-effectiveness) gains associated with the tax-cut approach. In fact, Stanford's Larry Goulder has estimated that the tax-and-dividend approach would cost 40% more < [http://www.stanford.edu/%7Egoulder/Goulder-Hafstead-Dworsky\\_Allowance\\_Allocation\\_Paper.pdf](http://www.stanford.edu/%7Egoulder/Goulder-Hafstead-Dworsky_Allowance_Allocation_Paper.pdf)> than an approach of combining an auction of allowances with ideal income tax rate cuts. (By “ideal,” I mean focusing on tax cuts that would lead to the lowest net cost.)

In general, there are sound reasons to seek to *compensate* consumers for the energy price increases that will be brought about by a cap-and-trade system, or any meaningful climate change policy. But it is important *not* to *insulate* consumers from those price increases, as diluting the price signal reduces the effectiveness and drives up the cost of the overall policy. Thus, “compensation” as in Cap-and-Dividend is fine, but “insulation” is not.

The most politically salient question with the Waxman-Markey approach of freely allocating a significant portion of the allowances to the private sector is *how to distribute* (that is, *who gets* ) those allowances which *are* freely allocated. In this regard, contrary to much of the hand-wringing in the press, the deal-making that took place in the House and may still take place in the Senate for shares of free allowances is an example of the useful and important mechanism through which a cap-and-trade system provides the means for a political constituency of support and action to be assembled *without* reducing



the policy's effectiveness or driving up its cost.

The ultimate political question seems to be whether there is greater (geographic and sectoral) political support for the Waxman-Markey (H.R. 2454) approach of substantial free allocations and targeted use of auction revenue, or if there is greater (populist) political support for the full auction combined with lump-sum rebate which characterizes the "cap-and-dividend" approach. Alas, the textbook economics preference — full auction combined with cuts of distortionary taxes — appears to be a political, if not, academic orphan.

--

Mike Tidwell

Director, Chesapeake Climate Action Network

[MTidwell@ChesapeakeClimate.org](mailto:MTidwell@ChesapeakeClimate.org)

cell: 240-460-5838

[www.ChesapeakeClimate.org](http://www.ChesapeakeClimate.org) <<http://www.ChesapeakeClimate.org>>

350

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[uscan-talk@lists.usclimatenetwork.org](mailto:uscan-talk@lists.usclimatenetwork.org)

To be removed from the list, send any message to:

[uscan-talk-unsubscribe@lists.usclimatenetwork.org](mailto:uscan-talk-unsubscribe@lists.usclimatenetwork.org)

For all list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.usclimatenetwork.org/lists/info/uscan-talk>

To subscribe to this or other USCAN lists, contact:

Rhys Gerholdt, Communications Director, USCAN at [rgerholdt@climatenetwork.org](mailto:rgerholdt@climatenetwork.org)

----- End of Forwarded Message

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

10/29/2010 03:10 PM

To info

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Hi Tom,

Were you able to reach Don? Are we set for Tues at 1PM? I will be getting back to Arlington on Sunday the 31st.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/13/2011 07:49 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject State Meeting

Jackie,

The meeting tomorrow is off. I will call you in the morning to discuss.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

05/26/2011 07:54 AM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: checking in on Thursday

This is your pumpkin reporting in..... *am here*. I have a meeting from 0800 to 0900 but should be in/around my office between 0900 an 1200. Give me a call any time that works for you this morning.

Jackie Krieger

[Are you in the office today? If so, mayb...](#)

05/26/2011 07:51:00 AM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 05/26/2011 07:51 AM  
Subject: checking in on Thursday

---

Are you in the office today? If so, maybe we could pick a time for a call? I have a meeting at 8:30 that I must attend, others that are flexible. Let me know - otherwise as it is late May I fear that you will turn into a pumpkin .....

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**  
03/31/2011 10:23 AM

To Jackie Krieger  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Re: FCCC: Mitigation presentation

Thanks so much Jackie.

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/07/2011 01:35 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: An Announcement

Had not heard. Thx.

Jackie Krieger

[In case you haven't heard. Jackie Krieg...](#)

02/07/2011 01:12:57 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/07/2011 01:12 PM  
Subject: Fw: An Announcement

---

In case you haven't heard.

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

----- Forwarded by Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US on 02/07/2011 01:09 PM -----

From: Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: OAR-OAP-CCD-ALL  
Date: 02/07/2011 01:04 PM  
Subject: An Announcement

---

Hi everyone,

It is with very mixed emotions that I am writing to tell you that I am resigning from EPA. I have accepted an offer to work as an independent consultant for The Energy Foundation in support of their efforts to build commitment for action on clean energy and climate change. My decision was a very difficult one, because I am deeply committed to EPA's mission and to the work of CCD. In the end, however, I felt that this was an opportunity I could not refuse. After 22 years at EPA, I am looking forward to using my skills in different ways and to better integrating several of my personal priorities into my work.

My last day in the office will be February 25<sup>th</sup>, and I expect an announcement regarding an acting director to be made well in advance of that date. While recognizing that this is not my farewell note, I do want to say that I have truly enjoyed my time working with all of you. I have always appreciated that I get to spend my work days with such smart, dedicated, fun people, and that I have had a chance to make a difference on an issue as important as climate change. I will certainly miss all of you and EPA.

Dina

Dina Kruger  
Director, Climate Change Division  
USEPA

202-343-9039 (phone)  
202-343-2290 (fax)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/18/2011 04:49 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC

OK Jackie if you think that's best. I would want to make it as early as possible next week.

Jackie Krieger

Hi John. About this meeting, can we re...

01/18/2011 03:49:05 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Beth Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/18/2011 03:49 PM  
Subject: Fw: Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC

Hi John. About this meeting, can we reschedule for next week? A few reasons: Dina will have a hard time making it back from Baltimore by 11 (I understand she mentioned this to you at lunch today). Also, it could be helpful to have our meeting with you after JPs briefing tomorrow (that Leif/Kim will be attending on behalf of OAR). Lastly, if we're successful in rescheduling the meeting with Dan and JP (from last Fri) for sometime soon, we'd also have the benefit of that discussion as context for this meeting with you. What do you think?

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

----- Forwarded by Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US on 01/18/2011 03:37 PM -----

**Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC**

**Wed 01/19/2011 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM**

Attendance is **required** for Jackie Krieger

Chair: **John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

Sent By: **Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US**

Rooms: ARN-OAR-Room-1332A/DC-ARN-OAR@EPA

**John Beale** has sent updated information; room has changed

Required:

Beth Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Irving/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Breck Milroy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Leif Hockstad/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Marta Montoro/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Reid Harvey/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Sue Stendebach/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Keating/DC/USEPA/US@EPA



Description	

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/18/2011 05:10 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC

Great. Thx.

Jackie Krieger

[I'd rather not have to postpone, but do t...](#)

01/18/2011 04:58:21 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/18/2011 04:58 PM  
Subject: Re: Fw: Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC

---

I'd rather not have to postpone, but do think that it is critical for Dina to be there in the room with you and her staff. And she can't do that at 11. If we can find a time earlier than Monday, let's do that. I can talk with Addie so that it's not delayed any longer than Monday at the latest, OK?

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

John Beale

[OK Jackie if you think that's best. I woul...](#)

01/18/2011 04:50:00 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/18/2011 04:50 PM  
Subject: Re: Fw: Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC

---

OK Jackie if you think that's best. I would want to make it as early as possible next week.

Jackie Krieger

[Hi John. About this meeting, can we re...](#)

01/18/2011 03:49:05 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Beth Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/18/2011 03:49 PM  
Subject: Fw: Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV, Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC

---

Hi John. About this meeting, can we reschedule for next week? A few reasons: Dina will have a hard time making it back from Baltimore by 11 (I understand she mentioned this to you at lunch today). Also, it could be helpful to have our meeting with you after JPs briefing tomorrow (that Leif/Kim will be attending on behalf of OAR). Lastly, if we're successful in rescheduling the meeting with Dan and JP (from last Fri) for sometime soon, we'd also have the benefit of that discussion as context for this meeting with you. What do you think?

Jackie Krieger

Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

----- Forwarded by Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US on 01/18/2011 03:37 PM -----

**Information Update - Room has changed: OAP Discussion on MRV,  
Emission Development Strategies, and UNFCCC**

**Wed 01/19/2011 11:00 AM - 12:00**

**PM**

Attendance is **required** for Jackie Krieger

Chair: **John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

Sent By: **Addie Johnson/DC/USEPA/US**

Rooms: ARN-OAR-Room-1332A/DC-ARN-OAR@EPA

**John Beale** has sent updated information; room has changed

Required:

Beth Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Irving/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Breck  
Milroy/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Dina Kruger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jackie  
Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Leif Hockstad/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Marta  
Montoro/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Reid  
Harvey/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Sue Stendebach/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry  
Keating/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

**Description**

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/03/2011 02:45 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: global methane initiative

Yep-late next week would be best. Thx.

Jackie Krieger

John : Should we go ahead and sched...

02/03/2011 02:43:19 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Maurice LeFranc/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/03/2011 02:43 PM  
Subject: global methane initiative

---

John : Should we go ahead and schedule that more in-depth GMI discussion with you? We're ready on this end, mid- to late-next week (or later) would work. Should we go ahead and ask Addie to set something up?

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

07/10/2009 03:49 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: I left you a voice mail re answer to your question - eom

Thanks Jackie. I will check it out.

Jackie Krieger

Jackie Krieger Office of Atmospheric Pr...

07/10/2009 03:45:56 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/10/2009 03:45 PM  
Subject: I left you a voice mail re answer to your question - eom

---

Jackie Krieger  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9055  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/10/2009 03:51 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: I left you a voice mail re answer to your question - eom

I'm offended.....

Jackie Krieger

[Geez. Didn't think I'd hear from you for...](#)

07/10/2009 03:50:15 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/10/2009 03:50 PM  
Subject: Re: I left you a voice mail re answer to your question - eom

---

Geez. Didn't think I'd hear from you for weeks!

Jackie Krieger  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9055  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

John Beale

[Thanks Jackie. I will check it out.](#)

07/10/2009 03:49:01 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/10/2009 03:49 PM  
Subject: Re: I left you a voice mail re answer to your question - eom

---

Thanks Jackie. I will check it out.

Jackie Krieger

[Jackie Krieger Office of Atmospheric Pr...](#)

07/10/2009 03:45:56 PM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/10/2009 03:45 PM  
Subject: I left you a voice mail re answer to your question - eom

---

Jackie Krieger  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9055  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/12/2011 01:42 PM

To Jackie Krieger

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Final version of presentation

Thanks Jackie.

Jackie Krieger

As requested. Hopefully this will be re...

01/12/2011 11:48:57 AM

From: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/12/2011 11:48 AM  
Subject: Fw: Final version of presentation

---

As requested. Hopefully this will be revised and redistributed regularly.

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)

----- Forwarded by Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US on 01/12/2011 11:47 AM -----

From: Jonathan Lubetsky/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kristinn Vazquez/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Luke Hall-Jordan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Benjamin Hengst/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/12/2011 11:37 AM  
Subject: Final version of presentation

---

Thank you for helping out last minute. Here is the version she left with for the hill.  
[attachment "Gina\_GHG Hill 1\_12\_11 v2.ppt" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/08/2011 10:08 AM

To Jackie Krieger, "Paul Gunning"

cc

bcc

Subject Re: LPJ-Hedegaard meeting

Sorry Jackie and Paul, I just found out I will not be able to get back for the 11 Am meeting. Maurice will cover for me.

Sorry for the late notice. Hope Paul can make it.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Jackie Krieger

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jackie Krieger

**Sent:** 04/08/2011 09:18 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** LPJ-Hedegaard meeting

Hi John. Just wondering about who will be attending the meeting this morning. Are you? Paul Gunning is off-site at a retreat and is wondering if he should come back for the meeting (in follow up to a discussion he had with you yesterday after the pre-brief with Gina). Let me know what you think - thanks!

Jackie Krieger  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Atmospheric Programs  
Phone: (202) 343-9905  
Fax: (202) 343-2210  
E-Mail: [krieger.jackie@epa.gov](mailto:krieger.jackie@epa.gov)



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

03/09/2010 08:53 AM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Congrats

Looks like next week Jacob. Do you need something before then?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Jacob Moss

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jacob Moss

**Sent:** 03/08/2010 08:24 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Congrats

Thanks, John. Will you be around this week at all, or was it next week when you're back in town?

Jacob E. Moss | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 564 1408 | moss.jacob@epa.gov

John Beale

Jacob, Heard from Rob that the briefing...

03/07/2010 07:02:44 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Jacob Moss" <moss.jacob@epa.gov>  
Date: 03/07/2010 07:02 AM  
Subject: Congrats

---

Jacob,

Heard from Rob that the briefing for the Admin went very. Congratulation and great job, again.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

07/23/2010 01:58 PM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: person to talk to

Thanks for the idea. I have read some of his work but did not know he had an enlighten view of the growth syndrome.

On another subject. I will be in town next week and am wondering if you, Patrick, and I could have lunch on Tues. What do you think?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Jacob Moss

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jacob Moss

**Sent:** 07/23/2010 11:10 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** person to talk to

Ken Rogoff was my macroecon prof at Princeton, and now at Harvard. Ran across this article looking at something else, and thought you might be interested. It's mostly on carbon tax, but he sees it in context of a "completely unsustainable" growth model for our economy. He may or may not be useful to talk to, but he's a very smart fellow.

<http://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/rogoff70/English>

**Jacob E. Moss** | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 564 1408 | [moss.jacob@epa.gov](mailto:moss.jacob@epa.gov)

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/23/2010 04:57 PM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: person to talk to

Great! I will check with Patrick.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Jacob Moss

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jacob Moss

**Sent:** 07/23/2010 02:19 PM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: person to talk to

Tues lunch works for me.

Jacob E. Moss | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 564 1408 | [moss.jacob@epa.gov](mailto:moss.jacob@epa.gov)

John Beale

[Thanks for the idea. I have read some...](#)

07/23/2010 01:58:57 PM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Jacob Moss/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/23/2010 01:58 PM  
Subject: Re: person to talk to

---

Thanks for the idea. I have read some of his work but did not know he had an enlighten view of the growth syndrome.

On another subject. I will be in town next week and am wondering if you, Patrick, and I could have lunch on Tues. What do you think?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Jacob Moss

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jacob Moss

**Sent:** 07/23/2010 11:10 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** person to talk to

Ken Rogoff was my macroecon prof at Princeton, and now at Harvard. Ran across this article looking at something else, and thought you might be interested. It's mostly on carbon tax, but he sees it in context of a "completely unsustainable" growth model for our economy. He may or may not be useful to talk to, but he's a very smart fellow.

<http://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/rogoff70/English>

Jacob E. Moss | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 564 1408 | [moss.jacob@epa.gov](mailto:moss.jacob@epa.gov)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/30/2009 10:13 AM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: prince

Thanks Jacob. Don't know him. Interesting about Chuck.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Jacob Moss

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jacob Moss

**Sent:** 06/30/2009 07:55 AM EDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** prince

Do you know Dean at Aprovecho? They won an Ashden Award for their stove manufacturing business in China (which Envirofit uses). I deleted most of the email chain, but kept the most recent post that notes Prince Charles' interest in stoves. Thought that may be helpful for some of your other discussions.

**Jacob E. Moss** | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 501 0394 | [moss.jacob@epa.gov](mailto:moss.jacob@epa.gov)

----- Forwarded by Jacob Moss/DC/USEPA/US on 06/30/2009 07:52 AM -----

From: Dean Still <[dstill@epud.net](mailto:dstill@epud.net)>  
To: Paul S Anderson <[psanders@ilstu.edu](mailto:psanders@ilstu.edu)>  
Cc: [wastemin@net1plus.com](mailto:wastemin@net1plus.com), ETHOS - Listserv <[ethos@vrac.iastate.edu](mailto:ethos@vrac.iastate.edu)>, STOVES - Listserv <[STOVES@listserv.repp.org](mailto:STOVES@listserv.repp.org)>  
Date: 06/29/2009 11:09 PM  
Subject: Re: [Stoves] Stove Camp participants and procedures

---

Hi Paul,

We are very much looking forward to Stove Camp this year and if my hero Tom Reed is there I'll be extra glad! Prince Charles gave a wonderful and inspiring talk at the Ashden Awards after telling me that he had studied stoves for thirty years. He talked about climate change and I came back to the lab thinking about deforestation, IAP, and carbon neutral energy. Stoves address all three issues. This year we are concentrating on clean burning around health trying to show folks that cooking can be done safely with biomass. Tom Reed's stove seems one of the prime chances for success.

I'll answer your questions in CAPS in your text. Looking forward to seeing everyone and watching trains with Bill Martin.

DEAN

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/31/2009 09:00 AM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: this week

Thanks for your note Jacob. Will not be in today. Will try to assure Friday.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** Jacob Moss

**Sent:** 03/30/2009 01:34 PM EDT

**To:** beale.john@epa.gov

**Subject:** this week

Just spoke with Rob who said you should be in this week. Just an FYI that I'll be home on Wed with Jessie and at World Bank all day and evening on Thursday. So hopefully if you are in it will cover some part of tomorrow or Friday so we can chat in person.

Jacob E. Moss | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 501 0394 | moss.jacob@epa.gov

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/25/2010 09:38 AM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: [climate and politics] Australian perspective on the oil spill

Great

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

01/08/2010 01:25 PM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: PBS Newshour piece on cookstoves

Thanks Jacob

Jacob Moss

More great cookstoves press -- this tim...

01/08/2010 01:18:46 PM

From: Jacob Moss/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Gina McCarthy/DC/USEPA/US, Rob Brenner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Beth  
Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Millett/DC/USEPA/US, Janet  
McCabe/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Joseph Goffman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 01/08/2010 01:18 PM  
Subject: PBS Newshour piece on cookstoves

---

More great cookstoves press -- this time a nice video piece in India from the PBS Newshour on 12/17. Starts with climate and black carbon, and works into health, market solutions, village financing, etc. Lots of PCIA partners in it, including Ramanathan, EnviroFit, TERI, and Kalpana Balakrishnan (a great epidemiologist at a university in Chennai).

- Jacob

<http://www.pciaonline.org/media/india-battling-global-warming-one-stove-time>

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/04/2010 06:11 PM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Tomorrow

No problem. Let's see what tomorrow brings. Not sure any of us will be here due to weather.

Jacob Moss

Sorry I couldn't chat now. Jessie has h...

02/04/2010 04:35:31 PM

From: Jacob Moss/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>  
Date: 02/04/2010 04:35 PM  
Subject: Tomorrow

---

Sorry I couldn't chat now. Jessie has her 1st interview (!) for pre-school next year

What time is good to chat tomorrow? I am mtg someone at 8am, and I have a lunch with someone from World Bank. Am otherwise free all day.

Jacob E. Moss | U.S. EPA | office: +1 202 564 1388 | cell: +1 202 236 8122



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/19/2009 08:37 AM

To Lisa Heinzerling

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

Lisa,

I am so sorry that I will not be able to keep our appointment this afternoon. I will ask my assistant to contact yours to attempt to set something up for next week.

The fates seem to have been against us lately.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/10/2009 09:30 AM

To Lisa Heinzerling

cc

bcc

Subject Re: must postpone meeting

Lisa,

No problem. I will ask my assistant to contact Georgia to set something up. Hang in there.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Lisa Heinzerling

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lisa Heinzerling

**Sent:** 02/10/2009 08:28 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** must postpone meeting

John,

As I feared, something has come up and I need to postpone our meeting, scheduled for 10:30 today. I will ask my assistant, Georgia Bednar, to find a time soon for us to meet. Thanks for your understanding.

Best,

Lisa

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/06/2009 02:22 PM

To Lisa Heinzerling

cc

bcc

Subject Re: suggest you two meet soon

Hi Lisa,

That would be great. Do you have any time available on Tues?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Lisa Heinzerling

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lisa Heinzerling

**Sent:** 02/06/2009 08:22 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** David McIntosh

**Subject:** Re: suggest you two meet soon

John, It'd be great to see you again. Probably best if we plan a time, given my schedule. -- Best, Lisa

David McIntosh [Hi Lisa, John Beale \(cc'd here\) just sto...](#) 02/05/2009 02:01:44 PM

**David**

**McIntosh/DC/USEPA/US**

02/05/2009 02:01 PM

To Lisa Heinzerling/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject suggest you two meet soon

Hi Lisa,

John Beale (cc'd here) just stopped by to introduce himself, and we had a nice chat. If you have a half-hour at some point in the next few days, I'd suggest that you two sit down for an introductory hello as well.

-D

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/08/2009 04:46 PM

To Lisa Heinzerling

cc

bcc

Subject Re: suggest you two meet soon

1030 on Tues works for me. I plan on being at EPA all day on Tues. Believe me I understand the "so far" in your note. Feel free to adjust the time if you need to. Looking forward to see you.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Lisa Heinzerling

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lisa Heinzerling

**Sent:** 02/08/2009 03:17 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: suggest you two meet soon

Hi John -- So far, it looks like I'm available at 10:30. Does that work?


John Beale      [Hi Lisa, That would be great. Do you ha...](#)      02/06/2009 02:21:59 PM

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/06/2009 02:22 PM

To Lisa Heinzerling/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

Subject Re: suggest you two meet soon 

Hi Lisa,

That would be great. Do you have any time available on Tues?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Lisa Heinzerling

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lisa Heinzerling

**Sent:** 02/06/2009 08:22 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** David McIntosh

**Subject:** Re: suggest you two meet soon

John, It'd be great to see you again. Probably best if we plan a time, given my schedule. -- Best, Lisa

David McIntosh      [Hi Lisa, John Beale \(cc'd here\) just sto...](#)      02/05/2009 02:01:44 PM

David

McIntosh/DC/USEPA/US

02/05/2009 02:01 PM

To Lisa Heinzerling/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

cc John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject suggest you two meet soon

Hi Lisa,

John Beale (cc'd here) just stopped by to introduce himself, and we had a nice chat. If you have a half-hour at some point in the next few days, I'd suggest that you two sit down for an introductory hello as

well.  
-D

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**  
02/13/2009 09:23 AM

To Lisa Heinzerling  
cc Addie Johnson  
bcc  
Subject Re: any chance we could get together today, later in the afternoon, say 5?

Lisa,

No problem about Tues. It may actually be better because I think we could use more than 30 minutes. I am sorry that I can not get into EPA today. I am committed out here all day.

I could meet with you any time after 3 next Tues, or any time Wed or Thurs afternoon

I hope you are not working on Monday. I will be (that tells you something about my management skills) and could probably stop by your office if you were planning on being there.

Let me know what is best for you.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Lisa Heinzerling

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lisa Heinzerling  
**Sent:** 02/13/2009 08:09 AM EST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Cc:** Georgia Bednar  
**Subject:** any chance we could get together today, later in the afternoon, say 5?

I made a mistake and didn't include on my EPA calendar an event from my "old" calendar. That event is scheduled for 10:00 Tuesday morning, when you and I are scheduled to meet. Any chance you're free around today? I'm sorry about this confusion; it's entirely my fault.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/06/2012 06:50 AM

To Lydia Wegman

cc

bcc

Subject Re: I left you a voice mail on your cell but in case you don't get to it, I wanted to let you know

Thanks for your note and voice mail Lydia. I will call you later today.

I appreciate your kind thoughts. You are a very special person to me and I hope we will be able to stay in touch over the years to come. You and Jeff and a hand full of folks in DC EPA mean so much to me.

You are such a strong person and an unfailing force for the public interest, honoring the science, and treating all with the respect and courtesy deserved. I am ashamed to realize that I have never told you how much of an inspiration you have been to me over the years. You are a role model for me in many ways.

Thanks Lydia and a big hug back to you.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Lydia Wegman

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Lydia Wegman

**Sent:** 01/05/2012 06:13 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** I left you a voicemail on your cell but in case you don't get to it, I wanted to let you know

that I was sorry to not talk to you at Jeff's party. I had fully expected to have a chance to go over to where you were standing and give you a hug, but by the time I looked over to find you, you were gone. I'm really sorry I missed doing that and was hoping I might see you again tomorrow AM if you were coming here to meet Harnett and Bachmann. It meant a lot to me that we had time to talk today and have the conversation we had. I don't know when I'll see you again John, so if I don't get to see you tomorrow, please know that I am sending you a hug and hoping that we'll see each other again before too long.

Lydia

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

12/30/2011 10:20 AM

To Lydia Wegman

cc

bcc

Subject Lunch?

Hi Lydia,

I hope all is well with you and your family and that the holidays are bring joy and peace to you.

I am sorry for your sake to tell you that you haven't seen the last of me yet. I am planning on coming to RTP for Jeff's party next week and wondering if you would have time to have lunch with me either Thursday or Friday?

Looking forward to seeing you .



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/26/2012 03:27 PM

To mackay.cheryl

cc

bcc

Subject Diann's Party

Thanks for the invite Cheryl. I do plan on attending.

Thanks again

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

04/23/2010 01:59 PM

To Marlene White

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Apology

No problem Marlene. Good luck in the space wars battle.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Marlene White

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Marlene White

**Sent:** 04/23/2010 12:57 PM CDT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Apology

I received your voice message and sincerely apologize to you regarding my email message. I did not spell the appropriate individual's name correctly that I needed to contact here. Again, my sincerest apologies. It will not happen again. Have a great weekend.

Marlene R. White, SEE  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7  
Air and Waste Management Division  
901 N 5th Street  
Kansas City, KS 66101  
white.marlene@epa.gov  
(913) 551-7292

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/23/2009 11:07 AM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Bkf

715 is great. See you at the dinner.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 02/23/2009 09:46 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Bkf

I did not see this until after I sent you my message--sorry about that. But looks like tomorrow works for both of us. Is 7:15 ok?

Rob

John Beale

RB Would Tues morning work for you?

02/23/2009 08:10:58 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>  
Date: 02/23/2009 08:10 AM  
Subject: Bkf

---

RB

Would Tues morning work for you?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/23/2009 07:56 PM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Bkf

RB

Looks like I have an all nighter ahead. Can we reschedule bk for Thur?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale

**Sent:** 02/23/2009 11:07 AM EST

**To:** Rob Brenner

**Subject:** Re: Bkf

715 is great. See you at the dinner.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 02/23/2009 09:46 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Cc:** "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Bkf

I did not see this until after I sent you my message--sorry about that. But looks like tomorrow works for both of us. Is 7:15 ok?

Rob

John Beale

RB Would Tues morning work for you?

02/23/2009 08:10:58 AM

From: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
To: "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>  
Date: 02/23/2009 08:10 AM  
Subject: Bkf

---

RB

Would Tues morning work for you?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/29/2009 06:49 AM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: breakfast

Its ok for me. See you in about 30 minutes.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/28/2009 03:53 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** breakfast

Hi John,

I'm hoping we're still on for tomorrow morning at 7:15--lot's to talk about. Let me know if that still works for you (and if not, that's ok--we'll find another time.)

Rob

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/31/2009 11:25 AM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Breakfast

Great!

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/31/2009 10:31 AM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Re: Breakfast

Sure John,

Tomorrow would be better. We can set up a time when we see each other tonight.

Rob

John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale

**Sent:** 01/31/2009 09:42 AM EST

**To:** "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Breakfast

RB,

Would you be able to do another breakfast tomorrow or Monday morning?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/29/2009 08:06 PM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: David McIntosh

10 would be best for me. Thanks RB

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/29/2009 07:31 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** David McIntosh

Hi John,

David could see you at either 10 or 4 on Monday. Which works best for you?

Rob

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/05/2009 09:54 AM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Happy New Year

Thanks Rob and Happy New Year to you and Barb. We also had a great time. Today is my first day back at work. I do have to leave on another trip this week (Thurs) and our trip to Tahiti is in question.

Have not heard back from Guzy since I propoded dates. Will not happen today but keep Tues and Wed free if possible.

See you at EPA tomorrow if I don't talk with you today.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 01/05/2009 08:12 AM EST

**To:** "John Beale" <beale.john@epa.gov>

**Subject:** Happy New Year

Hi John,

We're back after a very good couple weeks.  
We had ideal weather, and most importantly Barb is feeling much better.

I hope you and Nancy also had a good couple weeks and that you managed to avoid being called away.

I've kept lunch time open today and tomorrow (and could still make it work on Wednesday too). Just let me know if you hear from Gary.

Happy New Year,

Rob



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/24/2009 07:12 AM

To Rob Brenner

cc

bcc

Subject Re: President Obama Announces Key Administration Posts

Thanks RB

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Rob Brenner

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Rob Brenner

**Sent:** 02/23/2009 08:32 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Fw: President Obama Announces Key Administration Posts

----- Forwarded by Rob Brenner/DC/USEPA/US on 02/23/2009 08:31 PM -----

From: "Vickie Patton" <vpatton@edf.org>

To: "Vickie Patton" <vpatton@edf.org>

Date: 02/23/2009 05:51 PM

Subject: President Obama Announces Key Administration Posts

THE WHITE HOUSE  
Office of the Press Secretary

---

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

February 23, 2009

President Obama Announces Key Administration Posts

WASHINGTON - Today, President Barack Obama announced his intent to nominate the following individuals for key administration posts: Kathleen A. Merrigan, Deputy Secretary of the Department of Agriculture; Jon Cannon, Deputy Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency; Seth Harris, Deputy Secretary of the Department of Labor; John Morton, Assistant Secretary for Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security; Dr. Ashton Carter, Nominee for Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, Department of Defense; April Boyd, Nominee for Assistant Secretary for Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, Department of Commerce; and Tom Strickland, Chief of Staff and Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks, Department of the Interior. The White House also announced today that Steve Rattner will serve as a Counselor to the Secretary of the Treasury.

President Obama said, "I am grateful that these distinguished men and women have chosen to put their unique talents and expertise to work serving our country. Together, I am confident that we will be able to tackle the challenges of our time as we work to right our economy and

enact policies that give America's working families the relief they need."

President Obama announced his intent to nominate the follow individuals today:

Jon Cannon, Nominee for Deputy Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency Cannon is currently a professor of environmental law as well as the director of the Environmental and Land Use Law Program at the University of Virginia. Cannon has served as senior counsel at Beveridge & Diamond law firm. Prior to joining the University of Virginia, Cannon served in numerous positions within the EPA during the Reagan, George H.W. Bush, and Clinton administrations, eventually rising to general counsel. Prior to serving as general counsel, Cannon was deputy general counsel for Litigation and Regional Operations, Deputy Assistant Administrator for Civil Enforcement, Deputy Assistant Administrator of the Office of Solid Waste Emergency Response (OSWER), Acting Assistant Administrator for OSWER, Assistant Administrator for Administration and Resource Management and Chief Financial Officer. Cannon graduated with a BA from Williams College in 1967 and a J.D. from University of Pennsylvania in 1974.

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/05/2009 02:57 PM

To simmons.joseph

cc

bcc

Subject Test

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/02/2009 06:22 AM

To "Charles Moore"

cc

bcc

Subject Re: white house email

Charly,

Sorry but I do not know his email. I have not even been able to get Browner's yet. I will be in DC on Wed and can see if I can find anything out then if you would like.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Charles Moore" [charly.moore@verizon.net]

**Sent:** 02/01/2009 06:09 PM EST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** white house email

Hi John:

I'm going to draft a short email to Pete Rouse this week and wondering if you have an idea about what his email might be. I had his transition email but assume that one is dead.

I have a friend who has gone on the domestic policy council and her email is [mcoven@who.eop.gov](mailto:mcoven@who.eop.gov)

Does that formulation look right to you? I'm concerned about sending an email to Pete that doesn't go through but doesn't bounce back either.

Thanks John.

Charly

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/12/2009 08:35 AM

To "Dennis"

cc

bcc

Subject Re: are you...

Hey Dennis,

Just my luck, you are in NVA and I am in south asia. I don't get back until late next week. Sorry to miss you. Hope you have a great time and that all your travels went well. I hear the weather is pretty nice there.

Thanks for the attempt.....

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

----- Original Message -----

From: Dennis [dcourtier@gmail.com]

Sent: 02/11/2009 03:39 PM CST

To: John Beale; John Beale <jcbeale@aol.com>

Subject: are you...

...around DC, by any chance?

Just got back from meetings in Berlin, going to be in NOVA till Sunday. I realize it is against all odds that you'd actually be home, but I thought I'd check...  
DC

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/13/2009 07:27 AM

To "Richard Mills"

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Meeting wednesday

Richard,

Yes Wed lunch is still good. Would 1PM be too late for you? I could meet you anywhere but I will be in the Whitehall area. How about that place we had lunch last time? Don't remember the name but I think it was a converted church.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** richard mills [rmills\_iuappa@yahoo.co.uk]

**Sent:** 01/13/2009 12:11 PM GMT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Meeting wednesday

John

I am assuming that Wednesday lunchtime is still OK.

Let me know what arrangements would be convenient for you.

Richard

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**  
01/13/2009 11:56 AM

To "Richard Mills"  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Re: Meeting wednesday

Sound good. See you there at 1 PM.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** richard mills [rmills\_iuappa@yahoo.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 01/13/2009 01:04 PM GMT  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** Re: Meeting wednesday

John

So much for the recession. .... The Footstool is fully booked on Wednesday.

I have made a reservation for 1 pm for the Tapster Wine Bar, 3 Brewers Street, Buckingham Gate, westminster.

From Parliament Square, you go down Victoria Street, and, half-way down, turn right into Buckingham Gate. The Tapster (which is also underground!) is on the right, at junction with Caxton Street (also just by a former church!)

Call me if you have any problems finding it.

Richard

---

**From:** richard mills <rmills\_iuappa@yahoo.co.uk>  
**To:** Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 13 January, 2009 12:32:44  
**Subject:** Re: Meeting wednesday

John

1 pm suits me very well. The place is the Footstool restaurant in the crypt of St John's Church in Smith Square, which is a short walk from Parliament Square. Give me a call on the day if you have any difficulty finding it.

I will make a reservation.

Richard

---

**From:** "Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov" <Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov>  
**To:** Richard Mills <rmills\_iuappa@yahoo.co.uk>

**Sent:** Tuesday, 13 January, 2009 12:27:49

**Subject:** Re: Meeting wednesday

Richard,

Yes Wed lunch is still good. Would 1PM be too late for you? I could meet you anywhere but I will be in the Whitehall area. How about that place we had lunch last time? Don't remember the name but I think it was a converted church.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** richard mills [rmills\_iuappa@yahoo.co.uk]

**Sent:** 01/13/2009 12:11 PM GMT

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** Meeting wednesday

John

I am assuming that Wednesday lunchtime is still OK.

Let me know what arrangements would be convenient for you.

Richard



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/25/2009 04:49 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Bk

Hi RB

Does 715 tomorrow still work for you?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/23/2009 08:10 AM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Bkf

RB

Would Tues morning work for you?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/25/2009 01:21 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Breakfast

Could you do breakfast either Tues. or Thursday morning?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/31/2009 09:42 AM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Breakfast

RB,

Would you be able to do another breakfast tomorrow or Monday morning?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/14/2009 07:41 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Dinner

RB

Re: dinner tomorrow night. Calypso will be coming with us so order lots. I know that warms your heart. Is 630 the correct time?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/20/2009 08:50 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Dinner

RB

That sounds good. Have a good trip and if the timing does not work for you and Barb just let us know.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/23/2009 12:37 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Friday Night

Hi RB

Cannot do Friday night tonight. I think I will still be in town tomorrow night. Could y'all do it tomorrow?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/29/2009 06:59 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Friday Night

RB

We are good for Sat. If you are.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/06/2009 10:47 AM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Friday Night

I am up for Fri night if you and Barb are. Likely to be just me.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/13/2009 02:25 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Friday Night

RB

It turns out I can do it tonight. Is it to late to reschedule for tomorrow night?

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/20/2009 08:08 AM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Friday Night

Hi RB

Unable to do dinner tonight or Sat night. Do yall have any interest in Sun night? I think I will be on another trip by next weekend.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/23/2009 10:25 PM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Friday Night

Hey RB

Apparently this message I sent you earlier today did not get through to you. Thanks for your note. What do you think about Sat night?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
John Beale

----- Original Message -----

**From:** John Beale  
**Sent:** 01/23/2009 12:37 PM EST  
**To:** "Rob Brenner" <brenner.rob@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Friday Night

Hi RB

Cannot do Friday night tonight. I think I will still be in town tomorrow night. Could y'all do it tomorrow?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

01/06/2009 08:10 AM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Guzy

Hi RB,

Still no word from Guzy. I called him again yesterday but no response. Perhaps he has been advised to limit contact with carrear typs untill all political appointments are settlted.

In any case recent events have filled my day out here. I will not get into EPA today.. Will see if I hear from Guzy about tomorrow now that today is booked.

I will try to call you this evening to catch up.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/02/2009 06:51 AM

To "Rob Brenner"

cc

bcc

Subject Meeting

RB,

Sorry I had to postpone meeting with David. I had to come out here about 3AM and will be stuck here at least all day and possibly tomorrow. With tomorrow being questionable I thought it best to go for the relatively safer days of Wed or Thurs. I hope Wed works for him.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US  
01/24/2009 07:36 AM

To "Theta"  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: From Lisa P. Jackson, Administrator-designate

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services  
Mass Mailer

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Mass Mailer  
**Sent:** 01/23/2009 11:45 AM EST  
**To:** All EPA Employees  
**Subject:** From Lisa P. Jackson, Administrator-designate

**EPA@Work**

[All Hands Email-Archive](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

**This message is being sent to all EPA Employees.**

**Please do not reply to this mass mailing.**

\*\*\*\*\*



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460**

**MEMORANDUM**

**DATE:** January 23, 2009  
**TO:** All EPA Employees  
**FROM:** Lisa P. Jackson, Administrator-designate

I can think of no higher calling or privilege than rejoining EPA as your

Administrator. I am grateful and humbled that President Obama has given me this honor. With his election and with my appointment, President Obama has dramatically changed the face of American environmentalism. With your help, we can now change the face of the environment as well.

During my 21 years in public service, I have witnessed firsthand the dedication and professionalism of EPA's workforce. Thousands of committed, hard-working and talented employees for whom protecting the environment is a calling, not just a job, have made EPA a driving force in environmental protection since 1970.

EPA can meet the nation's environmental challenges only if our employees are fully engaged partners in our shared mission. That's why I will make respect for the EPA workforce a bedrock principle of my tenure. I will look to you every day for ideas, advice and expertise. EPA should once again be the workplace of choice for veteran public servants and also talented young people beginning careers in environmental protection – just as it was for me when I first joined EPA shortly after graduate school.

In outlining his agenda for the environment, President Obama has articulated three values that he expects EPA to uphold. These values will shape everything I do.

Science must be the backbone for EPA programs. The public health and environmental laws that Congress has enacted depend on rigorous adherence to the best available science. The President believes that when EPA addresses scientific issues, it should rely on the expert judgment of the Agency's career scientists and independent advisors. When scientific judgments are suppressed, misrepresented or distorted by political agendas, Americans can lose faith in their government to provide strong public health and environmental protection.

The laws that Congress has written and directed EPA to implement leave room for policy judgments. However, policy decisions should not be disguised as scientific findings. I pledge that I will not compromise the integrity of EPA's experts in order to advance a preference for a particular regulatory outcome.

EPA must follow the rule of law. The President recognizes that respect for Congressional mandates and judicial decisions is the hallmark of a principled regulatory agency. Under our environmental laws, EPA has room to exercise discretion, and Congress has often looked to EPA to fill in the details of general policies. However, EPA needs to exercise policy discretion in good faith and in keeping with the directives of Congress and the courts. When



Congress has been explicit, EPA cannot misinterpret or ignore the language Congress has used. When a court has determined EPA's responsibilities under our governing statutes, EPA cannot turn a blind eye to the court's decision or procrastinate in complying.

EPA's actions must be transparent. In 1983, EPA Administrator Ruckelshaus promised that EPA would operate "in a fishbowl" and "will attempt to communicate with everyone from the environmentalists to those we regulate, and we will do so as openly as possible."

I embrace this philosophy. Public trust in the Agency demands that we reach out to all stakeholders fairly and impartially, that we consider the views and data presented carefully and objectively, and that we fully disclose the information that forms the bases for our decisions. I pledge that we will carry out the work of the Agency in public view so that the door is open to all interested parties and that there is no doubt why we are acting and how we arrived at our decisions.

We must take special pains to connect with those who have been historically underrepresented in EPA decision making, including the disenfranchised in our cities and rural areas, communities of color, native Americans, people disproportionately impacted by pollution, and small businesses, cities and towns working to meet their environmental responsibilities. Like all Americans, they deserve an EPA with an open mind, a big heart and a willingness to listen.

As your Administrator, I will uphold the values of scientific integrity, rule of law and transparency every day. If ever you feel I am not meeting this commitment, I expect you to let me know.

Many vital tasks lie before us in every aspect of EPA's programs. As I develop my agenda, I will be seeking your guidance on the tasks that are most urgent in protecting public health and the environment and on the strategies that EPA can adopt to maximize our effectiveness and the expertise of our talented employees. At the outset, I would like to highlight five priorities that will receive my personal attention:

Reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The President has pledged to make responding to the threat of climate change a high priority of his administration. He is confident that we can transition to a low-carbon economy while creating jobs and making the investment we need to emerge from the current recession and create a strong foundation for future growth. I share this vision. EPA will stand ready to help Congress craft strong, science-based climate legislation that fulfills the vision of the

President. As Congress does its work, we will move ahead to comply with the Supreme Court's decision recognizing EPA's obligation to address climate change under the Clean Air Act.

Improving air quality. The nation continues to face serious air pollution challenges, with large areas of the country out of attainment with air-quality standards and many communities facing the threat of toxic air pollution. Science shows that people's health is at stake. We will plug the gaps in our regulatory system as science and the law demand.

Managing chemical risks. More than 30 years after Congress enacted the Toxic Substances Control Act, it is clear that we are not doing an adequate job of assessing and managing the risks of chemicals in consumer products, the workplace and the environment. It is now time to revise and strengthen EPA's chemicals management and risk assessment programs.

Cleaning up hazardous-waste sites. EPA will strive to accelerate the pace of cleanup at the hundreds of contaminated sites across the country. Turning these blighted properties into productive parcels and reducing threats to human health and the environment means jobs and an investment in our land, our communities and our people.

Protecting America's water. EPA will intensify our work to restore and protect the quality of the nation's streams, rivers, lakes, bays, oceans and aquifers. The Agency will make robust use of our authority to restore threatened treasures such as the Great Lakes and the Chesapeake Bay, to address our neglected urban rivers, to strengthen drinking-water safety programs, and to reduce pollution from non-point and industrial dischargers.

As we meet these challenges, we must be sensitive to the burdens pollution has placed on vulnerable subpopulations, including children, the elderly, the poor and all others who are at particular risk to threats to health and the environment. We must seek their full partnership in the greater aim of identifying and eliminating the sources of pollution in their neighborhoods, schools and homes.

EPA's strength has always been our ability to adapt to the constantly changing face of environmental protection as our economy and society evolve and science teaches us more about how humans interact with and affect the natural world. Now, more than ever, EPA must be innovative and forward looking because the environmental challenges faced by Americans all across our country are unprecedented.

These challenges are indeed immense in scale and urgency. But, as President Obama said Tuesday, they will be met. I look forward to joining you at work on Monday to begin tackling these challenges together.

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

02/05/2009 06:09 PM

To "Theta"

cc

bcc

Subject I am trying my new phone

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

07/12/2011 10:18 AM

To "wsanders"

cc

bcc

Subject Re: lunch

Thx

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Walt Sanders" [wsanders@vmgthehill.com]

**Sent:** 07/12/2011 10:01 AM AST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** RE: lunch

[Ceiba](#)

[701 14th Street, NW](#)

[202.393-3983.](#)

[Reservations under my name.](#)

---

**From:** John Beale [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2011 9:54 AM

**To:** wsanders

**Subject:** Re: lunch

Walt,

I have managed to lose the name of the restaurant and its address. Can you send it to me again?

Thx.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Walt Sanders" [wsanders@vmgthehill.com]

**Sent:** 07/08/2011 10:53 AM AST

**To:** John Beale

**Subject:** RE: lunch

[I can make a reservation at Noon.](#)

---

**From:** John Beale [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 07, 2011 8:44 PM

**To:** wsanders

**Subject:** Re: lunch

Ok Walt. Lunch on Tuesday the 12th. Just want to sure you are planning on Tue not Wed.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Walt Sanders" [wsanders@vmgthehill.com]  
**Sent:** 07/07/2011 09:28 AM AST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** RE: lunch

Let's go with the original plan. Lunch on Wednesday.

---

**From:** John Beale [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 07, 2011 6:46 AM  
**To:** wsanders  
**Subject:** Re: lunch

Sorry Walt but the 11th is totally booked. I could do drinks late Tuesday afternoon.

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Walt Sanders" [wsanders@vmgthehill.com]  
**Sent:** 07/05/2011 05:56 PM AST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** RE: lunch

John,

Could we change our lunch date from July 12 to July 11?

Walt

---

**From:** John Beale [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 02, 2011 5:53 AM  
**To:** wsanders  
**Subject:** Re: lunch

That sounds good Walt. It now looks like I will be in DC July 11 and 12. Can we tentatively plan on lunch on the 12th?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Walt Sanders" [wsanders@vmgthehill.com]  
**Sent:** 06/29/2011 10:26 AM AST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** RE: lunch

I'm pretty flexible on lunch, but would like to see how you're doing and talk to you about my future business development plans. I'm not in a big hurry. Why don't you call me when you get back in town and perhaps we can go and grab a bite to eat near EPA.

---

**From:** Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2011 6:56 AM  
**To:** wsanders  
**Subject:** Re: lunch

Walt,

Great to hear from you, hope all is well.

I am out of the country for an uncertain period of time. Hope to be back in a week or two. So...as much as I would like to, I will not be able to have lunch in the time frame you suggest.

Would you like to try to set up a time for a phone call? I am about 9 hours ahead of DC time.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

---

**From:** "Walt Sanders" [wsanders@vmgthehill.com]  
**Sent:** 06/22/2011 03:28 PM AST  
**To:** John Beale  
**Subject:** lunch

Hey John,

Lots going on. Could we have lunch this week or next?

Walt A. Sanders  
Vice President Law & Government Affairs  
Van Fleet Associates, Inc.  
1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 600  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
(703) 647-7504 (Main Phone)  
(703) 647-7522 (Direct Phone)  
(703) 728-2431 (cell)  
(703) 647-7531 (fax)





John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

06/24/2009 05:35 PM

To cjenks

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Follow up to you meeting with Michael Bradley

Thanks very much Carrie.  
Follow up to you meeting with Michael Bradley

### Follow up to you meeting with Michael Bradley

**Carrie Jenks** to: John Beale

06/24/2009 04:20 PM

**Please respond to cjenks**

---

John

Michael asked me to forward these attachments to you as a follow up to your meeting with him this morning. Attached are:

- 1) The Clean Energy Group's Framework for Climate Legislation which discusses some of our specific recommendations for allocating allowances to the electric sector and consumers;
- 2) Two examples of presentations we gave to PG&E and National Grid regarding sustainability programs.

Please let me or Michael know if you have any questions.

Thanks  
Carrie

---

Carrie F. Jenks  
Senior Consultant  
M.J. Bradley & Associates LLC  
47 Junction Square Drive  
Concord, MA 01742  
cjenks@mjbradley.com  
Phone: (978) 369-5533  
Cell: (774) 262-6390  
Fax: (978) 369-7712

---

This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential, legally privileged, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person designated as responsible for delivering such messages to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the information contained herein (including any reliance thereon) is strictly prohibited. Although this transmission and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might affect any computer system into which it is received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free and no responsibility is accepted by M.J. Bradley & Associates LLC, its subsidiaries and affiliates, as

applicable, for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use. If you received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and destroy the material in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format. Thank you for your cooperation.

[attachment "CEGclimateframework.pdf" deleted by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]  
[attachment "PGE Sustainability Pres 080408.pdf" deleted by John  
Beale/DC/USEPA/US] [attachment "NG Sustainability Overview.pdf" deleted by  
John Beale/DC/USEPA/US]

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/03/2011 07:55 AM

To mbradley

cc

bcc

Subject Re: Happy to see you back engaged

Thanks for your very kind note Michael. It is indeed "interesting" to be "back".

It would be great to catch up. Unfortunately I am in RTP next Tues and Wed morning. I could make a late lunch on Wed., Wed dinner, or Thurs. lunch work. Do any of those possibilities work for you?

"Michael Bradley"

John,

02/02/2011 07:21:39 PM

From: "Michael Bradley" <mbradley@mjbradley.com>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Darlene Ryan" <dryan@mjbradley.com>  
Date: 02/02/2011 07:21 PM  
Subject: Happy to see you back engaged

---

John,

I'm very encouraged to see that you will be playing an important role steering EPA's air quality/public health strategy. It would be good to get together soon to bring you up to speed on the CEG and related initiatives to support EPA and to get your suggestions on options to be helpful and effective. I will be back in DC next Tuesday thru Thursday, dinner on Tuesday is open if that works for you. Otherwise let me know your availability and we can work out a time to connect.

Looking forward to seeing you.

michael



John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

02/09/2011 06:06 AM

To mbradley

cc

bcc

Subject RE: Lunch

Is the JW Marriott any where near your 930? If not I can sure come to your hotel.

730 would be good for me. I also have a 930.

"Michael Bradley"

John, I certainly understand. Breakfa...

02/08/2011 09:10:39 PM

From: "Michael Bradley" <mbradley@mjbradley.com>  
To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Darlene Ryan" <dryan@mjbradley.com>  
Date: 02/08/2011 09:10 PM  
Subject: RE: Lunch

---

John,

I certainly understand. Breakfast on Thursday can work, I need to be at 9:30 meeting. I'm staying at the Monaco Hotel, 7th and F, where breakfast is outrageously expensive. Open to other locations that you can suggest.

Michael

-----Original Message-----

From: Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Beale.John@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2011 7:20 PM  
To: Michael Bradley  
Subject: Lunch

Michael,

I am having a problem with my schedule and our lunch date at 100PM on Thurs. A meeting I have to do at State has just appeared.

Would you be able to have breakfast, lunch earlier or later, or drinks on Thurs?

-----  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

06/25/2010 09:40 AM

To dcourtier

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: [climate and politics] Australian perspective on the oil spill

What can one say?????????

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 06/25/2010 09:38 AM -----

From: Jacob Moss/DC/USEPA/US  
To: Jackie Krieger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Sarah Sowell/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Dale Evarts/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Dennis Leaf/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Sara Terry/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Erika Sasser/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Keating/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeneva Craig/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, MichaelG Lee/DC/USEPA/US, Rob Brenner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 06/25/2010 09:28 AM  
Subject: Fw: [climate and politics] Australian perspective on the oil spill

---

This is worth a bloody listen.

**Jacob E. Moss** | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Tel. +1 202 564 1388 | Fax. +1 202 564 1408 |  
moss.jacob@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Jacob Moss/DC/USEPA/US on 06/25/2010 09:27 AM -----

From: "Kirk R. Smith" <krksmith@berkeley.edu>  
To: Kirk R. Smith <Krksmith@berkeley.edu>  
Date: 06/24/2010 08:20 PM  
Subject: [climate and politics] Australian perspective on the oil spill

---



No more need be said/k BP Oil Spill - An Australian Perspective.wmv ATT00002..htm

John Beale/DC/USEPA/US

08/13/2009 01:01 PM

To Don Zinger

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Hearing Request and Request for Additional Time

Hi Don. Somehow this was sent to me. I have no idea to whom it should be forwarded.  
thanks for you help.

-----Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 08/13/2009 12:59PM -----

To: John Beale/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: "Dan Glucksman" <dglucksman@safetysafetyequipment.org>

Date: 08/05/2009 04:37PM

Subject: Hearing Request and Request for Additional Time

Dear Deputy Assistant Administrator Beale:

Today, the International Safety Equipment Association (ISEA) requested both a hearing and an extension for time to file comments on the proposed rule "Product Noise Labeling Hearing Protection Devices," which was published today (August 5, 2009).

ISEA acknowledges that this issue has been pending for quite some time, but it seems unreasonable to attempt to expedite the process now with a 30-day comment period. This proposed rule would set a new testing, rating and labeling system for hearing protectors.

As the representative of the regulated community, ISEA requires sufficient time to digest and analyze specific technical details of the proposal, reach consensus among our members and develop written comments that will be most useful to the EPA in its regulatory process.

While EPA has shared with many the concepts on which the proposed rule would be based, responding to the docket and preparing for a hearing require substantial effort. Publishing a proposed rule in August and setting a 30-day comment period, which includes a public hearing, does not give the regulated community time to provide EPA with informative, high quality comments on which the Agency will base its final rule.

ISEA has sent these comments to Ms. Catrice Jefferson, who is the principal contact for this issue. However, we wanted to share this concern with you .

Please contact me at 703-525-1695 with any questions or for more information.

Sincerely,

Daniel Glucksman

Daniel Glucksman

Public Affairs Director

International Safety Equipment Association

1901 North Moore St., Suite 808

Arlington, VA 22209

703-525-1695 x19 \* 703-795-6064 (cell)

ISEA Fall Meeting, November 9-11, 2009



- ISEA\_EPA\_hearing\_extension\_request.pdf



**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

03/09/2011 08:15 PM

To: Gina McCarthy

cc

bcc

Subject: Fw: TPP Coalition Members in response to a request from  
TPSC members



Attached are the members of the TPP Coalition. [TPP Coalition Members \(2-1-11\).pdf](#)

**John Beale/DC/USEPA/US**

10/06/2010 06:42 PM

To Jacob Moss

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: John Judis TNR Article

Just read this. After our discussion today you may find this interesting.

----- Forwarded by John Beale/DC/USEPA/US on 10/06/2010 05:52 PM -----

From: Charles Moore <cmoore@pewtrusts.org>  
To: "charly.moore@verizon.net" <charly.moore@verizon.net>  
Date: 08/26/2010 03:43 PM  
Subject: John Judis TNR Article

---

I thought this one of the best critiques of the O presidency so far. It tracks my own critique in many ways. I would have emphasized more of what O did take on (health care, climate change) as another reason for his dismal political situation but completely agree that O has failed to connect with the middle class during an extremely tough economic time.



The\_Unnecessary\_Fall\_The\_New\_Republic\_Septem.DOC